

Implementation of Investigations and Inspections in Revealing Corruption Crimes

Azis Budianto¹, Anggiat Tobing²

{hukkrim@yahoo.com¹, fa_tobing@yahoo.com²}

Universitas Borobudur^{1,2}

Abstract. Endeavors to endlessly examine criminal demonstrations of debasement are directed in the Criminal Methodology Code (KUHAP), in particular Regulation Number 8 of 1981, Article 1 focuses 1 and 2 form the significance of examination. The truth in the field shows that the execution of requests and examinations in uncovering defilement cases can't be done ideally in light of the fact that there are impediments because of the absence of witnesses and proof. The arrangement of figuring out Criminal Regulation with regards to beating future lawbreaker demonstrations of debasement has been endeavored, to be specific through the drafting of the Bill on the Annihilation of Defilement Wrongdoings (Draft in August 2008). The idea of the Defilement Destruction Bill alludes to the 2003 UNCAC Show. The definition of the discipline framework in the Defilement Annihilation Regulation No.31 of 1999 Jo. Law No. 20 of 2001 controls the components and groupings of criminal demonstrations of defilement. There is no detailing in regards to the discipline framework that explicitly directs criminal demonstrations of debasement, there are just capabilities for criminal demonstrations of defilement that satisfy the components of the subject. The research results show that the investigation process must be executed professionally by investigators based on laws other than the Criminal Technique Code, which is the lawful reason for examiners, in particular Public Police Guideline (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Renouncement of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning the Administration of Criminal Examinations.

Keywords: Implementation; Investigation; Criminal act; Corruption.

1 Introduction

Indonesia as a legitimate state has a few kinds of regulations to control the activities of its residents, including the Criminal Regulation and Criminal Methodology Regulation. These two regulations have an exceptionally cozy relationship on the grounds that basically criminal procedural regulation is remembered for the meaning of criminal regulation.[1] It's simply that criminal procedural regulation or what is otherwise called conventional criminal regulation is more centered around the arrangements that manage how the state, through its instruments, practices its on the right track to convict and force violations. In the interim, criminal regulation (material) is more centered around the law that controls violations or activities that

can be rebuked with not entirely settled by regulation and on whom the wrongdoing can be forced.[2]

Criminal procedural regulation is the entirety of legitimate guidelines in regards to how to carry out the arrangements of criminal regulation, in the event that there is an infringement of the standards alluded to in this arrangement. In this way, the criminal procedural rule was made for of upholding regulation and equity in understanding an efficient and quiet life in the public arena. The affirmation of Indonesia as a country of guideline has made guidelines and rules, one of which is the Criminal System Code, which is a standard for searching for and getting material truth, specifically the all out truth of an evildoer case by applying real plans in earnestly and unequivocally, to sort out who the guilty party is who can be blamed for an encroachment of the law and a while later interest an evaluation and court decision to choose if it is exhibited that a convict act has been completed and whether the individual reprimanded can be charged.

In the Criminal Methodology Code (KUHAP), to be specific Regulation Number 8 of 1981, Article 1 focuses 1 and 2 plan the significance of examination. Exploring criminal demonstrations is basically a policing that has the personality of restricting and confining the freedoms of residents, pointed toward reestablishing the unsettling influence of the harmony between individual interests and public interests to keep up with and cause what is happening of safety and request since examining criminal demonstrations is likewise important for implementation of criminal regulation should be completed in light of the material legal arrangements and guidelines.

