Leadership and Innovation: Building Synergy to Address Global Challenges and Local Solutions

Fathoni Moehtadi[1], Mochamad Panji Pujasakti[2], Anteng Setia Ningsih[3], Lisda Rahayu[4]
(fathoni.moehtadi@brin.go.id, moch027@brin.go.id, ante001@brin.go.id, lisd001@brin.go.id)

Directorate of Research, Technology, and Innovation Policy Evaluation, Deputy for Research and Innovation Policy, Indonesia[1,3]
Directorate of National Insight, Coordinating Ministry for Political, Legal, and Security Affairs, Indonesia[2]
Directorate of Repositories, Multimedia and Scientific Publishing, Deputy for Research and Innovation Facilitation, Indonesia[4]
National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia[1,3,4]

Abstract. This article examines the role of innovative leadership in addressing increasingly complex global challenges, such as digital transformation, health crises, climate change, and economic instability, and how effective local solutions can be developed through cross-sectoral synergy. The study identifies that transformational and adaptive leadership play a pivotal role in driving innovation across various sectors, including public administration, education, and resource management. Several case studies reviewed demonstrate how innovative leadership can create sustainable solutions at the local level. The article also outlines the factors that facilitate and hinder leadership-driven innovation, as well as the importance of cross-sectoral collaboration in achieving more inclusive and effective solutions. The proposed policy implications include the development of leadership capacity, incentives for cross-sectoral collaboration, and the use of digital technologies to support sustainable innovation. This research highlights that developing local leadership capacity is a key element in addressing global challenges through relevant and sustainable solutions.

Keywords: innovative leadership, global challenges, local solutions, cross-sectoral collaboration, resource management.

1. Introduction
A. Background

The global challenges faced by the public administration sector are becoming increasingly complex, particularly in the era of digital transformation and crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. During the pandemic, many countries around the world encountered high levels of uncertainty, necessitating rapid innovation in public service delivery. Strong leadership in the public sector has been recognized as a critical element in driving innovation to address these challenges. For instance, studies have shown that resilient political leadership and technocratic approaches can accelerate the adoption of innovation in the public sector, as observed in several Asian countries in their response to COVID-19. This underscores the vital role of leadership in navigating global crises.

Moreover, digitalization within public administration poses a significant challenge worldwide. Digital transformation in the public sector not only enhances service efficiency but also requires the rapid adaptation of existing systems and processes. Studies indicate that the pandemic has accelerated this process, prompting public services to quickly transition to digital

platforms. However, this transformation also presents challenges related to infrastructure readiness and societal acceptance. The role of leaders in facilitating this transition is crucial, particularly in ensuring that services remain inclusive and accessible to all segments of the population [1], [2], [3].

Effective public sector leadership has become increasingly important in addressing global challenges such as climate change, health crises, and economic instability. Public leadership must not only manage domestic issues but also respond to international dynamics that impact public policy. These challenges necessitate cross-sector collaboration and strong synergy between local and global leadership to create sustainable and innovative solutions [4], [5], [6].

B. Problem Statement

1) While much is known about the importance of leadership and innovation as key drivers of local solutions, several aspects remain underexplored. One such aspect is the relationship between leadership styles and the effectiveness of innovation at the local level. Numerous studies indicate that various leadership styles, such as transformational and adaptive leadership, can play a role in promoting local innovation. However, further research is required to determine how these styles interact with specific socio-cultural conditions in different regions. For example, studies in various cultural contexts show that innovation that succeeds in one area may fail in another due to differences in leadership structures and cultural values [7]. Further research is needed to identify these dynamics and how local leaders can adjust their styles to maximize innovation.

2) Additionally, the influence of policies and bureaucracy on the ability of local leaders to drive innovation remains not fully understood. Bureaucracy is often seen as a barrier to innovation due to slow and rigid decision-making processes. However, there is also evidence suggesting that a stable bureaucratic structure can provide a supportive environment for innovation if managed well. More in-depth research is needed to understand how leaders can navigate existing bureaucracies to be more responsive to local innovation. For instance, studies by Osborne et al. [6] emphasize the need for further research on how bureaucracy can be transformed into a public service ecosystem that supports innovation [8].

