Measurement of professional performance: statesmanship, entrepreneurship and innovation
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Abstract. This study aims to obtain measurement data on professional performance with revolutionary 4.0 era skills which include statesmanship, entrepreneurship and innovation dimensions based on Johari Window. The results of the research are the basis for strengthening the capacity and capability of lecturers and Institutes of Teacher’s Education (Lembaga Pendidikan dan Tenaga Keguruan or LPTK) and for the development of professional performance models. The sampling technique is saturated with the population of Institutes of Teacher’s Education lecturers in 2 universities with A and B accreditation status totaling 105. Quantitative research using survey methods and using a standard questionnaire instrument developed by George Manning & Kent Curtis on a semantic differential scale. Data processing techniques use frequency distribution and performance pyramid profiles. The results show that professional performance profiles are reflected in statesmanship, entrepreneurship and innovation with significant differences from university accreditation status.
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1. Introduction

Data shows that 415 Institutes of Teacher’s Education (LPTK) consisting of 37 State Institutes of Teacher’s Education and 378 Private LPTKs are considered not yet standard in producing qualified and professional teacher candidates with a level of contribution to student learning outcomes of 50% [1]. The professional performance of lecturers is a central point in organizational reform [2]. Indicators of predictors of professional performance of lecturers are positively and significantly constructed through motivational, competency and leadership factors [3], motivation and discipline [4], pedagogical factors, personality professional and social competence [5] and assessments of competencies [6].

Professional performance of lecturers through the Tridharma of Higher Education has shown high ability [7]. Recommendations for research on the professional performance of revolutionary 4.0 must be based on innovation [8] and managerial ability [9] on an ongoing basis [10]. Especially in the organization of knowledge and skills. [11]. The ability of
innovation relates to the power to achieve results (entrepreneurship). Innovation and entrepreneurship desperately need social support. The need to get social support begins with the ability to work and with others (Statesmanship). Social support is the basis for synergy towards professional performance. Professional performance needs to be measured fundamentally through the understanding of oneself and others (Johari Window) so as to enable a performance assessment to synergize the process of learning from within and transformative with others.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Professional performance

Professionalism is a form of consequences that must be possessed by each individual in the work organization in the face of rapid changes. and as a practical and real form in dealing with these conditions. The manifestation of professionalism is performance as accountability that is evaluated through measurement based on teacher performance, program and education. With regard to ethics, emotional intelligence factors as theoretical and practical constructs that influence the minimum level of stress leading to stable professional performance. Professional performance needs to be measured using exploratory assessments covers the performance of the revolutionary era 4.0 in 3 areas of statesmanship, entrepreneurship and innovation. The performance pyramid is described as follows.

![Performance Pyramid](image)

2.2. Statesmanship

Statesmen characterize relationships, which underlies moral choices in behaviour. Statesmanship reflects culture, namely the ability to accept and relate to perspective and intuition, Berlin being a strategy in conversation with others and become part of pedagogic abilities. Conceptually statehood is the ability to work with and use other people and produce multiple targets, by type: seeking ideas and connecting others, connecting themselves to help others, seeking consensus in disputes, having very high ability to work with other people and use other people in the process that gives a big influence.

2.3. Entrepreneurship
Entrepreneurship as an individual who has the advantage and ability to create value by innovating.[25] Entrepreneurship is an important aspect in structuring a research-oriented university as a university tridharma.[26]. Conceptually entrepreneurship is the ability to achieve results and efforts related to important and fundamental things, with characteristics: being the leading people in achievement, some of the work done has replaced the big, but still trying even though risk, work according to planning and always be able to find ways of doing work [20]

2.4. Innovation

Innovation and entrepreneurship are two key capabilities that cannot be separated, and are needed to make the organization grow to a large scale.[27] Making organizations grow to a large scale requires individual organizations who have the ability to manage change in education. This means that educated leaders are required to be able to innovate.[28] Conceptually, innovation is the ability to produce novelty and ideas that are useful and keep moving and developing dynamically and not statically, fulfilling the characteristics of always looking for the best way to do things, changing the whole approach to work, always having creativity, ideas what is rolled out is always used, innovative in ideas and work approaches.

2.5. Johari Window Concept: Self Evaluation and Workers

Johari Window becomes a model to train self-awareness of individual capacity [29] so that with and through it leads to the development of organizational capacity.[30]. Johari Window becomes important to be used in the framework of capacity building for LPTKs based on experience and self-understanding (what is known) and co-workers’ assessment (what others know) towards the process of generating new ideas and actions [31].

