# E-Preferences of MSMEs to Zakah or Tax in Covid-19 Period

 $\begin{array}{l} Rossje \ V \ Suryaputri^l, \ Fitri \ Kurniawati^2 \\ \{rossjevi@trisakti.ac.id^l\} \end{array}$ 

Master of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti<sup>2</sup>

Abstract. The pandemic caused by Covid 19 today has made it difficult for MSMEs to survive in their business fields. However, as good citizens, Moslem entrepreneurs find it obligatory to pay taxes, and at the same time to also pay zakah, as part of the pillars of Islam to be fulfilled. In response to these conditions, this research is aimed to obtain the preferences of MSME entrepreneurs towards taxes with Zakah in the Greater Jakarta areas, by using a qualitative approach with ANP (Analytic Network Process) through BOCR (Benefit, Opportunity, Cost, and Risk). This study obtained data from nine expert sources consisting of four categories, i.e., MSME, scholars, practitioners from the Department of Taxes, and Amil Zakah. The result of this research is the perception that MSMEs prefer to choose to pay their taxes (0.597) than Zakah (0.224). From the BOCR approach, the highest is Benefit (0.262), then Risk (0.250), Cost (0.226), and Opportunity (0.220). The highest benefits are increasing credibility (0.400), receiving incentives from the government (0.288), and obtaining equity from banks (0.237). The value risk is customers loss (0.362), punishment (0.273), and loss of credibility (0.269). The cost values are preferences for paying taxes from Zakah (0.396), deduction in business profit (0.336), and citizens' obligations (0.204). The Opportunity value is the ease to get a business license (0.394), to manage the administration of public services (0.284), and to help set up business finances (0.234).

Keywords: Benefit Opportunity Cost Risk (BOCR); Zakah; Tax

#### 1 Introduction

Covid-19 pandemic that swept the world since the beginning of 2020 has affected the world economy significantly. The weakening of the global economy also affected domestic economic conditions. Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are at the forefront of experiencing the impacts of the economic recession caused by the pandemic. The implementation of Large-Scale Social Restriction and Micro-Scale Social Restriction affects MSME operations. According to the Result Analysis of the Covid-19 Impact Survey to the business people conducted by BPS in 2020 [1], 84.20% of MSMEs experienced a decrease in income. During the Large-Scale Social Restriction, 78.35% of MSMEs experienced declining

demand because customers were also affected by the pandemic. Meanwhile, 62.21% of MSMEs face financial problems related to employees and operational activities.

MSMEs play essential roles in the Indonesian economy. MSMEs contribute to labor employment, Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, social safety nets, exports increase, and investment opportunity. MSMEs contributed to the national economy (GDP) by 61.1%, while the rest from large businesses, amounted to 0.01% of all business came players. The MSME sector is dominated by micro-entrepreneurs where 98.68% is reflected in their ability to employ as many as labors up to 89%. The government as a policymaker has made various efforts to reduce the impact of the pandemic, for instance issuing The Ministry of Finance (MoF) Regulation No.44/PMK.03/2020 (PMK-44) on Tax Incentives for Taxpayers affected by Covid-19. Other related incentives, such as Income Tax (PPh) Article 21, borne by government, exemption on imported goods (Income Tax (PPh) Article 22), reduction of installment rates on Income Tax (PPh) Article 25, and accelerated restitution [2].

The decision to extend the tax incentives was made to help taxpayers affected by Covid-19. Referring to The Ministry of Finance (MoF) Regulation Number 9/PMK.03/2021 on Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the Covid- 19 Pandemic, the final Income Tax incentives for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) are also borne by the government. Meanwhile, the zakah sector increased by 46% during the pandemic. During the January to June 2020 period, the total funds collected at Baznas reached IDR240.39 billion. This amount is higher than the same period in 2019, which was around IDR156.83 billion. The distribution of zakah also increased during the January-June 2020 period to 129.82%. It encourages an increase in the number of recipients of zakah by 87.42 percent compared to 2019. This condition is an indication that public awareness to pay zakah is getting higher. In Surah Al Baqarah verse 257, only those who earn and get the wealth by legal can pay zakah. Zakah can be paid monthly or collected in advance for one year as 2.5% of total income in each month. Zakah will be distributed to eight groups entitled to receive zakah, including the indigent, the poor, *amil* zakah, converts, slaves, *gharim, fi sabilillah*, and *ibn sabil*.