3) Finally, the lack of understanding of external factors influencing innovation at the local level, such as global economic pressures or climate change, represents a significant knowledge gap. Although it is known that local innovation is often driven by the need to respond to local issues, it remains unclear how local leaders can leverage global resources or collaborate with international actors to develop innovative solutions. For example, research by Boin et al. [5] indicates that local leaders often struggle to balance local and global demands, limiting their ability to create innovative solutions [9]. Further research is required to explore how leaders can harness global trends to generate impactful local innovation.

C. Objective

The objective of this article is to explore the role of leadership in driving innovation to address global challenges through relevant local solutions.

D. Significance of the Study

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to understanding the critical role of leadership in fostering innovation as a response to global challenges. As the world faces unprecedented crises like pandemics, climate change, and economic instability, this research highlights the importance of leadership that not only manages immediate responses but also develops sustainable, long-term solutions. By focusing on the interplay between leadership, innovation, and public administration, this study provides valuable insights into how leaders at both local and global levels can collaborate to address complex global issues.

Furthermore, the study extends the body of knowledge on leadership styles, particularly in public administration, by investigating how different leadership approaches can influence the success of innovation across various socio-cultural and political contexts. This adds a new dimension to leadership studies by incorporating cross-cultural perspectives, which is especially relevant for policy formulation in diverse regions.

Additionally, the findings of this study have practical implications for policymakers, government officials, and public sector leaders. It offers recommendations on how to build effective leadership capacities to drive innovation, making it a critical resource for governments striving to enhance their public service delivery in an increasingly interconnected world. By providing evidence-based strategies for overcoming challenges like bureaucratic inertia and digital transformation, this study can guide future leadership development programs and public sector reforms globally.

2. Literature Review
A. Definition and Concept of Leadership in the Discipline of Public Administration

In the discipline of public administration, leadership is defined as the ability of an individual or a group to guide, inspire, and influence others within an organization to achieve collective goals while considering the overall welfare of society. The concept of leadership in public administration is often associated with the implementation of public policies, the management of bureaucracy, and innovation in public service delivery. Leadership in this sector must be responsive to social, political, and economic changes, and be capable of navigating complex environments to create public value [10].

Leadership in public administration can be categorized into several main types, such as transformational leadership, transactional leadership, and adaptive leadership [11]. Transformational leadership focuses on inspiring and motivating individuals to work towards positive change, while transactional leadership is more oriented towards exchanges between leaders and followers based on rewards or sanctions. Adaptive leadership, on the other hand, emphasizes the ability to manage change and address emerging challenges [12], [4], [8].

3. Methodology
A. Research Approach

The research approach used in this article is a qualitative approach with a case study as the primary method. The research focuses on observing innovative leadership across various sectors, such as public administration, education, and resource management, in addressing global challenges like climate change, health crises, and digital transformation. This study also examines the factors that support and hinder innovation, as well as the role of cross-sectoral synergy in creating sustainable local solutions [13], [14].

B. Description of the Population or Sectors Analyzed

The population or sectors analyzed in this research include public administration, education, and natural resource management across different global regions, with a focus on specific cases that illustrate innovative leadership at the local level. For instance, the case study of Seoul, South Korea, demonstrates how Mayor Park Won-soon successfully implemented digital technology to handle the COVID-19 pandemic, while the Mayor of Rotterdam initiated the Rotterdam Climate Proof project to address climate change challenges through infrastructure innovation and community participation [15], [16], [17].

C. Data Collection and Analysis Techniques

The data collection techniques used in this study include a literature review and documentary analysis of public policies, government reports, and journal articles relevant to the topics of innovative leadership and cross-sectoral synergy. Data were also gathered from previously published case studies on leadership in Seoul, Rotterdam, and Bogor, which were then analyzed using a comparative approach to identify patterns and factors influencing the success or failure of innovation in each context [12], [18], [19].