Law violations that have been rife in Indonesia recently include corruption. Apart from being influenced by the motive or background of the perpetrator, this is also a picture of the decline in the nation's morals. Moral decline, economic pressure, impatience, and hatred are some of the factors that cause corruption. It is so easy for someone to commit a criminal act of corruption and the causes should be investigated. The harshness of life and the fragility of religious education may also be factors in how easily someone can commit criminal acts of corruption.[3] Because corruption is closely related to power, corruption can have consequences that are very detrimental to the people. Robert Klitgaard details several consequences of corruption, including:[4]

1. Pay off makes assets for the development of modest houses fall into unapproved hands.
2. Commissions for those answerable for securing labor and products for neighborhood legislatures imply that agreements fall under the control of unfit organizations.
3. The police frequently, in light of the fact that they have been paid off, claim to not have a clue about the wrongdoing that they ought to examine.
4. Nearby government workers use local area offices for individual interests.
5. To acquire allows and licenses, local area individuals need to pay payoffs to officials and now and again even need to offer incentives so that grants or licenses can be given.
6. By giving pay-offs, local area individuals can disregard work wellbeing guidelines, wellbeing guidelines, or different guidelines freely, making peril the remainder of the local area.
7. Nearby taxpayer supported organizations are given provided that inhabitants have paid an extra measure of cash past the authority charges.
8. Choices in regards to land use inside the city are frequently impacted by defilement.
9. Charge authorities coerce residents, or further plan with citizens, giving tax reductions to citizens in return for pay-offs.

Aside from being founded on the idea of disregarding material regulation, Guideline Number 31 of 1999 as rectified by Guideline Number 20 of 2001 concerning Degradation Infringement, likewise figures out a demonstration of debasement as a proper offense, in particular an offense whose definition centers around disallowed acts.

The plan of the proper offense should be visible in "can" before the expression unfavorable to state funds or the state economy, it shows that the presence of a lawbreaker demonstration of debasement is adequate for the satisfaction of the components of the activity figured out, not for the results to emerge. So debasement doesn't necessarily in all cases hang tight for outcomes, for however long there is the potential for the state to be hurt by activities that are illegal, one might say that there is a crook demonstration of defilement.

Debasement is so intense in Indonesia that Azhar expressed that defilement is a social sickness that is widespread and has happened starting from the start of humanity.[5] Such a wide effect would be an intense danger to the endurance of the country and state, even Romli Atmasasmita expressed that the issue of defilement was a serious danger to the solidness and security of public and global society.[6] Thus, there is imbalance in the part of pay got by different gatherings of society which is alluded to as relative disparity or there is an outright degree of neediness (outright destitution).[7] Obviously, the people who are burdened are individuals at the grassroots level, who ought to get government assistance ensures by the ensures set out in the constitution.

The problem in this paper is "How is the Investigation Implementation of Corruption Crimes?"

2 Method and Approach

2.1 Method

The technique utilized recorded as a hard copy this applied paper is a graphic scientific strategy, to be specific by utilizing information that plainly portrays issues straightforwardly in the field, then examination is performed, and afterward ends are attracted to tackle an issue. The information assortment strategy is through perception and writing study to acquire answers for issues in setting up this paper. In accordance with the examination targets to be accomplished, the space of this exploration is remembered for the domain of subjective exploration, hence a subjective methodology technique will be utilized. As per Petrus Soerjowinoto et al., subjective strategies is a procedure that stresses the specialist's comprehension cycle of issue plan to develop a perplexing and all-encompassing lawful peculiarity.[8]

2.2 Approach

The standardizing juridical methodology is utilized to look at issues regarding regulation and legal guidelines, in particular principles that can be utilized as a reason for concentrating on issues and their legitimate results, for this situation specifically the Crook Code (KUHP) and the Criminal Framework Guideline (KUHP), as well as Open Police Rule (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Repudiation of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning The chiefs of Criminal Assessments.

The standardizing juridical methodology is done on specific legal guidelines or composed regulations connecting with the execution of examinations concerning criminal demonstrations of defilement.[9] This examination portrays what is happening of the item under study, in particular zeroing in on guidelines and the execution of examinations concerning criminal demonstrations of defilement practically speaking.