On the other hand, the community is faced double obligation on paying zakah and taxes. Therefore, the government makes regulations that are expected to be a solution in this problem. In 2010 the government issued Indonesian Government Regulation Number 60 on zakah or religious contributions that are obligatory can deduct from gross income. In 2011, the Directorate General of Taxes issued regulation Number PER-33/PJ/2011 on the establishment of entities or institutions founded or legalized by the government as zakah recipient or religious donations, which are obligatory and can be deducted from gross income—followed by Law no. 23 of 2011 on Zakah Management Article 22 stating that zakah paid by muzakki to BAZNAS or LAZ is deducted from taxable income. Article 23 paragraph (1) states that BAZNAS or LAZ are obliged to provide proof of zakah payment to each muzakki; (2) the proof of payment as referred to paragraph (1) is used as a deduction of taxable income. The proof of deposit can be used as a deduction of gross income in the annual tax return. However, there are not many people who use these facilities. The position of zakah, which is only a tax deduction is considered to have no significant impact on paid tax value.

The purpose of this study was to determine the preferences of MSME in fulfilling their obligations as citizens by paying taxes, and as Moslem by paying zakah. This research has novelty compared to previous research. The previous study only tested the effect of the zakah incentive variable as a tax credit, along with the impact of other variables on the participation rate of muzakki and taxpayers using the SEM method. This study was to compare the preferences of MSME in paying zakah or taxes using the Analytic Network

Process (ANP) method. The former research and framework of this study can be seen respectively in Tabel.1 and Figure 1.

Table 1. Summary of the previou	is researches
---------------------------------	---------------

No	<b>Research</b> Title	Researchers	Research Results
1	Prospects of Zakah as Tax Credit in a New Normal COVID-19 Period (2021)	Any Setianingrum, Nurul Huda, dan Perdana Wahyu Santosa	Regulatory variables, zakah incentives as tax credits technology-based services, socialization, and promotions significantly affect the preferences or <i>muzakki</i> and taxpayers. At the same time, the regulatory variables, zakah incentives as tax credits socialization, and promotion, have a significan impact on <i>muzakki</i> and taxpayer participation. Meanwhile, service and technology preferences in this study did not significantly affect the participation of <i>muzakki</i> and taxpayers [3].
2	Kebijakan Pajak Indonesia Menanggapi Krisis Covid-19: Manfaat bagi Wajib Pajak (2020)	Aswin Padyanoor	The government issued a policy to help taxpayers affected by Covid-19. Taxpayers receive the benefits of reducing rates to the exemption from income tax so that the economy can rise and become stable [4].
3	The Selection of Zakah Fundraising Activities of Zakah Management Organizations in East Java Using Analytic Network Process (2020)	Noven Lukito Hadi Saputro, Raditya Sukmana	Direct fundraising, with a priority value of $37.90\%$ (W=significant), is the most dominant alternative in East Java. Then, digital fundraising (25.11%, W significant) is the second alternative, and indirect fundraising (17.12%, W = significant) is the third alternative [5].
4	Strategi Peningkatan Target Wajib Pajak Bagi UMKM Dengan Metode Analytic Network Process (ANP) (2020)	Imelda Dian Rahmawati, Muhammad Nasih	Using the Network Process Analysis (ANP) method the priority strategy for increasing income from th MSME sector was obtained. The strategy to improv tax services for MSME taxpayers is in the firs rank. Tax services for MSME taxpayers include th convenience of tax reporting, tax paying, and access to information about taxes [6].
5	Zakah Compliance Behavior among Entrepreneurs: Economic Factors Approach (2020)	Saeed Awadh Bin-Nashwan, Hijattulah Abdul-Jabbar, Saliza Abdul Aziz, Alhassan Haladu	The suitability of the approach to economic factors in the context of Zakah Compliance (ZC) in developing countries. In particular, this study shows that th complexity of the zakah law has a significantly negative effect on the ZC of entrepreneurs. The study also found a significant positive relationship between religiosity and ZC among the study sample, while the study revealed that law enforcement does not affect compliance behavior [7].
6	A socio-economic model of Zakah compliance (2020)	Saeed Awadh Bin-Nashwan, Hijattulah Abdul-Jabbar, Saliza Abdul Aziz, K. Kuperan Viswanathan	All variables included in the compliance model wer statistically significant, except lav enforcement. Entrepreneurs' zakah compliance i significantly influenced by the fairness of the zaka system, zakah morals, and the influence of thos closest to them [8].