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, where key themes such as transformational leadership, technological innovation, and cross-sectoral collaboration were identified and evaluated based on their relevance in creating sustainable local solutions.

4. Results
A. Concrete Examples of Innovative Leadership in Addressing Global Challenges at the Local Level

1. Case Study: Innovative Leadership in Responding to the COVID-19 Pandemic in Seoul, South Korea

Park Won-soon, the mayor of Seoul, was widely recognized for his innovative leadership in responding to the COVID-19 pandemic. He implemented technology-based and transparent strategies in mitigation efforts. One of his key innovations was using information technology to conduct contact tracing through mobile applications and GPS technology, enabling quick identification and monitoring of individuals exposed to the virus. This approach demonstrates how digital technology and innovative leadership can be utilized to address global health crises at the local level [20], [21], [15]. Additionally, Mayor Park actively promoted citizen engagement in pandemic management through online platforms to gather public feedback, which helped strengthen public trust in the government [22].

2. Case Study: Transformational Leadership in Addressing Climate Change in Rotterdam, the Netherlands

The Mayor of Rotterdam exhibited innovative leadership in addressing climate change challenges by leading the Rotterdam Climate Proof project, an initiative to make Rotterdam climate-resilient by 2025. The project included innovative solutions such as constructing water plazas, which serve as recreational areas during normal weather but transform into water storage basins during floods. This initiative not only addresses climate change at the local level but also involves the community in the planning and implementation of solutions [23], [24], [25].

3. Case Study: Adaptive Leadership in Environmental Management in Bogor, Indonesia

The Mayor of Bogor, Bima Arya, demonstrated adaptive leadership by focusing on urban environmental management through various policy innovations. One such initiative was the Kampung Ramah Lingkungan (Eco-Friendly Village), which engaged the community in waste management and carbon emission reduction at the local level. This innovation exemplifies how local leaders can adapt global policies to address local challenges, particularly regarding environmental issues [26], [27], [28]. Mayor Bima Arya also developed the Green Transportation program to reduce air pollution, emphasizing the need for innovation in the transportation sector to support urban environmental sustainability.

These case studies illustrate how innovative leadership at the local level can play a crucial role in addressing global challenges, whether in health, climate, or environmental contexts. Leadership responsive to local contexts and dynamic in facing global challenges proves to be key to creating effective and sustainable solutions.

5. Discussion
A. Analysis of the Role of Leadership in Creating Innovative Solutions in the Education, Public Administration, or Resource Management Sectors

1. Education Sector
    In the education sector, innovative leadership is essential in addressing challenges such as unequal access, quality of education, and technological adaptation. Transformational leadership in schools and universities plays a pivotal role in driving positive change, such as adopting digital technology for remote learning and enhancing teaching quality. During the COVID-19 pandemic, many educational institutions led by proactive leaders adopted online learning platforms to maintain educational continuity. Research shows that transformational leaders who can inspire and motivate teachers and staff to innovate can increase student engagement and learning outcomes [29], [30], [31]. Collaborative and empowering leadership is also vital in creating an educational environment that fosters innovation [32].

2. Public Administration Sector
    Leadership in the public administration sector plays a significant role in promoting more efficient, responsive, and inclusive public service innovation. Leaders in this sector face challenges such as rigid bureaucracy, budget constraints, and political dynamics. However, innovative leaders can implement flexible, solution-oriented strategies to improve organizational performance and public service delivery. One example of innovation in this sector is the use of digital technology to streamline administrative processes and enhance government transparency [33], [10], [34]. For instance, under innovative leadership, the city government of Seoul, South Korea, effectively adopted technology to track and manage the spread of COVID-19, demonstrating how innovation can enhance public administration efficiency [14].

