Blockchain-Based Solution to Improve the Transparency of Urban Governance Informationization

Xiaofei Hu[1], Pan Yan[2*corresponding author], Wenjuan Liu[3]

huxf@upr.cn[1], yanp@upr.cn[2*], liuwj@upr.cn[3]

Urban Planning & Design Institute of Shenzhen Co., Ltd, Shenzhen, China[1,2*,3]

Abstract. This paper provides an in-depth discussion on the public participation platform for urban governance developed based on blockchain technology, aiming to enhance the transparency of policy making and public participation through technological innovation. The article first introduces the potential of blockchain technology in enhancing the transparency of urban governance information technology and public participation, and then elaborates on the design concept, technical architecture, smart contract design, and the selection and optimization of the consensus mechanism of the platform. Through a comprehensive analysis of technical challenges, policy and regulatory adaptability, and user participation and acceptance. The article emphasizes the central role of smart contracts in enabling policy voting and feedback collection, explains the rationale for choosing the Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, and adapts and optimizes it for platform-specific needs. In addition, important strategies for protecting user data privacy and security are discussed, including the data encryption and access control techniques employed. The platform not only improves the transparency and efficiency of urban governance, but also provides an innovative solution for building a more open and democratic urban governance model by promoting active public participation. The article looks at the future development potential of blockchain-based public participation platforms in urban governance and wider application areas.

Keywords: blockchain technology, urban governance, public participation platform, smart contract, proof of stake (PoS)

1 Introductory

With the acceleration of urbanization, urban governance has increasingly become one of the complex challenges facing modern societies. In this process, information transparency and public participation have become key indicators of the level of modernization and democratization of urban governance. However, the traditional model of urban governance is often limited by the opacity of information transmission, the inadequacy of public participation mechanisms, and the delayed feedback of policy implementation, thus affecting governance efficiency and public trust [1]. In this context, finding technological solutions that can improve governance transparency and promote effective public participation has become an urgent problem. Blockchain technology, with its unique features of decentralization, transparency, non-tampering and high security, provides a new perspective for solving the above urban governance challenges. By constructing a blockchain-based urban governance

ICBBEM 2024, March 29-31, Wuhan, People's Republic of China

DOI 10.4108/eai.29-3-2024.2347478

informatization platform, not only can it realize the full recording and disclosure of the policy formulation and implementation process, but it can also effectively enhance the opportunity and ability of the public to participate in the policy process, thus improving the transparency of governance and public satisfaction.

This study aims to explore and analyze the application potential and practical solutions of blockchain technology in enhancing the transparency of urban governance informationization and public participation. By comprehensively evaluating the characteristics of blockchain technology and its application cases in urban governance, this paper will propose a blockchain-based solution to enhance the transparency of urban governance informatization, aiming to provide a new way of thinking for governments and policymakers to achieve a more transparent, efficient and democratic urban governance model.

2 Technical foundations of the blockchain

2.1 Blockchain Technology

Blockchain technology has rapidly evolved in recent years to become one of the most compelling innovations in the field of modern technology. Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that allows data to be stored and transmitted in a decentralized network in the form of blocks, each of which is cryptographically linked to the previous block to form a tamper-proof and continuous chain [2]. Unlike traditional databases managed by a central authority, blockchain technology uses a distributed network architecture where each participating node keeps a complete or partial copy of the data. This design not only enhances the system's resistance to attacks, but also improves the transparency and fairness of data processing. The working principle of blockchain is mainly based on technologies such as cryptography, distributed systems and consensus mechanisms. The blockchain network verifies and records transactions through a series of distributed consensus algorithms (e.g., Proof of Work PoW, Proof of Stake PoS, etc.), and these consensus mechanisms ensure that each transaction in the network is properly verified and recorded sequentially [3], thus maintaining the security and consistency of the entire system.

2.2 Potential application scenarios of blockchain in urban governance

A public chain is a fully decentralized form of blockchain that allows anyone to participate in the process of validating transactions and creating blocks for the network. Public chains, such as Bitcoin and Ether, are often used to build decentralized financial applications, smart contracts, and decentralized applications (DApps) due to their openness, anonymity, and immutability [4]. In urban governance, public chains can be used to build transparent and trustworthy public service record systems in areas such as public resource allocation and social assistance to enhance policy transparency and public trust.