No	<b>Research</b> Title	Researchers	<b>Research Results</b>
7	Zakah as a Tax Credit for Raising Indonesian Tax Revenue (2019)	Any Setianingrum, Penny Rahmah Fadhilah, Aam Slamet Rusydiana	The analytical tool used is ANP (Analytic Network Process). Based on the research results, the first rank is zakah as a tax credit with a weight of 0.469. The second alternative is zakah as a deduction from taxable income with a weight of 0.385. The third or last alternative is zakah and taxes, which do not directly relate to the weight of 0.146 [9].
8	Analisis 'Uqubah terhadap Muzakki yang Tidak Membayar Zakah Melalui Baitul Mal (2019)	Nurdin	The penalty for <i>muzakki</i> who do not pay zakah because they deny the obligation of zakah will be punished by <i>hadd</i> practice (battled or killed). 'Uqubah for <i>muzakki</i> reluctant to give alms for miserly attitude, then zakah is taken by force and accompanied <i>ta'zir</i> form of a good half of his possessions, and imprisoned when necessary [10].
9	Zakah as Tax Credit for Harmonize Fiscal Setting in Indonesia (2018)	Any Setianingrum, Suhirman Madjid, Masagus Asaari	The results of the integration analysis show that the tax credit from zakah can increase revenue in the zakah and tax sector, compared to zakah only as a cost or as a deduction from taxable income. As a controlling tax payment, zakah can recruit <i>muzakki</i> to be included in the group who pays zakah and taxes without causing a double burden for those who pay zakah. This policy is in line with PSAK 101, where zakah does not include in the income statement [11].
10	Menggali Realitas Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Pemilik UMKM (2017)	Sura Klaudia, Dewi Rimba Riwayanti, dan Aminatunnisa	Taxpayers who do not comply to pay taxes because they think taxes can be substituted by charity. MSMEs do not have the confidence to pay taxes due to the lack of socialization, the government's indecisiveness in implementing tax regulations, and they do not directly feel the positive impact of paying taxes [12].

# 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Analytical Network Process

Analytic Network Process is an analytical tool used in this research. The decision-making process is carried out without making assumptions about the independence of elements from higher elements to lower elements and the independence of elements at a level [13]. The ANP questionnaire arranged in the form of a pairwise comparison between elements in the cluster to find out which of the two has a more dominant influence and how big the difference is from one side. The numerical scale of 1-9 is the definition of verbal assessment.

 Table 2. Definition for Verbal Scale dan Numeric Scale

Table 2. Definition for Verbar Scale auf Manierie Scale				
Definition	<b>Intensity of Importance</b>			
Extreme Importance	9			
For Compromises Between the Above Values	8			
Very Strong and Demonstrated Importance	7			
For Compromises Between the Above Values	6			
Strong Importance	5			
For Compromises Between the Above Values	4			

Definition	<b>Intensity of Importance</b>
Moderate Importance	3
For Compromises Between the Above Values	2
Equal Importance	1

The foundation of ANP theory has four axioms, namely:

- a. Reciprocal, this axiom states that if a PC (EA, EB) is a comparative value pair of elements A and B, seen from its parent element C, which indicates how many times more elements for C, how many times more element for A has a thing possessed by the elements B, then PC(EB, EA) = 1/Pc(EA, EB).
- b. Homogeneity states that the elements compared in the ANP framework structure should not be too significant to cause greater errors in determining the assessment of supporting elements that influence decisions.
- c. Priority is absolute weighting using an interval scale (0,1) and a measure of relative dominance.
- d. The dependence condition is assumed to be an arrangement composed of components that make up the cluster.