3. Natural Resource Management and Environmental Sector
    In the natural resource management sector, adaptive leadership is crucial in creating sustainable solutions. Effective leaders in this sector are capable of addressing challenges such as climate change, environmental degradation, and limited resource management. They often need a flexible and collaborative approach, working with various stakeholders to create innovative solutions that not only protect the environment but also support local economic development. For example, the Mayor of Rotterdam adopted the Rotterdam Climate Proof

project, which combines infrastructure innovation with sustainability principles to address flood risks caused by climate change [35], [36]. Leadership focused on sustainability integrates technology, public participation, and strategic planning to generate long-term solutions [16]. Innovative leadership across various sectors, including education, public administration, and natural resource management, is crucial in developing solutions that address global and local challenges. Leaders who are responsive to change and capable of driving collaboration and technology adoption are more successful in creating sustainable and impactful solutions for society.

B. Factors Driving or Inhibiting Innovation Led by Leadership

1. Factors Driving Leadership-Driven Innovation

One key factor driving innovation is transformational leadership. Transformational leaders can inspire, motivate, and empower their teams to think creatively and take the necessary risks to innovate [37], [38]. Additionally, commitment to a clear and future-oriented vision is also crucial in driving innovation. Leaders with a strong vision can direct resources and workforce toward achieving long-term goals while fostering an environment that supports change [39]. Cross-sectoral collaboration is another important factor in promoting innovation. Leadership that encourages collaboration between government, the private sector, and civil society is more effective in creating sustainable innovative solutions. For example, the leadership in Rotterdam successfully established the Rotterdam Climate Proof project through collaboration between the city government, the private sector, and residents, ultimately creating innovation in water management and climate adaptation [40].

2. Factors Inhibiting Leadership-Driven Innovation

One of the biggest obstacles to leadership-driven innovation is rigid bureaucratic structures. Bureaucracy often leads to slow decision-making processes and tends to be unresponsive to change. Leaders who wish to drive innovation in bureaucratic environments must overcome lengthy procedures, inflexibility, and a conservative organizational culture [41]. Research indicates that even when leaders have an innovative vision, inefficient bureaucracy can hinder the ability to realize such innovation [42].

3. Cultural and Social Factors

Cultural and social factors also influence leadership-driven innovation. An organizational culture that does not support creativity and innovation will hinder the process of change. In contrast, organizations that encourage experimentation, tolerance of failure, and learning from mistakes tend to be more successful in implementing innovation [43]. In certain contexts, social-cultural differences also play an important role in how innovation is received at the local level, where traditional customs and values can be barriers to leadership-driven innovation [9].

C. Synergistic Relationships Between Different Sectors in Achieving Innovative Solutions

The synergistic relationship between different sectors plays a crucial role in achieving innovative solutions, particularly in addressing complex global challenges such as climate change, economic inequality, and health crises. This synergy involves collaboration between sectors such as government, business, education, and civil society working together to create solutions.

6. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Findings on Leadership and Innovation in Addressing Global Challenges

This study highlights the importance of innovative leadership as a key factor in addressing global challenges such as climate change, health crises, and economic instability. The main findings indicate that:

1. Innovative Leadership Accelerates Adaptation to Global Crises

Leaders capable of innovation have proven to be more effective in responding to complex and urgent crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic and climate change challenges. They drive rapid solutions through technology and new strategies, such as the use of big data, digital services, and more flexible management models. Transformational leadership, which focuses on motivating and empowering teams, plays a crucial role in accelerating the necessary innovation to tackle global crises at the local level.

2. Cross-Sectoral Synergy Strengthens Innovation Capability

Successful leadership in creating sustainable innovation often occurs when collaboration exists between various sectors such as government, private, and educational institutions. Studies show that cross-sectoral collaboration accelerates the innovation process through the sharing of resources, knowledge, and technology. Leaders who can guide and facilitate this synergy can create innovative solutions that have a broader impact and are more effective in the long term.