3 Discussion

3.1 Implementation of Investigations to Reveal Corruption Crimes.

The meaning of examination is a work completed by the police as an examiner to look for and uncover data or data about an episode that is associated with being a lawbreaker act or a wrongdoing that is associated with being carried out by somebody whose character isn't yet known. On account of an examination, the agent gathers information or data that should have the option to uncover the real culprits of regulation infringement.[10]

As per Andi Hamzah, in regards to examinations, the endeavors of agents to look for data and proof of culprits of criminal demonstrations should be by legal guidelines. The pieces of criminal procedural regulation connecting with examinations are as per the following:[11]

- a. Provisions in regards to analytical devices.
- b. Provisions in regards to whether an offense has happened.
- c. Inspection of the scene.
- d. Summoning the suspect or charged.
- e. Temporary detainment.
- f. Examination or cross examination.
- g. Minutes (search, cross examination, and on location assessment).
- h. Foreclosure.
- i. Dismissal of the case.
- j. Handing over the case to the public examiner and returning it to the agent to be idealized.

Examinations are completed following there is a report or objection of a lawbreaker act or information that a crook act has happened. The examination by government worker agents was directed by specialists from the Republic of Indonesia Police.

A few circumstances should be viewed as before an examination is completed by individuals from the police on the grounds that in researching criminal demonstrations of burglary with brutality the endeavors are unique. These circumstances incorporate when the character of the culprit isn't yet known and the culprit is discovered in the act. Coming up next is the treatment of a lawbreaker act in its ward:

- a) The identity of the perpetrator is not yet known.
 - 1) Receive reports about the occurrence of criminal acts.
Reports are partitioned into two kinds, specifically, model A reports, reports presented by individuals from the police who know about a wrongdoing themselves and model B reports, in particular reports made by individuals from the police in light of what has been put together by

somebody, either an observer or a casualty. The columnist is requested data, for example, how the occurrence began when it worked out (tempus delicti), where it worked out (locus delicti), and what is the confirmation of possession so it is certain if it meets the components of a crook act.

- 2) Investigate the crime scene or crime scene.
Crime location handling is one of the analytical exercises completed for ID, searching for witnesses who were at the crime location, searching for hints, and making portrayals of the circumstances at the crime location, so it is clear what the episode is like. ID is helped by the columnist or casualty. In this stage, examiners are helped by mechanical help or help.
- 3) Find out the modus operandi used by the perpetrator.
In the wake of researching the crime location, cops then, at that point, find out the usual methodology utilized by concentrating on the articulations given by casualties and witnesses, and furthermore requesting data from prisoners and previous prisoners, particularly prisoners and ex-prisoners in regards to demonstrations of defilement.
- 4) Carrying out investigations within the framework of an investigation.
This examination was completed via vehicle investigators or what is called RESMOB. At this stage, the examination is pointed toward tracking down insightful proof to find and capture the culprits of criminal demonstrations of debasement. These analytical exercises incorporate perception, interviews, following, secret, and recording discussions, regardless of whether with authorization. This action was completed to gather data. The hunt was completed with the help of the data network oversight by Resmob. The data network oversight by Resmob comprises of an organization between police headquarters or police headquarters, an organization of witnesses, and an organization of the local area.
- 5) Stage of carrying out coercive measures.
In the case of during insightful exercises, individuals from the police get fundamental proof and find the culprit, then coercive measures are taken, for example, capture, trailed by a hunt and seizure of proof connected with the lawbreaker demonstration of defilement.

As far as the utilization of the term examination by and by, the term analyst is all the more frequently utilized. Where the primary errand is to get reports and arrange and stop thought individuals for addressing. This implies that this examination goes before the examination. The examination is an activity that goes before an examination. In the event that it is associated with the hypothesis of criminal procedural regulation as proposed by Van Bemmelen, then, at that point, this examination is the principal stage in the seven phases of criminal procedural regulation, and that implies looking for reality.[2]

Examination is an indistinguishable piece of the field of examination. As shown by the Standards for the Execution of the Criminal Technique Code, examination is a strategy or sub-capability of examination that goes before different activities. As per M. Yahya Harahap, examination can be compared with the meaning of "insightful activity" as a work to look for and track down follows as data and proof of an occasion. which is thought to be a criminal offense.

