Economic Fundamental Resilience of Emerging Market Muslim Countries: Is It Enabled To Predict ARDL Panels?
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Abstract. The research aims to discuss the issue of fundamental economic resilience of Emerging Market's Muslim economies in the long term. Data analysis using ARDL Panel Research results concluded in panel turns the amount of money supply to leading indicators for the control of Indonesia, Bangladesh, Malaysia, Pakistan, and UAE, Saudi Arabia, Turkey. Its position is stable in the short-run and long run. The leading indicator of the effectiveness of variables in the control of the fundamental resilience of Muslim emerging market countries is the investment seen from the stability of short-run and long-run, where the amount of money supply significantly controls Economic durability fundamentals.
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1 Introduction

The fundamental economic lozenges measured from Kokoro's strong macroeconomic variables are affected by internal factors and external factors. Economic fundamentals can be measured by inflation, exchange rates, and the acceptance of trade balance [1,2,3]. Literature that often occurs about economic fundamentals is the first appropriate measure in determining the fundamental economic indicators which are most capable of representing the early detection of a country's fundamental economic weakening [4]. One cause of the impact of declining economic fundamentals is detected by the delay effect of economic conditions. Flowers can affect the occurrence of a delay effect [5]. Hasibuan [6] interest rates as a fundamental economic price. Hai [7] mentions the exchange rate as a strong indicator of the fundamental Lemhanas. Oliner [8] concluded the reserves of DHE and Stock [4], Wimanda [9] Economic growth, net exports, and investments. While the financial services are expressed as the economic Lemhanas price of a country [10]. Emerging markets, especially Muslim countries have a role in repenting in moving the economy. Research literary phenomena are seen from the following GDP:
The decline of Turkish GDP growth, Malaysia, Indonesia occurred in 2015, Turkey's GDP growth dropped to 859.79 billion US $ from 934.18 billion US $ previous year, Malaysia dropped to 296.43 billion US $ from 338.06 US $ previous year, and Indonesia dropped to 861.85 billion US $ from 890.81 billion US $ the previous year. Decreased economic resilience by the government spending factor, investment, and inflation [11]. To improve economic resilience, the export performance of exchange rate stability and non-traditional market expansion is required, including the improvement of commodity export structures [12].

The risk of Muslim state inflation Emerging market, Indonesia's inflation rose to 5.36% from 5.13% the previous year, Saudi Arabia rose to 5.83% from 5.34% the previous year, Bangladesh rose to 11.40% from 8.13% the previous year, Malaysia rose to 3.17% from 1.62% the previous year, and Egypt rose to 23.7% from 20.9% the previous year. In low-income countries, the inflation Momentum (quarterly adjusted quarterly rate is declared at an annual level) dropped dramatically over the past year, from an average of 14 percent in 2011 to the current down of 1.6 percent in All three months until September 2012 before starting the trend of ascension in the last quarter of 2012. The sharp decline reflects the combined effect of
stabilizing the local food prices after 2011 years of drought-related price increases, tightening policies, and easing fuel supply and food disruptions during episodes of political turmoil in the Middle East and parts of the African Sub-Saharan. Sheefeni [13] said that rising flowers impact inflation and economic decline. Sitaresmi [14] Interest rate pathways pursue a policy target of inflation. The tightening of the flowers protects the price volatility of Hussain [15], Hsing [16], and Shenglin [17]. Monetary policy must facilitate investments through appropriate interest rates, exchange, and currency mechanisms [2,13,18].

Fundamental resilience can be seen from the open economic theories by identifying macroeconomic variables, interest, investments, and trading balance such as net exports, foreign exchange reserves, and exchange rates.

The policy of pure discretionary and Rules in open economic fundamentals

Balance analysis begins with the results of a purely discretionary policy or a discretionary policy. Policymakers define monetary and fiscal policies by minimizing equations (1.3), i.e., Phase 3. The minimization is obtained by FOC equations (1.3) against monetary and fiscal targets [mP and gP] and random variables v, ω, θ. Substitutal equations (1.4 A) and (1.4 B) to the equation (1.3) to calculate the control of the instruments of the perfect policy, namely:

\[ E_{\omega,\theta}(L) = E_{\omega,\theta}\left( \frac{1}{1+\alpha}[\omega m^p + \theta g^p - \alpha E(\pi) + v]\right)^2 + \]

\[ E_{\omega,\theta}\left( \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \beta [\omega m^p + \theta g^p - E(\pi) + v] - \alpha \beta y_T \right)^2 \]  