The ANP research stages are shown in Figure 1 below:



Fig. 1. Stages of ANP

#### 2.2 BOCR (Benefits, Opportunities, Costs, Risks)

BOCR (Benefits, Opportunities, Costs, Risks) is one of many Analytic Network Process models. BOCR can be used to determine alternatives because it has criteria, and there are alternative solutions like other ANP models. Saaty and Vargas explain that benefits are profitable things, while costs is a non profitable thing [14]. Worries that happen due to uncertainty decision is a positive opportunity, and risk is something negative that can happen. Therefore, Benefit is a positive thing obtained in the short term. Opportunity is a benefit that is possible to gain in the future. Cost is a negative thing that will happen in the short term. Meanwhile, the risk is a loss that is likely to be experienced in the future.

# **3** Results and Discussion

# 3.1 Analysis Result

The model construction in this study comes from various references, which are described as follows:



Source: Image Processed (2021) Fig. 2. ANP Model Construction

The explanations for each variable that become clusters and sub-clusters of the model are as follows:

Table 3. Operation of the BOCR cluster in the ANP Model

Variables	Definition
	Reference
TAX BENEFITS	
Facilitate MSME	Having a Taxpayer Identification Number can help business owners to make a
business permits	Letter of Business Permit more easily
	Presidential Regulation Number 98 Year 2014 on Micro and Small Business Permits, Minister of Trade Regulation Number 83 Year 2014 on Guidelines of Issuing Micro and Small Business Permits
Facilitate public	Obtain convenience in tax reporting, paying taxes, and accessing tax
service	information [6]
administration for	
MSMEs	
Helping MSME	MSMEs whose finances are managed and reported in a transparent and an
business finance	accurate way will have a positive impact on SMEs itself [15], [16]
management OPPORTUNITIES	
Increase the	Paying taxes increases the credibility of MSMEs to the consumers, business
credibility of	partners, and investors [15], [16]
MSMEs	
Make it easier for	Taxpayers who are affected by Covid-19 get the benefits of tariff
MSMEs to get	reduction to the income tax exemption [4]
incentives from the	
government	

Variables	Definition		
	Reference		
Make it easier for MSMEs to get capital loans from banks COSTS	MSMEs who are orderly paid taxes will increase their level so they are easy t get loans from financial institutions [15], [16]		
Paying taxes is an obligation as a citizen	The government determined the tax rate for MSMEs which are operating i Indonesia		
	Law Number 20/2008 on MSMEs Business; [16].		
Paying taxes can reduce business profits Preferred paying	0.5 percent final tax income is considered to be borne by SMEs becaus its calculation is based on the total gross income without any deductions [16] [17]. Taxpayers feel that zakah can replace their tax payments. In addition		
zakah over taxes	taxpayers do not think they get direct benefits after paying taxes to the state so taxpayers are reluctant to pay taxes. [12], [17]		
Will be subject to	MSMEs are obedient to paying taxes because they are afraid of sever		
tax penalties	sanctions if they violate the government regulations. [16], [18]		
Lowering the credibility of MSMEs	The credibility of MSMEs who do not comply with paying taxes can decreas and affect MSME performance [15], [16].		
MSMEs lose trust	MSMEs will risk losing consumers' trust in the future if they do not compl		
from consumers <b>ZAKAH</b> BENEFITS	with taxes [15], [16].		
Cleanse the property	Zakah can purify the soul and show concern from the tax payers.		
Help others	Al Qur'an Surah At-Taubah verses 35 and 103; [19]–[21] Zakah can reduce poverty and income gaps [22], [23].		
Develop the property	Zakah can reduce poverty and income gaps [22], [23]. Zakah helps double the property owned so that the payers get blessings in life		
ODODTUNITIES	Al Qur'an Surah Ar Ruum verses 39; Al Qur'an Surah Al Baqarah verses 27 [21].		
OPPORTUNITIES Zakah is a tax	Zakah paid by Muzakki (Zakah Giver) to BAZNAS or the Amil Zaka		
deduction for MSMEs	Institution (LAZ) is deducted from Taxable Income		
	Law Number 23 Article 22 Year 2011 on Management of Zakah; Directo General of Tax Regulation number PER-11/PJ/2018; Government Regulation Number 60/2010		
Zakah is to empower the poor	Zakah paid by muzakki is distributed to mustahiq to develop the busines owned by mustahiq.		
Zalvala in ti	Aprilianto and Widiastuti, 2021; [24]		
Zakah is to alleviate poverty COSTS	Zakah is distributed to the poor to meet their daily needs [25]		
Paying zakah is only to abort	Zakah is seen only as an obligation because they do not understand th meaning of paying zakah [26].		
obligations Paying zakah is just to get praise	People pay zakah because they expect to call benefactors after they have pai- zakah [26].		