The powers surrendered by the Criminal Technique Code to analysts are very colossal, but beside the Criminal Methodology Code, Public Police Rule (Perpol)

Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Disavowal of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning the Organization of Criminal Assessments in like manner coordinates matters associating with specific assessments, and simply applies inside to the police, and isn't constrained by the Criminal Framework Code, so Open Police Rule (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Denial of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning the Organization of Criminal Assessments can should be particular heading for specialists in driving assessments. In view of Article 11 Section (1) Letter a, it is expressed that an examination should be possible before there is a Police Report/Protesting and after there is a Police Report/Complaint or concerning an Assessment so the assessment capabilities to find out and figure out what occasions occurred and is entrusted with making occasion reports and the report which will later turn into the reason for the beginning of the examination,

The examination is completed before the examination or can be done at the same time with the examination. Cops entrusted with completing examinations are obliged to follow the standards contained in Public Police Guideline (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Renouncement of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning The board of Criminal Examinations, specifically Legitimateness, Proficient, Relative, Procedural, Straightforward, Responsible, Viable, and Effective, and that implies that specialists should have the option to execute their obligations precisely and rapidly, every examiner does their obligations by their separate legalities and specialists, agents in doing their obligations can't be mediated by anybody, each examiner's activities focus on the rule of receptiveness and are educational for the gatherings. related, and examiners can be considered responsible for their activities juridically, authoritatively, and actually.

Examination is the phase of settling a lawbreaker case after an examination which is the underlying phase of seeing if there was a crook act in an episode. Right when it is understood that a hoodlum act has occurred, that is the place where an assessment should be possible considering the results of the assessment. In shrewd exercises, the emphasis is placed on the exhibit of "searching for and finding" an "event" that is considered or remembered to be a law breaker act. Meanwhile, in assessments, the highlight is placed on the exhibit of "searching for and gathering verification".

The law gives unique honors or honors to examiners to complete insightful capabilities like gathering, looking at, capturing, confining, taking, and assigning somebody associated with having carried out a crook go about as a suspect, nonetheless, in practicing these extraordinary privileges and specialists they should comply and dependent upon the standard of the right of fair treatment, in particular that each individual has the option to be endlessly explored on legitimate grounds.

Article 109 of the Criminal Technique Code expresses that the continuous examination process should be informed to the Public Investigator (alluded to as the Examiner) by sending a Notice Letter of Initiation of Examination (SPDP). Agents in completing examinations should be founded on the law, implying that each activity did by the specialist should be founded on the law as controlled in the Criminal Method Code and other legal guidelines.

The assessment cycle ought to be done skillfully by specialists considering the Criminal Framework Code which is the legal reason and Public Police Rule (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Repudiation of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning the Organization of Criminal Assessments. One of the norms contained Out in the open Police Rule (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Repudiation of

Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning the Organization of Criminal Assessments is the Standard of Legitimateness, to be explicit the assessment and assessment process which is finished by the game plans of lawful rules, the assessment relies upon a police report and Assessment Warrant.

The police official who carries out the investigation must carry out a case title. The lawsuit title is divided into two, namely:

1. Ordinary Case Title.

a. The title of a case is normally finished at the fundamental period of an assessment which means to conclude what is going on with a criminal case or not, sort out an assessment plan, structure the parts of the article being shaped, choose spectators, suspects and evidence, conclude target time, and wise techniques and methodologies.

b. Case holding is for the most part finished in the middle periods of the assessment cycle which means to evaluate and deal with issues thoroughly searched in the assessment, sort out the headway of the assessment achieved and attempts to speed up the climax of the assessment, conclude further movement plans, ensure the congruity between witnesses, suspects, and verification with the critical articles. as far as anyone knows, ensuring that the execution of the assessment is by the goals set as well as making assessment plans and targets.

c. Case holding is regularly finished at the last period of the assessment to evaluate the assessment communication that has been finished, tackle issues or impediments to the assessment, ensure the suitability between witnesses, suspects, and verification, wonderful the case document, deciding if it is proper to surrender the case record to the public examiner or stop it and additionally consistence with the investigator's guidelines.