(1.5)

FOC equation (1.5) against the MP and GP with the operator expectations ω and θ will produce the following equation:

\[ [\omega m^p + \theta g^p - \alpha E(\pi) + v] = -\alpha^2 \beta [\omega m^p + \theta g^p - E(\pi) + v] + \alpha \beta y_T \]  

(1.6A)

\[ (1 + \alpha^2 \beta) m^p + (1 + \alpha^2 \beta)(1 + \sigma^2_m) g^p = \alpha \beta y_T + (\alpha^2 \beta + \alpha) E(\pi) - (1 + \alpha^2 \beta) v \]  

(1.6B)

The mP and GP solutions are obtained by substituted equations (1.6 A) to (1.6 B) or by application of the Cramer determinant method, namely:

\[ m^p = \left[ \frac{\alpha \beta (1 + \alpha) y_T + (\alpha^2 \beta - \alpha) E(\pi) - (1 + \alpha^2 \beta) v}{(1 + \alpha^2 \beta)(\sigma^2_m + \sigma^2_\theta + \sigma^2_m \sigma^2_\theta)} \right] \sigma^2_\theta \]  

(1.7A)

\[ g^p = \left[ \frac{\alpha \beta (1 + \alpha) y_T + (\alpha^2 \beta - \alpha) E(\pi) - (1 + \alpha^2 \beta) v}{(1 + \alpha^2 \beta)(\sigma^2_m + \sigma^2_\theta + \sigma^2_m \sigma^2_\theta)} \right] \sigma^2_m \]  

(1.7B)

The immaturity (1.7 A) and (1.7 B) explains the policy-making REACTION FUNCTION on the demand and aggregate shock and inflation expectations. At stage 1, uncertainty over v, ω and θ resulted in inflation expectations being:
\[ E(\pi) = E_{\omega, \theta, v} [m^p + g^p] = E_{\omega, \theta, v} [\omega m^p + \theta g^p] \]

\[
E(\pi)_{\text{DIS}} = \frac{\alpha \beta (1 + \alpha) y_T}{(1 + \alpha) + (1 + \alpha^2 \beta) \left[ \frac{\sigma^2_{\omega} \sigma^2_{\theta}}{\sigma^2_{\omega} + \sigma^2_{\theta}} \right]}
\]

(1.8)

From the equation (1.8), it is known that the uncertainty of fiscal policy instruments \([\sigma^2_{\omega}] \) Height and the uncertainty of monetary policy instruments \([\sigma^2_{\theta}] \) high will increase inflation expectations. Substitution of equations (1.8) to (1.7 A) and (1.7 B) will result in an optimal fiscal and monetary policy with a purely discretionary policy. The pure discretionary policy explains that the target fiscal policy \([g^P]\) and the target monetary policy \([m^P]\) are optimal on:

\[ m^p_{\text{DIS}} = \left[ A - A^T v \right] \sigma^2_{\theta} \]  
(1.9A)

\[ g^p_{\text{DIS}} = \left[ A - A^T v \right] \sigma^2_{\omega} \]  
(1.9B)

**Description:**

\[ A = \frac{\alpha \beta (1 + \alpha) y_T}{(1 + \alpha) (\sigma^2_{\omega} + \sigma^2_{\theta}) + (1 + \alpha^2 \beta) (\sigma^2_{\omega} \sigma^2_{\theta})} \]

\[ A^T = \frac{1}{(\sigma^2_{\omega} + \sigma^2_{\theta} + \sigma^2_{\omega} \sigma^2_{\theta})} \]

Equations (1.9 A) and (1.9 B) explain that the optimal fiscal and monetary policy at a purely discretionary rate is determined by the shock of the aggregate demand and offer. Bidding surprises and positivity aggregate demand will lower monetary and fiscal policy targets, rather the shock of bidding and negative aggregate demand will increase monetary and fiscal policy targets.

The first component of the optimal fiscal and monetary policy is the \(A\) [non-contingent] constant and the slope of the Phillips \([\alpha]\). Curve. The combination of request shock and aggregate bidding \([V]\) is the stabilization component of each policy instrument. This means that the summation of fiscal and monetary policy stabilization components is the total proportion of bid surprises and aggregate demand. Therefore the shock of the aggregate demand and supply will decrease if the instrument's uncertainty of monetary and fiscal policy rises.