3. Leadership Capacity Development is a Key Element

The key findings also indicate that developing leadership capacity at the local level is one of the most crucial elements in creating solutions tailored to community needs. Leaders with adaptive skills and a forward-thinking perspective are more capable of dealing with complex and dynamic challenges at the local level. This capacity development forms the foundation for creating responsive, inclusive, and innovative public policies in addressing global challenges. These conclusions underscore that innovative leadership is not only important for responding to local challenges but also crucial in shaping sustainable and innovative policies in the global context.

B. The Synergistic Role of Different Disciplines in Creating Sustainable Local Solutions

The conclusion of this study indicates that synergy between various disciplines, such as public administration, social sciences, environmental science, and technology, is essential in creating sustainable local solutions. Each discipline has a unique approach that, when combined synergistically, can generate more comprehensive and sustainable innovation.

1. Public Administration and Social Sciences for Community-Based Policy

Public administration plays a vital role in designing and implementing effective policies, while social sciences provide insights into community needs and behavior. This collaboration is essential in creating solutions that are not only technical but also inclusive and sustainable. Leaders who understand social aspects can integrate sustainability-focused policies that consider local social, cultural, and political dynamics.

2. Environmental Science and Technology for Sustainable Innovation

Environmental science contributes ecosystem-based solutions to local challenges, such as climate change mitigation and natural resource management. When combined with technology, such as the development of renewable energy and smart farming systems, this collaboration creates innovations that can provide sustainable solutions at the local level. The utilization of modern technologies, such as big data, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence (AI), also enhances the sustainability of solutions implemented in environmental and resource management.

3. Education and Innovation in Capacity Building

Education plays a crucial role in building local capacity to adopt and develop sustainable innovations. Through collaboration between educational institutions, the private sector, and government, training and educational programs relevant to industry and community needs can be created. This synergy enhances the preparedness of the local workforce and strengthens innovation in creating solutions that are more inclusive and responsive to both local and global changes.

The synergy between various disciplines in creating sustainable local solutions involves the integration of community-based policies, technological innovation, holistic environmental approaches, and capacity building through education. This synergy offers a more comprehensive approach and enables more sustainable and responsive solutions to global and local challenges.

C. Recommendations for Further Research and Practical Implications

1. Recommendations for Further Research

Further research should focus on several important areas to support the development of innovative leadership in creating sustainable local solutions. First, comparative cross-cultural and cross-sectoral research can provide deeper insights into how different leadership styles interact with socio-cultural contexts in various regions. For example, how adaptive and transformational leadership functions in different bureaucratic contexts or the private sector compared to the public sector. Further research is also needed to explore the role of digital technology in supporting synergy between sectors and how digital platforms can accelerate collaboration in creating innovative solutions.

Additionally, research should delve deeper into the relationship between education and innovation, particularly how educational systems can be designed to proactively encourage future leaders capable of addressing global challenges through innovative local solutions. Longitudinal research that monitors the development of leadership capacity from an educational perspective is also important to assess the long-term impact of these initiatives.

2. Practical Implications

In practical implementation, leadership capacity development should be a top priority in organizations, both in the public and private sectors. Training programs that focus on innovative and adaptive leadership should be part of human resource development strategies. Governments and organizations should design training that combines leadership theory with practical simulations, enabling future leaders to directly confront the complexities of global and local challenges.

Cross-sectoral synergy should be strengthened through policies that encourage collaboration between the public sector, private sector, education, and civil society. For instance, governments can create incentive policies that support joint projects between various

sectors to address sustainability issues, such as climate change and resource management. On the technological side, digital platforms that facilitate information and resource exchange between sectors can be adopted to accelerate innovation.

Further research focusing on innovative leadership, cross-sectoral collaboration, and technology integration will strengthen the synergy between disciplines in creating sustainable local solutions. In practical implementation, enhancing leadership capacity and policies that encourage cross-sectoral collaboration will be key in addressing global challenges with innovative solutions that have a local impact.