2. Special case title.

Holding uncommon cases is finished to answer reports/complaints or grumblings from disputants or their genuine aides after there is a solicitation from the specialist's supervisor as a trained professional, continuing assessments that have been stopped after new proof is gotten, concluding unequivocal police exercises or returning assessments considering decisions pretrial which have incredibly sturdy legal power.

Unprecedented cases are held for explicit cases with the prospect that they require made support from the President/Minister of Home Issues/lead delegate, subject to all over open thought and in accordance with specialists.

Doing a case title at the last stage is a stage for the specialist prior to presenting the case document to the Public Examiner or a stage for the specialist to design moves toward satisfy the Public Investigator's Directions with the goal that the case can be pronounced finished by the Public Investigator.

The investigation and investigation process for uncovering criminal corruption cases is as follows:

a. Receive Report.

By their obligations and commitments, examiners should get reports about the event of a crook demonstration of debasement. With this report, the Police promptly researched the correspondent of the lawbreaker demonstration of debasement.

b. Taking First Action.

Subsequent to getting a report from the correspondent, the specialist really looks at the reality of the report or grumbling by inspecting the scene. Assuming the report or grievance is valid that a criminal episode has happened, then assuming the suspect is still there, specialists can disallow the suspect from leaving the scene. Then, agents complete vital checks, including checking the suspect's character or requesting individuals associated with carrying out defilement wrongdoings to prevent and preclude individuals from entering and leaving the scene. Then, at that point, the agent should attempt to find and gather data and proof used to perpetrate the wrongdoing. On the off chance that the assessment at the location of the episode has been finished and proof has been gathered, then, at that point, a temporary end should be drawn up. After the episode has been finished up, the exploring officials/examiners coordinate the proof that has been gathered with one another. Matching these bits of proof is vital, on the grounds that these bits of proof are vital, in deciding the evidence of the suspect's activities in the preliminary.

c. Arrest and Detention.

After the examiner/specialists get a report or objection about the event of a criminal debasement episode, then, at that point, as a continuation of the activity perpetrated by somebody, on the off chance that the specialist has some undeniable inclinations joined by adequate fundamental proof, the specialist can capture the suspect. In such manner, specialists can drive capture and detainment, which should be founded on the conviction that there is an assumption of culpability. This intends that before the specialist chooses to capture/confine, the examiner should have adequate starter proof areas of strength for and that a crook act has been committed by the suspect.

Catches can't be finished considering no conspicuous ultimate objective, since that mishandles normal freedoms. To catch someone, the expert ought to give a catch warrant joined by the clarifications behind the catch and a compact portrayal of the possibility of the alleged bad behavior. Without a catch warrant, the suspect can deny the authority concerned. In finishing imprisonment, police experts think about stresses that the suspect will take off, hurt or lose confirmation, and decline the bet of executing criminal shows of contamination. For assessment, expecting coincidentally, the suspect has completed a crook exhibit of degradation considering satisfactory starter verification or inside seeing circumstances that raise stresses that the suspect will escape, the evidence will be decimated and lost and it will repeat.

d. Foreclosure.

The reason for seizure is to give certainty to the adjudicator that the suspect has perpetrated the wrongdoing. At the point when an examiner will seize a thing of proof, the individual in question should initially show verification of personality, letter of task, and so forth. to the proprietor of the thing.

e. Examination of Suspects and Witnesses.

Assessment of suspects and witnesses is the main part or stage in the examination cycle. Data will be gotten from suspects and witnesses who will actually want to uncover everything about the crook act that happened. In such manner, before the assessment starts, the agent needs to get ready all things needed whether the individual looking at the suspect or witness has

been delegated, where the suspect or observe will be analyzed, and whether the suspect or witness who will be inspected has been gathered by appropriate guidelines. In the event that a suspect is brought, a sensible period should be seen between the receipt of the request and the day of the assessment. The individual who is called to hear their assertion as a suspect or witness should show up. In the event that he doesn't come, he will be called in the future with orders for the official/examiner to be brought to him.