Private chains are blockchain networks with restricted access and participation, usually controlled by a single organization. They are superior to public chains in terms of processing speed and efficiency because the number of participating nodes is smaller and strictly controlled [5]. Private chains are suitable for urban governance application scenarios that require a high degree of privacy and security protection, such as personal data management,

internal file sharing, etc., and are able to protect user privacy while providing data immutability.

In various areas of urban governance, public chains can be used to enhance government transparency and promote public participation, such as citizen decision-making or policy feedback collection through voting systems on public chains [6]. Private and federated chains, on the other hand, are more suitable for dealing with internal government processes or cross-agency collaborative projects that contain sensitive information, such as urban planning, financial management, and health record management, etc. They can provide a secure and efficient data-sharing platform that ensures the completeness and accuracy of the information while protecting the data from unauthorized access.

2.3 Overview of existing blockchain platforms

In exploring the contribution of blockchain technology to informational transparency in urban governance, it is crucial to understand the features of existing blockchain platforms and their performance in real-world applications. Ethereum (Ether) and Hyperledger (Hyperledger), as the two leading blockchain platforms, offer different features and benefits, adapting to diverse application scenarios.

Ethereum is a public chain platform known for its smart contract capabilities that allow developers to create decentralized applications (DApps) without a central authority. This openness and flexibility makes Ethereum ideal for realizing urban governance projects that require high transparency and public participation, such as public voting and social welfare distribution [7].

Hyperledger, an open-source federation chain framework led by the Linux Foundation, is designed to support cross-industry blockchain solutions. Unlike Ethereum, Hyperledger focuses on providing a secure and efficient platform suitable for collaboration between businesses and organizations. In the field of urban governance, Hyperledger can be used to create highly secure data sharing environments, such as cross-agency data management, supply chain regulation, etc., which can help to improve administrative efficiency and ensure the accuracy of policy implementation [8].

3 Literature review

3.1 Blockchain technology and urban governance

Blockchain technology, with its unique decentralized nature, offers a new way of managing and processing data for urban governance. This includes, but is not limited to, improving the transparency of government services, increasing citizen participation, and optimizing resource allocation. A review of the existing literature reveals that blockchain technology has been applied in several areas of urban governance, such as smart contracts in automating policy implementation, blockchain in public record keeping, and in the delivery of public services.

The use of smart contracts makes policy implementation automated and transparent, reducing human errors and delays. For example, in asset management and distribution, by writing and executing smart contracts on the blockchain, it is possible to ensure that the process of

distributing assets is fair and transparent, thereby increasing public trust in government operations [9]. Blockchain plays an important role in improving the security and transparency of public records management. Due to the immutability of blockchain, all records are verifiable, providing a more reliable data base for urban governance [10]. Blockchain also promotes citizen participation, by building a blockchain-based voting system, it can ensure the security and transparency of the voting process and increase the opportunity for people to participate in the decision-making process. This not only improves the quality of policy making, but also strengthens citizens' trust and satisfaction with government decisions.

4 Design of a Blockchain-Enhanced Public Engagement Platform

4.1 Platform requirements and objectives

4.1.1 Functional requirements

In order to enhance transparency and public participation in urban governance, this study designs a public chain-based platform that focuses on voting and feedback collection for environmental protection policies. This platform aims to fulfill three main functional requirements:

(1) It allows the public to vote on and provide feedback on policy proposals, ensuring that the voice of the people can directly influence the policy-making process.

(2) The Platform provides a transparent environment in which the public can track the progress and results of policy implementation in real time.

(3) It will also publish information on urban governance, such as policy interpretations and voting guidelines, to enhance public knowledge and understanding and promote more effective participation.

4.1.2 Model of intended users and participation

The target user groups of the model designed for this study are: urban residents, policy makers, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), Community Based Organizations (CBOs), etc., any individuals and groups with interest and concern in urban governance.

Expected public participation model: By providing a decentralized platform, it allows every user to participate directly in the policy voting and feedback process without having to go through traditional intermediaries. This model of direct participation aims to increase the transparency and public satisfaction of policymaking, while enhancing government accountability and responsiveness.