Variables	Definition
	Reference
Having preference to pay taxes rather than zakah	According to sharia, business zakah is calculated from the Balance Sheet, so it is expected that all types of zakah could deduct from taxes. However, Article 9 paragraph (1) point g of Law no. 17 of 2000, positioning zakah as if it were a cost so that there seems to be a double payment. In addition, taxpayers who pay zakah do not go through OPZ, approved by the government but they give it directly to mustahiq or to amil zakah institutions, consequently the zakah paid cannot reduce taxable income [27].
RISKS	1 6 5
The possibility of getting fined	The fine for someone who does not pay zakah is as much as one value the zakah must be paid.
Must pay special audit fees	Nurdin, 2019; Qanun Number 10 of 2018 Article 154, which stipulates that any Muslim person or entity that violates the provisions of Article 102 will be punished for committing <i>Jarimah ta'zir</i> with ' <i>Uqubat</i> [10] A person who does not pay zakah is obliged to pay all necessary fees in connection with a special audit
audit iees	Nurdin, 2019; Qanun Number 10 of 2018 Article 154, which stipulates that any Muslim person or entity that violates the provisions of Article 102 will be punished for committing <i>Jarimah ta'zir</i> with ' <i>Uqubat</i> [10]
Return all losses incurred	A person who does not pay zakah is obliged to return all loss due to his actions
	Nurdin, 2019; Qanun Number 10 of 2018 Article 154, which stipulates that any Muslim individual or entity that violates the provisions of Article 102 will be punished for committing <i>Jarimah ta'zir</i> with ' <i>Uqubat</i> [10]
	Source: processed in research (2021)



This study used super decision software for quantifying the ANP model, as shown in Figure 3.

Fig. 3. ANP-BOCR Model in Superdecison Software

The framework above shows the established ANP-BOCR research model. The framework shows three BOCR control criteria for each of the Tax and Zakah variables. The

synthesis of the model and overall priorities is based on three aspects of control criteria by combining the opinion of the respondents. Aspects of BOCR that have a more considerable normalized value are ranked higher, and vice versa.

### **3.2 Discussion**

The results of the analysis of the ANP model are presented as follows:

Table 5. ANP Analysis Result				
CATEGORY BENEFITS-TAX				
	1. Easy business	2. Easy administration	3. Help manage	
	licensing	of public services	business finances	
MSMEs	0,297	0,377	0,234	
ALL	0,394	0,284	0,234	

Preferences BOCR on the Benefits for MSMEs are the ease for public service administration, ease for proper business permits, and finally help to manage financial businesses. Respondents consider permits more vital because it will be challenging to get a business license; of course, it significantly influences their business. Having Taxpayer Identification Number can help business owners to make a Letter of Business Permit more easily. Presidential Regulation Number 98 Year 2014 on Micro and Small Business Permits. In addition, it is easy to report taxes, pay taxes, and access information about taxes. It is as reflected in the research conducted [6]. Table 6.

CATEGORY	<b>OPPORTUNITIES-TAX</b>		
	1. Increase	2. Incentives from	3. Easy
	credibility	the government	bank capital
MSMEs	0,377	0,335	0,208
ALL	0,400	0,288	0,237

Preferences BOCR on the Opportunities for MSMEs is Enhancing credibility. Respondents' overall preference are increasing credibility, the ease of obtaining incentives from the government, and getting bank capital. SMEs tend to assume that credibility is more important because it will increase the customer's trust, and it lasts longer. Paying taxes increases the credibility of MSMEs in the eyes of consumers, business partners, and financiers. It is following the research of Ediraras, 2010; Aliyah, 2014 [15], [16]. Table 7.