For a suspect, before the examination starts, the examiner should educate him regarding his entitlement to get lawful help. The suspect's assertion was heard without tension from anybody or in any structure. Witnesses are an extremely vital piece of proof in the legal cycle. An observer is somebody who can give data about whether a lawbreaker act has happened, and where he heard, saw, and encountered the occurrence himself. Witnesses are analyzed completely independently yet might be united with one another and they are obliged to give genuine data.

f. Completion of Investigation.

Resulting to completing all of the fundamental records, the expert surrenders the case reports to the public analyst, which is a convenience in the chief stage, specifically the case archives. If the assessment did by the Police is seen as complete, the inspector gives up obligation in regards to the suspect and evidence to the analyst's office. Expecting the Examiner's Office acknowledges that the outcomes of the assessment are deficient, the Investigator's Office will quickly return the case document to him.

4 Conclusion

The execution of assessments concerning guilty parties of criminal shows of degradation implies Article 7 of the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHP), and Public Police Rule (Perpol) Number 6 of 2019 concerning the Refusal of Perkap Number 14 of 2012 concerning The chiefs of Criminal Assessments. The examination interaction must be completed assuming that the agent knows or has gotten the report. The most common way of researching criminal demonstrations of defilement is as per the following:

- a. Receive Report;
- b. Taking First Activities;
- c. Arrest and Detainment;
- d. Foreclosure;
- e. Examination of Suspects and Witnesses;
- f. Completion of Examination.

References

- [1] W. Budidarmo, "Defamation According to Law Number 19 of 2016 Regarding ITE," *MIC 2021*, no. 19, 2022, doi: 10.4108/eai.30-10-2021.2315728.
- [2] R. Erbaş, "Effective criminal investigations for women victims of domestic violence: The approach

- of the ECtHR,” *Womens. Stud. Int. Forum*, vol. 86, no. January, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.wsif.2021.102468.
- [3] N. Sutisna and R. Sara, “Criminal Law Policy and Protection of Witnesses and Victims in Corruption Cases in Government Procurement of Goods and Services,” 2021, doi: 10.4108/eai.6-3-2021.2306463.
- [4] J. G. Modesto, V. N. Keller, R. B. Saraiva, and R. Pilati, “Belief in a corrupt world: A cross-cultural mediation model of beliefs about justice, punishment, and corruption,” *Pers. Individ. Dif.*, vol. 164, no. May, p. 110127, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2020.110127.
- [5] G. Sukarno, N. Azizah, E. R. Nawangsari, W. C. Izaak, and S. N. Farida, “Citizen relationship management (CnRM) to build the awareness of anti-corruption: Collaborative governance perspective,” *Int. J. Psychosoc. Rehabil.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1299–1306, 2020, doi: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I8/PR280144.
- [6] F. Dalimartha and F. Santiago, “Banking Legislation Renewal as a Preventive Effort of Banking Corruption Crime,” in *ICLSSEE 2021*, 2021, no. 1, doi: 10.4108/eai.6-3-2021.2306201.
- [7] A. Fatoni, “Fiscal Decentralization Dilemma in Indonesia: Between Corruption Accountability and Probability at Local Levels,” *J. Bina Praja*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 101–110, 2020, doi: 10.21787/jbp.12.2020.101-110.
- [8] T. Herzfeld and C. Weiss, “Corruption and legal (in)effectiveness: An empirical investigation,” *Eur. J. Polit. Econ.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 621–632, 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0176-2680(03)00018-1.
- [9] J. de D. Basabose, *Anti-corruption Education and Peacebuilding*. 2019.
- [10] R. Cañete-Straub, J. Miquel-Florensa, S. Straub, and K. Van der Straeten, “Voting corrupt politicians out of office? Evidence from a survey experiment in Paraguay,” *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.*, vol. 179, pp. 223–239, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2020.08.046.
- [11] T. Y. Rahmanto, “Penegakan Hukum terhadap Tindak Pidana Penipuan Berbasis Transaksi Elektronik,” *J. Penelit. Huk. Jure*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 31, 2019, doi: 10.30641/dejure.2019.v19.31-52.