Expectations of inflation, money stocks growth, and government expenditure are determined by the precision of the combination of fiscal and monetary policy control \([\sigma^2_{\omega}, \sigma^2_{\theta}]\). Therefore, the increased uncertainty of policy instruments will reduce the use of a combination of fiscal and monetary policy. Monetary policy instruments can be controlled perfectly \([\sigma^2_{\omega} = 0]\). Then all policies will be controlled with monetary instruments \([g^P = 0]\), conversely if fiscal policy instruments can be controlled perfectly \([\sigma^2_{\theta} = 0]\) Then all policies will be controlled with the fiscal instrument \([m^P = 0]\). Therefore, uncertainty determines the activism and the composition of the combination of fiscal and monetary policy instruments.

The difference between the rule and the pure discretionary is crucial, where the rule policy is the determination of the policy before inflation expectations are determined. Therefore the type of rule policy is that policy adjustments affect expectations. Fiscal and
monetary policy rules are \( GP = G \), \( mP = M \) and \( \sigma^2_0 = \sigma^2_\alpha = 0 \). When the rule policy is applied, then the equation (1.8) is changed to \( E(\pi) = M + G \). From the equation (1.8) obtained:

\[
E(\pi)_{RUL} = \frac{\alpha \beta (1 + \alpha)}{(1 + \alpha) + (1 + \alpha^2 \beta)} y_T
\]

\[
= \alpha \beta \ y_T
\]  

(1.10)

This means that inflation expectations depend on the Phillips \([\alpha]\) curve slope and policymaker preferences \([\beta]\) so that \( E(\pi)_{DIS} > E(\pi)_{RUL, UL} \). Minimization of expectations of losses against \( M \) and \( G \) results in the optimal fiscal and monetary policy at the condition \( M = G = 0 \). This implies that \([m^P_R = 0]\) and \([g^P_R = 0]\) or expectations of the inflation rate at the discretionary policy are always higher than the rule policy. The difference in inflation expectations at the discretionary policy with rule policies is the inflationary bias so that the equation (1.8) can be defined as the inflationary bias of the discretionary policy. The combination of the surprising demand and aggregate offerings in the rule policy is fully transformed into aggregate output and the inflation rate, so the inflationary bias is zero in the rule policy.

2 Research Method

The ARDL panel Model is used in predicting the fundamental economy in the face of internal and external economic conditions of a country. An auto-reblock Distributed Lag (ARDL) Pesaran. The ARDL panel techniques test statistics that can compare with two critical values are asymptotic with the formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
INF_{Indonesia, p} &= \alpha + \beta_1 \ln TAX_{it-p} + \beta_2 \ln GOV_{it-p} + \beta_3 \ln PDB_{it-p} + \beta_4 \ln INV_{it-p} + \beta_5 \ln KURS_{it-p} + \beta_6 \ln SBK_{it-p} + \beta_7 \ln \text{JUB}_{it-p} + e \\
INF_{Bangladesh, p} &= \alpha + \beta_1 \ln TAX_{it-p} + \beta_2 \ln GOV_{it-p} + \beta_3 \ln PDB_{it-p} + \beta_4 \ln INV_{it-p} + \beta_5 \ln KURS_{it-p} + \beta_6 \ln SBK_{it-p} + \beta_7 \ln \text{JUB}_{it-p} + e \\
INF_{Malaysia, p} &= \alpha + \beta_1 \ln TAX_{it-p} + \beta_2 \ln GOV_{it-p} + \beta_3 \ln PDB_{it-p} + \beta_4 \ln INV_{it-p} + \beta_5 \ln KURS_{it-p} + \beta_6 \ln SBK_{it-p} + \beta_7 \ln \text{JUB}_{it-p} + e \\
INF_{Turkey, p} &= \alpha + \beta_1 \ln TAX_{it-p} + \beta_2 \ln GOV_{it-p} + \beta_3 \ln PDB_{it-p} + \beta_4 \ln INV_{it-p} + \beta_5 \ln KURS_{it-p} + \beta_6 \ln SBK_{it-p} + \beta_7 \ln \text{JUB}_{it-p} + e \\
INF_{UEA, p} &= \alpha + \beta_1 \ln TAX_{it-p} + \beta_2 \ln GOV_{it-p} + \beta_3 \ln PDB_{it-p} + \beta_4 \ln INV_{it-p} + \beta_5 \ln KURS_{it-p} + \beta_6 \ln SBK_{it-p} + \beta_7 \ln \text{JUB}_{it-p} + e \\
INF_{Saudi Arabia, p} &= \alpha + \beta_1 \ln TAX_{it-p} + \beta_2 \ln GOV_{it-p} + \beta_3 \ln PDB_{it-p} + \beta_4 \ln INV_{it-p} + \beta_5 \ln KURS_{it-p} + \beta_6 \ln SBK_{it-p} + \beta_7 \ln \text{JUB}_{it-p} + e
\end{align*}
\]