D. Limitations of the Study

While this study offers valuable insights into the role of leadership and innovation in addressing global challenges, several limitations must be acknowledged. The case studies analyzed, may not be fully representative of leadership practices in other parts of the world, with different socio-economic, political, and cultural contexts. While this provides a solid foundation for understanding leadership and innovation, it also introduces potential biases inherent in the data sources. The dynamic and rapidly changing nature of these issues means that the leadership strategies and innovations identified in this study may become outdated or less effective over time. Last but not least, while this study touches on the importance of socio-cultural contexts in leadership practices, it does not deeply explore the variations in leadership styles across different cultures.

Funding

Declarations of Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

[1] Agostino, D., Arnaboldi, M., & Lema, M. (2021). COVID-19 as an accelerator of digital transformation in public service delivery. Public Money and Management, 41(1), 69-72. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2020.1853353.

[2] Criado, J. I., Valero, J., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2020). Enacting digital government success: From e-government to smart governance. Government Information Quarterly, 37(1), 101518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101518.

[3] Mu, R., & Wang, H. (2022). A systematic literature review of open innovation in the public sector: Comparing barriers and governance strategies of digital and non-digital open innovation. Public Management Review, 24(4), 489-511. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2022.2048685.

[4] Hartley, J., Alford, J., Hughes, O., & Yates, S. (2015). Public value and political astuteness in the work of public managers: The art of the possible. Public Administration, 93(1), 195-211. https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12125.

[5] Boin, A., Hart, P., Stern, E., & Sundelius, B. (2017). The Politics of Crisis Management: Public Leadership Under Pressure. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107706033.

[6] Osborne, S., & Strokosch, K. (2022). Value creation in the public service ecosystem: An integrative framework. Public Administration Review, 82(1), 85-95. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13474.

[7] Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational Leadership. Psychology Press.

[8] Elenkov, D. S., & Manev, I. M. (2005). Top management leadership and influence on innovation: The role of sociocultural context. Journal of Management, 31(3), 381-402. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206304272151.

[9] House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). Culture, Leadership, and Organizations: The GLOBE Study of 62 Societies. Sage Publications.

[10] Van Wart, M. (2003). Public-Sector Leadership Theory: An Assessment. Public Administration Review, 63(2), 214-228. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00281.

[11] Crosby, B. C., & Bryson, J. M. (2005). Leadership for the Common Good: Tackling Public Problems in a Shared-Power World. Jossey-Bass.

[12] Denhardt, R. B., Denhardt, J. V., & Aristigueta, M. P. (2013). Managing Human Behavior in Public and Nonprofit Organizations. SAGE Publications.

[13] Yin, R. K. (2018). Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

[14] Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

[15] Oh, S. S., & Choi, Y., (2021). The Role of Smart Cities in Managing COVID-19: A Case Study of Seoul's Response. Journal of Urban Technology, 28(1-2), 79-98. https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2020.1868589.

[16] Borins, S. (2014). The Persistence of Innovation in Government. Brookings Institution Press.

[17] Mees, H., Driessen, P. P. J., & Runhaar, H. A. C. (2014). Cool Governance of Climate Change Adaptation: The Case of Rotterdam's Water Squares. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 57(11), 1515-1536. https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2013.802228.

[18] Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document Analysis as a Qualitative Research Method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027.

[19] Hartley, J. (2004). Case Study Research. Essential Guide to Qualitative Methods in Organizational Research, 323-333.

[20] Kim, S., & Park, W. (2021). Leadership and Crisis Management: The Role of Seoul City in Containing COVID-19. Journal of Crisis Leadership, 12(1), 45-63.

[21] Cho, E., & Lee, J. (2021). The Application of Digital Technology in COVID-19 Crisis Management in South Korea. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 165, 120512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120512.

[22] Lee, H. J. (2020). E-Governance and Public Trust: The Case of Seoul's Response to COVID-19. Public Administration Review, 80(4), 557-564. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13212.

[23] Mees, H., Driessen, P. P. J., & Runhaar, H. A. C. (2015). Cool Governance of Climate Change Adaptation: The Case of Rotterdam's Water Squares. Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning, 17(2), 179-197. https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2014.947921.