CATEGORY	COSTS-TAX		
	1. Obligation of a citizen	2. Reducing business profit	3. Prefer to pay zakah
MSMEs	0,263	0,280	0,311
ALL	0,204	0,336	0,396

Preferences BOCR on the Costs taxes that prefer to pay zakah over taxes, reducing businesses profit, and consider paying taxes only as a citizen obligation. Respondents assume the primary obligation to pay zakah more than just pay taxes; the tax may reduce profit, and just an obligation as citizens. Respondents are reluctant to pay taxes. Taxpayers feel that zakah can replace their tax payments. Taxpayers do not think they

get direct benefits after paying taxes to the state, so taxpayers feel reluctant to pay taxes. This opinion is supported by Klaudia, Riwayanti, and Aminatunnisa, 2017; Sari and Maradona, 2020 [12], [17]. Table 8.

CATEGORY	RISKS-TAX		
	1. Penalized	2. Lowering credibility	3. Loss of consumers
MSMEs	0,425	0,264	0,234
ALL	0,273	0,269	0,362

Preferences BOCR on the Risks for MSMEs is to be sanctioned if they do not pay taxes. Meanwhile, respondents' preference will be punished if it does not pay taxes, reduces SMEs' credibility, and finally loses consumers' confidence. Respondents are worried that they will be subject to tax sanctions which will reduce the credibility of their business so that creditors and investors do not want to give credit and invest their capital in the business. MSMEs are obedient in paying taxes because they are afraid to be subject to severe sanctions if they violate government regulations. It is following the research of Cahyani and Noviari, 2019; Aliyah, 2014 [16], [18]. Table 9.

CATEGORY	BENEFITS-ZAKAH					
	1. Cleanse the property	2. Help others	3. Develop treasure			
MSMEs	0,254	0,493	0,199			
ALL	0,250	0,361	0,317			

Preferences BOCR on the Benefits of zakah, MSMEs is to help others. Overall respondents prefer charity to help others, double the property owned, and cleanse the property. SMEs prioritized more in helping others to reduce poverty and Muslim welfare is expected to be achieved with zakat. Zakah is able to alleviate poverty and the income gap. This opinion is supported by Beik, 2009; Firmansyah, 2013 [22], [23]. Table 10.

CATEGORY	OPPORTUNITIES-ZAKAH				
	1. Tax deduction	2. Empowerment of the poor	3. Reducing the poverty rate		
MSMEs	0,208	0,335	0,377		
ALL	0,247	0,375	0,290		

BOCR's preference on the Opportunities of zakah for MSMEs is to alleviate the poverty level. Overall, respondents pay zakah to empower the poor, alleviate poverty levels, and reduce taxes for MSMEs. Zakah is distributed to the poor to meet their daily needs. This opinion is supported by the research of Kadir, Hakim, Syam, dan Karim, 2020 [25]. Table 11.

CATEGORY	COSTS-ZAKAH					
	1. abort the obligation	2. get compliments	3. choose to pay tax			
MSMEs	0,309	0,172	0,445			
ALL	0,377	0,201	0,330			

BOCR's preference on MSME Zakah Costs, is choosing to pay taxes rather than zakah. Overall, respondents pay zakah only to abort obligations, choose to pay taxes from zakah, and pay zakah only to get praise. MSMEs prefer to pay zakah to abort obligations as part of the Islamic law, where Muslims who have businesses and have reached their nishab must pay zakah.

According to sharia, business zakah is calculated from the Balance Sheet, so it is expected that all types of zakah could deduct from taxes. However, Article 9 paragraph (1) point g of Law no. 17 of 2000, positioning zakah as if it were a cost so that there seems to be a double payment. In addition, taxpayers who pay zakah do not go through OPZ, approved by the government but they give it directly to mustahiq or to amil zakah institutions, consequently the zakah paid cannot reduce taxable income. It is stated by Afriyandi, 2014 [27]. Table 12.

CATEGORY	RISKS-ZAKAH				
	1. Fined	2. Audit fee	3. Loss returned		
MSMEs	0,208	0,297	0,425		
ALL	0,351	0,211	0,340		

BOCR's preference on the Risks of zakah for MSMEs, is to return the losses incurred. Overall, respondents who pay zakah are worried that they will be fined, return the losses incurred, and are obliged to pay audit fees. MSMEs choose to return the losses incurred if the provisions for zakah fines apply. A person who does not pay zakah is obliged to return all losses due to his actions. Nurdin, 2019 supports this opinion; Qanun Number 10 of 2018 Article 154 stipulates that any Muslim person or entity that violates Article 102 will be punished for committing jarimah ta'zir with ' Uqubat. Table 13.

