

Trading networks with bilateral contracts*

[Extended Abstract][†]

Tamás Fleiner[‡]
BME SZIT,
Magyar t. krt 2., 1117, Hungary
fleiner@cs.bme.hu

Akihisa Tamura[¶]
Keio University, Dept. of Math.
Yokohama 223-8522, Japan
aki-tamura@math.keio.ac.jp

Zsuzsanna Jankó[§]
ELTE, Oper. Res. Dept.
Pázmány P. s. 1/C, 1017, Hungary
jzsuzsy@cs.elte.hu

Alexander Teytelboym
INET, University of Oxford
Oxford, United Kingdom
alexander.teytelboym@inet.ox.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

The concept of stability in matching markets is an important predictor of outcomes. We study production networks in which firms match and sign bilateral contracts. A firm acts either as a buyer or a seller in any particular contract. The case when production networks are supply chains (i.e. when a firm cannot buy from and sell to another firm even via intermediaries) has been studied extensively [3, 2]. In supply chains, chain-stable outcomes are guaranteed to exist and they coincide with group-stable outcomes. We study production networks in which firms can buy from and sell to one another directly or via intermediaries i.e. contracts may form a cycle. It is well known that in this case group-stable outcomes might not exist [1, 2]. We show that the problem of determining whether an allocation is group-stable is NP-hard. We define a new stability concept, called *trail stability*, and show that any network of bilateral contracts has a trail-stable outcome whenever agents' preferences satisfy full substitutability [3, 4, 2]. Trail-stable outcomes rule out consecutive and consistent pairwise blocks that form trails of contracts (sequences of distinct contracts in which each

intermediary who is a buyer in one contract is a seller in the next one). Trail stability is a natural extension of chain stability and is a stronger solution concept in general contract networks. Trail-stable outcomes may not be immune to group deviations or efficient. In fact, we show that outcomes satisfying an even more demanding stability property – *full trail stability* – always exist. Fully trail-stable outcomes also rule out trail blocks, but an intermediary is not required to choose all contracts in the trail – only local upstream-downstream pairs. We pin down conditions under which terminal contracts (i.e. involving agents who sign either only downstream or only upstream contracts) in trail-stable and fully trail-stable outcomes have a lattice structure. We describe the relationships between all stability concepts. When contracts specify trades and prices, we also show that trail-stable competitive equilibrium outcomes exist in networked markets even when agents' utility functions are not quasilinear.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

[Applied Computing]: Law, social and behavioral sciences – Economics

General Terms

Algorithms, Economics, Theory

Keywords

Matching Markets, Contracts, Networks, Supply Chains, Stability, Trail Stability, Competitive Equilibrium

1. REFERENCES

- [1] T. Fleiner. On stable matchings and flows. Technical Report TR-2009-11, Egerváry Research Group, Budapest, 2009. www.cs.elte.hu/egres.
- [2] J. W. Hatfield and S. D. Kominers. Matching in networks with bilateral contracts. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, 4(1):176–208, 2012.
- [3] M. Ostrovsky. Stability in supply chain networks. *American Economic Review*, 98(3):897–923, 2008.
- [4] A. Westkamp. Market structure and matching with contracts. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 145(5):1724–1738, 2010.

*We are grateful to Samson Alva, Umut Dur, Scott Kominers and Alex Westkamp and participants of MATCHUP 2015 for their comments.

[†]A full version is available at <http://t8e1.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/SCN10.pdf>

[‡]Research was supported by the OTKA K108383 project and the MTA-ELTE Egerváry Research Group. Part of the research was carried out at two visits at Keio University.

[§]Research was supported by the OTKA K109240 research project and the MTA-ELTE Egerváry Research Group.

[¶]Research was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) from JSPS.