Characteristics of Fermented Rice Straw with Several Types of Probiotics and Fermentation Time for Cow Feed

I Dewa Nyoman Sudita¹, Ni Ketut Sri Rukmini², Agus Maha Putra Sanjaya³ {idnsudita@gmail.com}

Universitas Warmadewa, Denpasar-Bali, Indonesia¹²³

Abstract. Rice straw has great potential as a feed ingredient for cattle, especially when it is difficult to get forage ingredients in the dry season. To get good quality feed from rice straw, it is necessary to use a fermentation process using probiotics. The purpose of this study was to compare the quality of straw fermented using several types of probiotics and the length of the fermentation process. The method that will be used in achieving these goals is the experimental design method. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) factorial pattern consisting of 2 factors, namely: factor I type of probiotic (P) consisting of EM4 (P1), Bio Bali Tani (P2), and Rumino bacillus (P3), and factor II the length of fermentation time (T), namely: 1 week (T1), 2 weeks (T2), 3 weeks (T3), and 4 weeks (T4), where each treatment combination was carried out repeated 3 times. The results showed that the type of probiotic had a significant effect (P<0.05) on the quality of fermented straw, where Bio Bali Tani (P2) showed the best results in crude protein content (CP), water content, and crude fiber. While the fermentation time at week 4 was significantly the best crude protein (CP) (P<0.05), but the 1-week fermentation time was significantly the lowest (P<0.05) the quality of fermented straw. The interaction between the types of probiotics and the length of time of fermentation only occurred at the highest crude fiber content at P2T2 (the interaction between the Bio Bali Tani probiotics with a fermentation time of 2 weeks), and the lowest at the interaction P1 with T4. From this research, it can be concluded that the type of probiotic and the longer the fermentation process affect the quality of fermented straw, where the probiotic Bio Bali Tani produces the best quality.

Keywords: fermented rice straw; fermentation time; probiotics; quality

1 Introduction

The development of ruminant production in Indonesia is influenced by several aspects, one of which is the difficulty of fulfilling the availability of forage in a sustainable manner both in quality and quantity. Utilization of agricultural waste feed, especially rice straw is one way to meet basic feed needs for livestock. Rice straw production varies, which can reach 12-15 tons

of fresh straw per ha once harvested, or 4-5 tons of dry straw per ha depending on the location and type of plant variety used (BPTP Jawa Barat).

In general, agricultural waste including rice straw has a high crude fiber content and low protein is a limiting factor in feeding cattle. Entering the dry season, the supply of forage is getting less and less, so the use of agricultural waste, especially rice straw as feed material is quite high in rearing cows in Bali which causes the nutrient content and nutrient fulfillment to be lower (Sudita, 2019). Cattle rations with the composition of natural grass and unfermented straw have lower crude protein and energy content and higher crude fiber (Sudita, at.all., 2015).

The use of high crude fiber, besides being able to reduce easily digestible components, also causes a decrease in the activity of enzymes that break down food substances, such as enzymes that help digest carbohydrates, proteins and fats (Parrakasi, 1991). Therefore, to increase the nutritional value of agricultural waste, a process that can include physical, chemical, and biological processes is needed, among others, by means of fermentation technology. Kompiang et al. (1994), the technology to improve the quality of feed ingredients is by fermentation.

In general, all fermented end products usually contain compounds that are simpler and easier to digest than the original ingredients. Fermentation in straw is largely determined by the type of probiotic (fermenter) and the length of fermentation time. Probiotics of the EM-4 type have been widely used in the community for various purposes in the fermentation process. Currently, various other types of probiotics have been developed, such as Rumino bacillus, and Bio Bali Tani which have the same function, so it is necessary to do research to get the best quality of fermented rice straw with different lengths of time.

2 Research Methods

The design used in this experiment was a completely randomized design (CRD) with a factorial pattern. The first factor is the treatment of 3 types of probiotic ingredients, namely EM-4 (P1), Bio Bali Tani (P2), and Rumino bacillus (P3), while the second factor is the length of fermentation time, namely T1 (1 week), T2 (2 weeks), T3 (3 weeks), and T4 (4 weeks. Each treatment was repeated 3 times so that 36 experimental units were obtained. The dose of probiotic treatment in the fermenter solution was made the same, namely 1 liter of probiotics: 10 liters of water + 1 liter of molasses which was sprayed into 1 ton of rice straw. Rice straw that has been sprayed with a fermenter, then fermented in a tightly closed plastic barrel (in an anaerobic atmosphere) according to the length of fermentation time.