3 Results and Discussion

Data analysis panel results of ARDL mentions

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{COINTEQ01} & -0.912921 & 0.161860 & -5.640175 & 0.0000 \\
\hline
D(INF(-1)) & 0.026479 & 0.071777 & 0.368905 & 0.7136 \\
D(TAX) & -1.062384 & 1.780055 & -0.596826 & 0.5550 \\
D(GOV) & 0.062269 & 0.176649 & 0.352500 & 0.7258 \\
D(LNPDB) & 29.28328 & 7.699262 & 3.803387 & 0.5854 \\
D(INV) & -0.918738 & 0.890686 & -1.031495 & 0.3067 \\
D(LNKURS) & 25.87371 & 7.122522 & 3.632661 & 0.8406 \\
D(SBK) & 0.017962 & 0.712048 & 0.025226 & 0.9635 \\
D(JUB) & -0.044712 & 0.031078 & -1.438688 & 0.0257 \\
C & 11.64596 & 2.993129 & 3.890899 & 0.0003 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Output Panel ARDL}
\end{table}

Testing over the ARDL panel should have a significant level negative slope basis. The value is negative (-0.78) in the direction of a significant probability (0.01 < 0.05). The overall outcome is known that a significant long-term influence on inflation in emerging Muslim countries is government expenditure, interest rates, and the amount of money supply. Then in the short-term amount of money supply that affects the stability of inflation. Leading indicators of the effectiveness of variables in the stability control of emerging market Muslim countries are the amount of money supply (Indonesia, Bangladesh, Malaysia, Pakistan and UAE, Saudi Arabia, Egypt and Turkey) judging by the stability of short-run and long-run, Where the variable amount of money circulating in the long term as well as short significant control of economic stability. The leading indicator of the country's effectiveness in the stability control of Muslim emerging market countries is namely Indonesia, Bangladesh (tax, government expenditure, amount of money supply, interest rates of credit and amount of money supply) and Malaysia (tax, Government expenditure, investment and the amount of money supply). Other countries e.g. UAE and Saudi Arabia's economic stability control are conducted by taxes, investments and the amount of money supply, whereas Egypt and Turkey are made through the amount of money supply. In panel, apparently the amount of money supply can be a leading indicator for the control of countries Indonesia, Bangladesh, Malaysia, Pakistan, UAE, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and Turkey. Here's a summary of ARDL Panel results table:
Here's a summary of the long-term stability of Muslim emerging markets

Leading indicators of countries in the control of emerging market Muslims, namely Indonesia, Bangladesh (tax, government expenditure, money supply, interest rates of credit and money supply) and Malaysia (tax, government expenditure, investment and money supply)

Countries: UAE and Saudi Arabia's economic stability control is conducted by taxes, investments, and money supply, whereas Egypt and Turkey are conducted through money supply. The Indonesian state is still strong in controlling price stability through the exchange rate stability. Bangladesh is still strong in controlling price stability through the durability of the money supply. Malaysia is still strong in controlling price stability [19]. The development of money supply as leading indicators of economic resilience in Indonesia, Bangladesh, Malaysia, Pakistan and UAE, Saudi Arabia, Turkey. Leading indicators of the stability control of emerging market countries are money supply (Indonesia, Bangladesh, Malaysia, Pakistan and UAE, Saudi Arabia, Turkey) [20]. Nwaobi [21] states money supply affects fundamental resilience. Mğadmi [22], money circulating positively on economic fundamentals then Hussain [15] supported Mğadmi [22] and Forhad [23]. The monetary policy approaches price affects inflation through interest rates and exchange rate channels [19,24,25].
4 Conclusion

The panel turns out to be the leading indicator for controlling the country of Indonesia, Bangladesh, Malaysia, Pakistan, and UAE, Saudi Arabia, Turkey. And the position is stable in the short-run and long run. The leading indicator of the effectiveness of the variables in the stability control of emerging market Muslims is the investment seen from the stability of the short-run and long-run, where the variable amount of money circulating in the long and short term is significant in controlling economic stability.
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