CATEGORY	BOCR-TAX				BOCR-ZAKAH			
	Benefit	Opportunity	Cost	Risk	Benefit	Opportunity	Cost	Risk
MSMEs	0,212	0,193	0,313	0,224	0,279	0,196	0,238	0,226
ALL	0,262	0,220	0,226	0,250	0,300	0,201	0,269	0,204

The government stipulates the tax rate charged for MSMEs operating in Indonesia based on Law Number 20/2008 on MSMEs Business [16]. The final income tax of 0.5 percent is considered to be borne by SMEs because its calculation is based on the total gross income without any deductions [16], [17]. Taxpayers feel that zakah can replace their tax payments. Taxpayers also do not think they get direct benefits after paying taxes to the state, so taxpayers are reluctant to pay taxes [12], [17]. Zakah serves to purify the soul and grows goodness in those who pay zakah [19]–[21]. Zakah can alleviate poverty and the income gap [22], [23]. They feel their tax payments can substitute by paying zakah. Zakah helps double the property owned so that the payers get blessings in life (Al Qur'an Surah Ar Ruum verses 39; Al Qur'an Surah Al Baqarah verses 276) [21].

#### 4 Conclusions

The current pandemic caused by Covid virus makes it difficult for MSMEs to survive in their business fields. However, as a good citizen, it is obligatory to pay taxes and carry out the obligation to pay zakah as part of the pillars of Islam for Muslim entrepreneurs. The result of this study is the perception that MSMEs prefer to pay their taxes (0.597) rather than zakah (0.224). From the BOCR approach, the highest is benefit (0.262), risk (0.250), cost (0.226), and Opportunity (0.220). The highest benefits are increasing credibility (0.400), getting incentives from the government (0.288), and quickly obtaining equity from banks (0.237). Value risks are loss of customer (0.362), penalty (0.273), and loss of credibility (0.269). Cost of value is about the preference for paying taxes from zakah (0.396), minus of business profits (0.336), and citizen obligations (0.204). Opportunity values are the ease of

obtaining a business license (0.394), the ease of providing public services (0.284), and managing business finance (0.234). Based on the description in the discussion, it is concluded that the preference of MSMEs is tax compared to zakah. The tax BOCR preference for MSMEs is the cost that must be paid, while the zakah BOCR is the benefit obtained from paying zakah. Suggestions for further research is to continue with further research on tax and zakah preferences by category (Academics, Director General of Tax, Amil Zakah, MSMEs).