The fermentation process was carried out at the experimental station of the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Warmadewa for 1 month in April 2021. Followed by an analysis of the chemical quality of fermented straw in the laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Warmadewa. While the crude protein analysis test was carried out in the laboratory of the Faculty of Animal Husbandry, University of Udayana Denpasar.

3 Results and Discussion

Dry matter (DM) has a negative relationship with the water content of a material, where the lower the DM content of the material, the higher the water content. This can be seen in Table 3.1, in treatment P2 the dry matter content was the lowest (P<0.050) but the water content was the highest. With a higher water content, it is easier to digest feed ingredients in the digestive tract, especially in the rumen.

| Treatment | Dry Matter / DM (%) | Moisture Content (%) | Ash Content (%) | Crude Fiber /CF (%) | Crude Protein /CP (%) |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| P1 | 20.78a | 79.22b | 26.61a | 24.75b | 6.38b |
| P2 | 18.98b | 81.04b | 26.34a | 27.97c | 6.91c |
| Р3 | 20.84a | 79.16a | 27.09a | 26.23a | 5.62a |
| T1 | 23.93c | 76.07b | 26.79a | 26.38b | 5.92b |
| T2 | 23.64b | 76.36b | 26.88a | 26.75a | 6.15b |
| Т3 | 21.65b | 78.38b | 27.18a | 25.61a | 6.36ab |
| T4 | 21.57a | 78.43a | 25.87a | 26.53a | 6.80a |
| P1T1 | 25.70a 23.71a | 74.30a | 26.53a 26.56a | 25.76df | 5.65a 6.24a |
| P1T2 | 23.71a 22.20a | 76.29a 77.80a | 26.56a 27.08a | 25.76f | 6.24a 6.67a |
| P1T3 | 21.49a | 78.51a | 26.27a | 23.76g | 6.95a |
| P1T4 | 22.96a 22.81a | 77.04a 77.19a | 26.77a 27.26a | 23.74h | 6.67a 6.62a |
| P2T1 | 19.28a | 80.80a | 26.64a | 27.32bcd | 6.76a |
| P2T2 | 20.86a | 79.14a | 24.72a | 29.41a | 7.61a |
| P2T3 | 23.12a | 76.88a | 27.08a | 26.92cde | 5.44a |
| P2T4 | 24.41a | 75.59a | 26.82a | 28.24b | 5.58a |
| P3T1 | 23.46a 22.36a | 76.54a 77.64a | 27.83a 26.63a | 26.06e | 5.64a 5.83a |
| P3T2 | | | | 25.08f | |
| P3T3 | | | | 25.081 26.16de | |
| P3T4 | | | | 20.16de 27.62bc | |

Table 1. Quality of Fermented Straw with Probiotics and Different Length of Time

Explanation : Numbers followed by the same letter on the same factor mean that they are not significantly different (P>0.05) in the 5% BNT test.

P1: Probiotic EM4, P2: Probiotic Bio Bali Tani, P3: Probiotic Rumino bacillus

T1: Fermentation time 1 week, T2: Fermentation time 2 week, T3: Fermentation time 3 week, and

T4: Fermentation time 4 week

Rice straw has a very high dry matter (DM) content (70-80%) and low water content (20-30%) (Kompiang, 1996), so it is still bulky. With the fermentation process the dry matter content (DM) can be reduced to 19-24% and the water content to 76-81% (Table3.1), it will be able to increase the digestibility of feed ingredients in the rumen by microbes. Purnomohadi (2006) stated that the results of straw fermentation research using RCBI (Rumen Cellulolitic Bacterial Incubation 30%) got a decrease in DM from 91.2% to 81.53%.

3.2 Crude Fiber and Ash Content

Crude fiber in the form of cellulose in feed ingredients is very important in the digestive process by microbes for the fermentation process in the rumen to produce free fatty acids (vololetyl fatty acid / VFA) as an energy source for livestock through a mutualistic symbiotic process between microbes and livestock. The use of different probiotics in the fermentation process, the average ash content is the same between P1, P2 and P3, but the longer the fermentation time can reduce the ash content but not significantly different (P > 0.05) where T4 (4 weeks fermentation) shows the results the lowest. Ariani (2011) from the results of fermentation research using MOL found that the longer the fermentation time the lower the ash content, but the dry matter and organic matter increased.