[24] van der Brugge, R., & Roosjen, R. (2015). An Innovative Water Management Approach: The Case of Rotterdam. Sustainability, 7(9), 12024-12042. https://doi.org/10.3390/su70912024.

[25] Wardekker, J. A., de Jong, A., Knoop, J. M., & Sluijs, J. P. (2010). Operationalising a Resilience Approach to Adapting an Urban Delta to Uncertain Climate Changes. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 77(6), 987-998.

[26] Harahap, R. (2020). Environmental Governance and Local Leadership: The Case of Bogor City. Journal of Public Administration and Governance, 10(3), 101-118.

[27] Suryani, D. (2018). Community-Based Environmental Management in Urban Areas: The Case of Kampung Ramah Lingkungan in Bogor. Environmental Science and Policy Journal, 12(2), 201-213.

[28] Prasetyo, A. (2019). Local Leadership and Sustainable Development in Indonesia: Case Study of Bogor. Journal of Environmental Sustainability, 15(1), 75-92.

[29] Day, D. V., Fleenor, J. W., Atwater, L. E., Sturm, R. E., & McKee, R. A. (2014). Advances in Leader and Leadership Development: A Review of 25 Years of Research and Theory. The Leadership Quarterly, 25(1), 63-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2013.11.004.

[30] Hallinger, P. (2003). Leading Educational Change: Reflections on the Practice of Instructional and Transformational Leadership. Cambridge Journal of Education, 33(3), 329-351. https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764032000122005.

[31] Dhawan, S. (2020). Online Learning: A Panacea in the Time of COVID-19 Crisis. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49(1), 5-22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018.

[32] Spillane, J. P., Halverson, R., & Diamond, J. B. (2004). Towards a Theory of Leadership Practice: A Distributed Perspective. Journal of Curriculum Studies, 36(1), 3-34. https://doi.org/10.1080/0022027032000106726.

[33] Hartley, J., & Benington, J. (2010). Leadership for Public Value: Political Astuteness as a Key Leadership Skill. Public Administration, 88(3), 638-658. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01835.x.

[34] Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2011). Enhancing Collaborative Innovation in the Public Sector. Administration & Society, 43(8), 842-868. https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399711418768.

[35] Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., & Norberg, J. (2005). Adaptive Governance of Social-Ecological Systems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 30(1), 441-473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511.

[36] Reed, M. S., Evely, A. C., Cundill, G., Fazey, I., Glass, J., Laing, A., & Stringer, L. C. (2010). What Is Social Learning? Ecology and Society, 15(4). https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-03564-1504r01.

[37] Eisenbeiß, S. A., & Boerner, S. (2010). Transformational Leadership and R&D Innovation: Taking a Curvilinear Approach. Creativity and Innovation Management, 19(4), 364-372. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8691.2010.00563.x

[38] Jung, D. I., Chow, C., & Wu, A. (2003). The Role of Transformational Leadership in Enhancing Organizational Innovation: Hypotheses and Some Preliminary Findings. The Leadership Quarterly, 14(4), 525-544. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(03)00050-X.

[39] Berson, Y., Shamir, B., Avolio, B. J., & Popper, M. (2001). The Relationship Between Vision Strength, Leadership Style, and Context. The Leadership Quarterly, 12(1), 53-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(01)00064-9.

[40] Bettini, Y., Brown, R. R., & de Haan, F. J. (2015). Water Governance in a Climate Change World: Appraising Systemic and Transformative Approaches.** *Water Resources Management*, 29(2), 497-514. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-014-0803-4.

[41] Damanpour, F., & Schneider, M. (2009). Characteristics of Innovation and Innovation Adoption in Public Organizations: Assessing the Role of Managers. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 19(3), 495-522. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mun021.

[42] Raadschelders, J. C. N., & Vigoda-Gadot, E. (2015). Global Dimensions of Public Administration and Governance: A Comparative Voyage. John Wiley & Sons.

[43] Schein, E. H. (2010). Organizational Culture and Leadership (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.