The selected application scenario is voting and feedback collection for urban environmental protection policies. Environmental protection policies often have wide-ranging impacts and require extensive public participation and support. Through the blockchain platform, residents can directly vote for or against an environmental protection measure, provide suggestions for improvement, and track the implementation of the policy in real time, such as the progress of the implementation of garbage categorization and the effectiveness of air quality improvement projects. This will not only increase policy transparency and public participation, but also help improve residents' sense of identity and satisfaction with environmental policies.

4.2 Technology Selection and Architecture Design

4.2.1 Blockchain technology selection

When considering blockchain technology selection, we chose the Ether (Ethereum) platform as our foundation. Ether is one of the most mature and widely used public chains available, and its main advantage is that it provides a powerful programming environment for smart contracts, allowing developers to build and deploy highly complex decentralized applications (DApps). This is critical for enabling automated policy voting and feedback collection mechanisms.

4.2.2 Architectural design

Considering the functional requirements and objectives of the platform, we have designed the following technical architecture:

(1) Front-end interactive interface: A user-friendly front-end interface is key to facilitating public participation. The front-end will provide an intuitive user interface that makes it easy for users to vote on policies, submit feedback and view the progress of policy implementation. It is designed to provide a user experience that is easy to use, intuitive and responsive. A single page application (SPA) was developed using the React framework, where the componentized nature of React allows for efficient reuse of UI elements while ensuring fast rendering of pages using its virtual DOM technology.

(2) Backend server: the backend server will handle application logic, user management, data storage and smart contract interactions. RESTful API services are built using Node.js and Express frameworks Node.js and Express frameworks are chosen to build RESTful APIs with MongoDB database to store non-blockchain data such as user information and voting records. It is able to provide efficient and scalable back-end services to support concurrent access by a large number of users. As shown in Figure 1.

```
const express = require('express');
const bodyParser = require('body-parser');
const app = express();
app.use(bodyParser.json());
const port = 3000;

// Retrieve policy information by ID
app.get('/policy/:id', (req, res) => {
  res.json({ message: `Policy information for ${req.params.id}` });
});

// Start server
app.listen(port, () => {
  console.log(`Server listening at http://localhost:${port}`);
});
```

Figure 1: Part of the back-end code

(3) Blockchain Network Layer: The Ethernet public chain will serve as the core blockchain network layer, hosting the deployment and execution of smart contracts. Smart contracts will be used to handle policy voting, feedback collection, as well as automated statistics and announcements of voting results.Solidity language will be used for smart contract development, utilizing Ethernet's EVM (Ethernet Virtual Machine) for execution.

(4) Integration component: using Web3.js library to enable the front-end to interact with Ethernet smart contracts. As shown in Figure 2, the front-end application sends transactions through Web3.js and calls the functions of the smart contract to perform voting operations. At the same time, considering data privacy and security, encryption will be used to protect user data, as well as the use of Ethernet address as the user's anonymous identifier to ensure the anonymity and transparency of the voting process.

```
import Web3 from 'web3';

const web3 = new Web3(Web3.givenProvider || "ws://localhost:8545");
const contract = new web3.eth.Contract(abi, contractAddress);

const vote = async (policyId) => {
  const accounts = await web3.eth.getAccounts();
  await contract.methods.vote(policyId).send({ from: accounts[0] });
};
```

Figure 2: Interaction code

This architecture not only meets the needs of the project, but also offers the possibility for future expansion, including the introduction of additional areas of urban governance or integration with other blockchain platforms.

5 Blockchain Technology and Algorithm Implementation:

5.1 Smart Contract Design

To enable policy voting and feedback collection, we have designed a smart contract whose main purpose is to record the results of policy voting and allow users to submit feedback on policies. The smart contract will be deployed on the ethereum blockchain, utilizing its immutable and transparent nature to ensure fairness and transparency in voting. Smart contracts contain several key features to fulfill their core purpose:

Policy creation: smart contracts allow authorized users to add new policy proposals. Each policy has a unique identifier (ID), description and vote count. This process is realized through the createPolicy function, ensuring diversity and traceability of policy proposals on the platform.