When viewed from the crude fiber content, the use of probiotics Bio Bali Tani (P2) was significantly (P<0.05) the highest compared to treatments P1 (EM-4) and P3 (Rumino bacillus). Higher crude fiber will also increase organic matter in feed ingredients, so the digestibility of feed ingredients also increases (Winedar, 2006 and Kusuma Dewi, et.all., 2012). With the longer fermentation time, the more concentrated crude fiber, the cruder fiber content increased (Fajarudin et.all., 2014), as shown in Table 3.1 treatment T1 showed significantly lower results (P<0.05) than T2, T3, and T4.

The interaction between types of probiotics and the length of time of fermentation on crude fiber shows that each factor influences each other, where P2 (Bio Bali Tani) and 2 weeks of fermentation (T2) the crude fiber content is significantly higher (P<0.05) compared to the combination treatment. others, while the lowest was at P1T4. Crude fiber content will be related to the physical properties of feed (Reksohadiprodjo, 1996) and physical properties are closely related to the level of degradability and fermentability in the rumen (Sutardi, 1997).

3.3 Crude Protein (CP)

Although cattle are able to synthesize protein in the body, the source of N present in the protein in feed ingredients is still needed for the formation of microbial bodies in the rumen as a source of protein for livestock. From the results of the study in Table 3.1, in general, fermentation of straw can increase protein in all probiotic treatments (P1, P2, and P3) and the longer the fermentation time, the higher the protein content. The increase in the amount of protein in the straw was influenced by the type of probiotic used, such as Purnomohadi's (2006) study using Rumen Cellullolitic Bacterial Incubation (RCBI) 30% was able to increase protein from 4.1% to 9.01%. Aminah (2005) stated that straw fermentation using cassava was only able to increase protein to 5.54%. Several other researchers (Ariani, 2011., Fajarudin et.all., 2014) confirmed that straw fermentation was able to increase organic matter and digestibility.

In this study, it was seen that the difference in probiotics in the rice straw fermentation process had a significant effect (P<0.05) where P2 treatment was significantly higher than other treatments, while the lowest was in P3 treatment. This is because the type of bacteria of each probiotic is different, so the ability to ferment and reproduce is also different. With more bacteria growing in the P2 treatment, the amount of protein is also higher which comes from the microbial body itself. When compared with the statement of Purnomohadi (2006), the highest amount of crude protein in the P2 treatment (6.91%) was still lower, but when compared to Ariani's (2011) study which only reached 5.54% it was still higher. Thus, it can be said that the level of crude protein content in fermented straw is largely determined by the type of probiotic used.

When viewed from the length of the fermentation time, it is also very influential on the crude protein content where the longer the fermentation time, the higher the average total crude protein in the straw. At week 4 (T4) the highest and significantly different (P<0.05) compared to T1

and T2 (Table 3.1). This is in accordance with the statements of Karlina (2008) and Sukaryani (2016) which state that the length of fermentation time affects the amount of protein, ADF and NDF content. Mustofa, et.all., (2020) stated that the production of VFA and NH3 in fermented ammonium cobs increased at a 2% commercial starter level with four weeks of curing time. The longer the fermentation time in rice straw, the number of microbes that breed is also increasing, as a result the protein content in fermented straw is also higher which comes from the body of dead bacteria. Thus, the higher the protein content, the higher the amino acid content in the straw feed ingredients, especially the amino acids methionine and lysine.

Although the type of probiotic and the length of time of fermentation in rice straw had a significant effect (P<0.05), but the results of statistical analysis of treatment combinations did not occur interaction. However, the interaction of P2T4 treatment (Probiotic Bio Bali Tani with 4 weeks fermentation time) showed the highest protein content, which was 7.61%.

4 Conclusion

From this research it can be concluded that: The quality of rice straw can be improved by performing fermentation using various types of probiotics/ fermenters with a certain length of time. Types of probiotics affect the high and low quality of fermented rice straw, especially the content of dry matter (DM), crude fiber, and crude protein. The best results of rice straw fermentation using Bio Bali Tani probiotics reached 6.91% crude protein content. The length of time of fermentation also affects the quality of fermented rice straw, with a fermentation period of 4 weeks the highest protein content reaches 6.80%. The interaction between the treatment of probiotic types and the length of time only occurred in the crude fiber content, while the dry matter, ash content, and crude protein content did not occur.