### References

- [1] Badan Pusat Statistik Republik Indonesia, Analisis Hasil Survei Dampak Covid-19 Terhadap Pelaku Usaha. Jakarta: BPS RI (2020).
- [2] Munandar M. H. : Analysis the effectiveness of tax relaxation due to Covid-19 pandemy on Indonesian economic defense, Lex Sci. Law Rev., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 125– 133 (2020).
- [3] Amamani S. A., Abba S. A., and Dandago K. I.: Zakah on employment income in muslims majority states of Nigeria: Any cause for Alarm? in Procedia Social and behavioral sciences, pp. 304–314 (2014)
- [4] Padyanoor A.: Kebijakan Pajak Indonesia Menanggapi Krisis COVID-19: Manfaat bagi Wajib Pajak, E-Jurnal Akunt., vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 2216–2230 (2020).
- [5] Saputro N. L. H. and Sukmana R.: Pemilihan Aktivitas Fundraising Zakat Organisasi Pengelola Zakat Di Jawa Timur Menggunakan Analytic Network Process, J. Ekon. Syariah Teor. dan Terap., vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 460–471 (2020).
- [6] Rahmawati I. D. and Nasih M.: Strategi Peningkatan Target Wajib Pajak Bagi UMKM Dengan Metode Analytic Network Process (ANP), JBMP (Jurnal Bisnis, Manaj. dan Perbankan), vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 8–16 (2020).
- [7] Bin-Nashwan S. A., Abdul-Jabbar H., Aziz S. A., and Haladu A.: Zakah Compliance Behavior among Entrepreneurs: Economic Factors Approach, Int. J. Ethics Syst., vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 285–302, Jan (2020). doi: 10.1108/IJOES-09-2019-0145.
- [8] Bin-Nashwan S. A., Abdul-Jabbar H., Aziz S. A., and Viswanathan K. K.: A Socio-Economic Model of Zakah Compliance, Int. J. Sociol. Soc. Policy, vol. 40, no. 3/4, pp. 304–320, Jan (2020). doi: 10.1108/IJSSP-11-2019-0240.
- [9] Setianingrum A., Fadhilah P. R., and Rusydiana A. S.: Zakah as a Tax Credit for Raising Indonesian Tax Revenue, Int. J. Zakah, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 77–87 (2019).
- [10] Nurdin N.: Analisis 'Uqubah terhadap Muzakki yang Tidak Membayar Zakat Melalui Baitul Mal, REUSAM-Jurnal Ilmu Huk., vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 57–68 (2019).
- [11] Setianingrum A., Madjid S., and Asaari M.: Zakat As Tax Credit For Harmonize Fiscal Setting In Indonesia, J. Ekon. Pembang., vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 57–72 (2018).
- [12] Klaudia S., Riwayanti D. R., and Nisa A.: Menggali Realitas Kepatuhan wajib pajak pemilik UMKM, J. Penelit. Teor. Terap. Akunt., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 50–64 (2017).
- [13] Ascarya: Analytic Network Process (ANP): Pendekatan Baru Studi Kualitatif. Jakarta: Center for Central Banking Education and Studies, Bank Indonesia (2005).
- [14] Saaty T. L. and Vargas L.: The Analytic Network Process. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh.
- [15] Ediraras D. T.: Akuntansi dan Kinerja UMKM, J. Ekon. Bisnis, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 152– 158 (2010).
- [16] Aliyah S.: Makna Pajak dan Implikasinya Dalam Bingkai Perspektif Wajib Pajak UMKM (Studi Interpretatif pada Wajib Pajak UMKM di Kabupaten Jepara), J. Din.

Ekon. Bisnis, vol. 11, no. 1 (2014).

- [17] Sari N. P. R. and Maradona A. F.: Kenapa Wajib Pajak UMKM Enggan Membayar Pajak Penghasilan?, E-Jurnal Akunt., vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 1561–1574 (2020).
- [18] Cahyani L. P. G. and Noviari N.: Pengaruh Tarif Pajak, Pemahaman Perpajakan, dan Sanksi Perpajakan Terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak UMKM, E-Jurnal Akunt. Univ. Udayana, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1885 – 1911 (2019).
- [19] Ryandono M. N. H.: Ekonomi ziswaq (Zakah, infaq, shadaqah, dan waqaf). Surabaya: IFDI dan Cenforis (2008).
- [20] Mufidati K.: Peran Badan Amil Zakah dalam Memberdayakan UMKM Melalui Zakah Produktif di Kota Surabaya, J. Ilm. Mhs. FEB, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–16 (2016).
- [21] Kementerian Agama, Al Qur'an dan Terjemahannya. Jakarta: Kementerian Agama RI, (2020).
- [22] Beik I. S.: Analisis peran zakat dalam mengurangi kemiskinan: studi kasus Dompet Dhuafa Republika, J. Pemikir. dan gagasan, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–11 (2009).
- [23] Firmansyah: Zakat sebagai instrumen pengentasan kemiskinan dan kesenjangan pendapatan, J. Ekon. dan Pembang., vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 179–190 (2013).
- [24] Prahesti D. D. and Putri P. P.: Pemberdayaan usaha kecil dan mikro melalui dana zakat produktif, Ilmu Dakwah Acad. J. Homilet. Stud., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 141–160, (2018).
- [25] Kadir A., Hakim M. R., Syam F., and Karim M. S. A.: Pengunaan Dana Zakat Pada Korban Covid-19 Perspektif Maqashid Syariah, Al-Tafaqquh J. Islam. Law, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 107–116 (2020).
- [26] Mukhlis A. and Beik I. S.: Analisis faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi tingkat kepatuhan membayar zakat: Studi kasus Kabupaten Bogor, Al-Muzara'ah, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 83– 106 (2013).
- [27] Afriyandi Y.: Diskursus Pajak dan Zakah: Kontekstualisasi dan Aplikasi di Negara Muslim, As-Salam J. Stud. Huk. Islam Pendidik., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–22 (2014).