Voting Mechanism: Public users can vote for the policies they support through the voteForPolicy function. The smart contract will record each vote and update the vote count of

the corresponding policy, and at the same time prevent the same user from repeatedly voting for a single policy, ensuring the fairness and effectiveness of the voting process. As shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Smart Contract Deployment

5.2 Consensus mechanism selection and optimization

In blockchain technology, consensus mechanism is the process by which all participants in the network reach agreement, and it is the key to guarantee network security and data consistency. For the urban governance public participation platform we designed, the reason for choosing Proof of Stake (PoS) as the consensus mechanism is mainly based on the following considerations:

Energy Efficiency and Sustainability: Compared to traditional Proof of Work (PoW), the PoS mechanism requires less computational power to validate transactions and generate new blocks, thus drastically reducing energy consumption. This feature makes PoS a more environmentally friendly and sustainable option, especially for urban governance projects committed to environmental protection and social responsibility.

5.3 Challenges and Countermeasures during Implementation

5.3.1 Technology acceptance and user education

When implementing a blockchain-based public participation platform for urban governance, one of the challenges is to improve target users' understanding and acceptance of blockchain technology. Due to the relative complexity of blockchain technology, ordinary users may face a learning curve that affects their willingness and ability to participate. Strategies to solve this problem include developing intuitive and easy-to-use user interfaces, providing comprehensive user education materials.

5.3.2 Data privacy and security

Another key challenge during platform implementation is ensuring the privacy and security of user data. Any data breach or security breach can seriously damage user trust and platform reputation. To this end, the platform needs to adopt the latest encryption technology and privacy protection measures, such as zero-knowledge proof and homomorphic encryption, to

ensure the security of user data.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we explore the design and implementation of a public participation platform for urban governance based on blockchain technology. Through in-depth analysis of the technical architecture, the design of smart contracts, the selection and optimization of the consensus mechanism, and the data privacy and security strategies, we construct a platform that aims to enhance the transparency of policy making, increase public participation, and optimize the efficiency of urban governance. This paper is centered on increasing public participation in urban environmental protection policies, and addresses several key issues in the traditional public participation process through technological innovation. We identify and address the technical challenges that may be encountered during development and deployment, especially how to keep the system scalable and how to handle large-scale user transactions. By adopting a layered architecture and stateful channel technology, we optimized the processing power of the platform to ensure efficient and cost-effective transaction processing.

References

[1]. Chu, Z., Bian, C., & Yang, J. (2022). How can public participation improve environmental governance in China? A policy simulation approach with multi-player evolutionary game. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *95*, 106782.

[2]. Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H. N., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2018). Blockchain challenges and opportunities: a survey. *international journal of web and grid services*, *14*(4), 352-375.

[3]. Monrat, A. A., Schelén, O., & Andersson, K. (2019). A survey of blockchain from the perspectives of applications, challenges, and opportunities. *ieee Access*, *7*, 117134-117151.

[4]. Yuan, R., Xia, Y. B., Chen, H. B., Zang, B. Y., & Xie, J. (2018). Shadoweth: Private smart contract on public blockchain. *journal of computer science and technology*, *33*, 542-556.

[5]. Lai, R., & Chuen, D. L. K. (2018). Blockchain-from public to private. in *Handbook of Blockchain, Digital Finance, and Inclusion, Volume 2* (pp. 145-177). Academic Press.

[6]. Gloerich, I., De Waal, M., Ferri, G., Cila, N., & Karpinski, T. (2020). The city as a license. Implications of blockchain and distributed ledgers for urban governance. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, *2*, 534942.

[7]. Buterin, V. (2016). Ethereum: platform review. *opportunities and challenges for private and consortium blockchains*, *45*.

[8]. Baset, S. A., Desrosiers, L., Gaur, N., Novotny, P., O'Dowd, A., & Ramakrishna, V. (2018). *Hands-on blockchain with Hyperledger: building decentralized applications with Hyperledger Fabric and composer*. packt Publishing Ltd.

[9]. Pradhan, N. R., & Singh, A. P. (2021). Smart contracts for automated control system in blockchain based smart cities. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Smart Environments*, *13*(3), 253-267.

[10]. Kshetri, N. (2021). Blockchain technology for improving transparency and citizen's trust. In *Advances in Information and Communication: Proceedings of the 2021 Future of Information and Communication Conference (FICC), Volume 1* (pp. 716-735). Springer International Publishing.