References

- Nitis, I.M., K. Lana, A.W. Puger. 1987. Pengalaman Pengembangan Tanaman Makanan Ternak Berwawasan lingkungan di Bali. Proceding Seminar Nasional. Sistim Integrasi Tanaman Ternak.
- [2] Parakkasi, A. 1999. Ilmu Nutrisi dan Makanan Ternak Ruminansia.Universitas Indonesia Press.
 [3] Reksohadiprodjo, S. 1996. Serat dan Sifat Menciri Fisiokimia Hijauan Pakan. Kursus Singkat Teknik
- Evaluasi Pakan Ruminansia. Fakultas Peternakan, Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- [4] Sudita, I. D. N., Mahardika, I. G., Suarna, I. W., & Partama, I. G. (2015). Analysis of feed for digestibility bali cow in group" Simantri" program in Bali on different topographic. International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 5(6), 495-500.
- [5] Sudita, I. D. N. (2019). Nutrient fulfilling status for bali cows in Bali, Indonesia in season differences. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1402, No. 3, p. 033062). IOP Publishing.
- [6] Sutardi, T. 1997. Peluang dan Tantangan Pengembangan Ilmu-ilmu Nutrisi Ternak. Orasi Ilmiah Guru Besar Tetap Ilmu Nutrisi Ternak. Fakultas Peternakan Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- [7] Sandi, S., Saputra, A.,2012. The Effect of Effective Microorganisms-4 (Em 4) Addition on the Physical Quality of Sugar Cane Shoots Silage. In International Seminar on Animal Industry.
- [8] Kompiang, I.P., Sinurat, A.P., Kompiang, S., Purwadaria, T., Darma, J. 1994. Nutrition value of protein enriched cassava: Cassapro. J. Ilmu Ternak dan Veteriner, 4(2): 107-112.
- [9] Z. Mustofa, B. I. M. Tampoebolon dan A. Subrata. (2012). Peningkatan kualitas tongkol jagung teramoniasi melalui teknologi fermentasi menggunakan starter komersial terhadap produksi VFA dan NH3 rumen secara In-vitro., Animal Agriculture Journal, Vol. 1. No. 1, 2012, p 599 – 609.
- [10] Ariani Kasmiran, 2011. Pengaruh Lama Fermentasi Jerami Padi Denga Mikroorganisme Lokal Terhadap Kandungan Bahan Kering, Bahan Organik Dan Abu. Jurnal Ilmiah Sains dan Teknologi
- [11] Ariyani, E., Ersanghono, K.S., 2013. Produksi Bioetanol Dari Jerami Padi (Oryza sativa L.). Indonesian Journal of Chemical Science. Vol.2, No. 2

- [12] Aminah Asngat, 2005. Perubahan Kadar Protein Pada Fermentasi Jerami Padi dengan Penambahan Onggok Untuk Makanan Ternak. Journal Sain and Technologi (UMS). Vol. 6, No.6.
- [13] Iqbal, Z., Yunasri U., Sitti W., (2016). Evaluasi Kualitas Jerami Padi Fermentasi dengan Tingkat Penggunaan EM-4 yang Berbeda. Journal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Univ. Syah Kuala (vol.1 No. 1.
- [14] Purnomohadi, M., 2006. Peranan Bakteri Selulotik Cairan Rumen pada Fermentasi Jerami Padi Terhadap Mutu Pakan. Journal Protein, UGM., Vol. 13, No.2.
- [15] Sri Sukaryani, 2016. Kandungan Serat Jerami Padi Fermentasi dengan Lama Waktu Inkubasi yang Berbeda. Journal Ilmiah Technosains, vol.2 No. 2 (2016)
- [16] Kusuma Dewi, N., Mukodiningsih, S., Sutrisno, C.I., 2012). Pengaruh Fermentasi Kombinasi Jerami Padi dan Jerami Jagung dengan Aras Isi Rumen Kerbau Terhadap Kecernaan Bahan Kering dan Bahan Organik Secara In-vitro. Animal Agricultural Journal, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2012)