3. Method

3.1 Research Subjects

The research subjects were private lecturers in 2 LPTKs in DKI Jakarta Province, with A and B accreditation status. The population was 105 people, consisting of 80 lecturers at universities with accreditation status A and 25 lecturers at universities with accreditation status B. Using sampling techniques saturated, that is, all members of the population are used as samples [32]

3.2 Data analysis procedures

This research is a quantitative research with survey method. The data collection used a standard questionnaire instrument developed by George Manning & Kent Curtis on a semantic differential scale. The guidelines for data interpretation use: (a) score ≤14 (very low), (b) score 15-19 (low), (c) score 20 - 29 (moderate), (d) score 30 - 35 (high). Research respondents were lecturers with self-evaluation techniques and peer assessment. The problem formulation includes: 1. Profile of professional performance of lecturers at A and B accredited universities in the statesmanship, entrepreneurship and innovation dimensions, and (2) differences in professional performance profiles. Processing and presenting data uses: (a) Frequency distribution with centralized data, which includes mean, median, mode, (b) Profile is described in the form of performance pyramid
Profile of professional performance of lecturers at universities with A and B accreditation status

### 4.1 Dimensions of Statesmanship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statesmanship Dimension</th>
<th>Lecturers A</th>
<th>Lecturers B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The search for ideas and opinions of others</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of the way of working to help others</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus in resolving disputes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ability to work with others</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involving people others in making very basic decisions</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Frequency Distribution of Statesmanship Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Lecturers A</th>
<th>Lecturers B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Valid 80</td>
<td>Valid 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>Missing 80</td>
<td>Missing 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The profile of the professional performance of the lecturer in the statesmanship dimension is measured by indicators (a) the search for ideas and opinions of others (b) out of the way of working to help others (c) consensus in resolving disputes (d) the ability to work with others and (e) involving people others in making very basic decisions. The profile of professional performance on the statesmanship dimension of lecturers at A-accredited universities is at a score of 33 from the ideal score of 35. And a score of 24 for lecturers at universities with B accreditation. This puts the level of professional performance in the statesmanship dimension of lecturers at universities with A accreditation high professional and at university B on moderate professional performance.

### 4.2 Dimensional entrepreneurship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entrepreneurship Dimension</th>
<th>Lecturers A</th>
<th>Lecturers B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The top achievement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work always contributes greatly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takes the opportunity even though at risk</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works according to planning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always finds ways to solve everything thing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance profile of the lecturer on the dimensions of entrepreneurship is measured by the indicators (a) the top achievement (b) the work always contributes greatly, (c) takes the opportunity even though at risk, (d) works according to planning, and (e) always finds ways to solve everything thing. Professional performance profiles on the dimensions of entrepreneurship lecturers at A-accredited universities are at a score of 32 of 35 ideal scores and a score of 25 is achieved by lecturers at B. accredited universities. At university B on moderate professional performance.

### Table 2. Frequency Distribution of Entrepreneurship Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Lecturers A</th>
<th>Lecturers B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Valid 506</td>
<td>Valid 406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>Missing 506</td>
<td>Missing 406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lecturer performance profiles in the innovative dimensions are measured by indicators (a) looking for better ways (b) changing approaches to work, (c) famous for creativity, (d) ideas are almost always used and (e) innovative in ideas and working approaches. Profile of professional performance on innovative dimensions A accredited university lecturers are on score 32 of 35 ideal scores and score 26 is obtained by lecturers at universities with B accreditation status. This puts the level of professional performance quality in innovative dimensions lecturers at A-accredited universities are high professional and at university B on moderate professional performance.

### 4.4. Differences in the profile of lecturers' professional performance at the A and B accreditation status

**Table 4. Professional Performance Frequency Distribution**
The profile of the professional performance of lecturers in the status of A and B accreditation has a significant difference. The profile of professional performance of lecturers at A-accredited universities is at a high level of professional performance, both in the statesmanship dimension (score 33 or 94%), dimensions of entrepreneurship (score 32 or 91%) and on dimensions of innovation (score 32 or 91%). While the profile of professional performance of lecturers at B-accredited universities is at the level of moderate professional performance, both in the statesmanship dimension (score 24 or achievement of 69% quality), dimensions of entrepreneurship (score 25 or 71%), and dimensions of innovation (score 26 or 74%). Therefore it can be stated that there are differences in the professional performance profiles of lecturers who are at A universities and B universities.

### Conclusion

a. Lecturers at A-accredited universities have statesmanship profiles with high standards which indicate having the ability to work with and through others to produce multiple goals. Likewise, lecturers at B-accredited universities also have quite good (moderate) statesmanship profiles. It can be stated that the lecturers individually, groups and organizations are prepared and can work together and work together to improve the capacity and capability of the Institutes of Teacher’s Education.

b. Lecturers at a meditation university A have a high entrepreneurship profile, which signifies the ability to achieve a result and dismiss existing barriers by being oriented to action and achievement as important and fundamental. Likewise with lecturers at B-accredited universities who also have a fairly good (moderate) profile of
entrepreneurship. It can be stated that the lecturers have the ability to achieve the planned results to increase the capacity and capability of the Institutes of Teacher’s Education.

c. Lecturers at A-cited University have a high innovation profile, which signifies the ability to produce novelty and ideas that are useful and keep moving and developing dynamically and not statically. Likewise with lecturers at B-accredited universities who also have a fairly good (moderate) innovation profile. It can be stated that the lecturers can produce new plans and strategies in an effort to increase the capacity and capability of the Institutes of Teacher’s Education.

d. There are differences in the professional performance profiles of the lecturers. Lecturers at A-accredited universities are at a higher level of quality compared to lecturers at B. accredited universities.
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