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Abstract. Indonesia and Malaysia, were developing countries, the correlation of them
was waqf law that had similarities and differences, from its implementation, legal basis,
system, as well as the settlement of waqf disputes. This study analyzed the similarities
and differences between Indonesia’s waqf legal system and Malaysia’s waqf legal
system. Its benefit was in expanding the legal discourse on waqf at international level.
The type of this research was library research that used comparative juridical approach,
its data analysis method was comparative study. The research results explained that the
implementation of waqf law between Indonesia and Malaysia, had their own
characteristics that were adjusted to the typology of the community. The form of
government of Indonesia and Malaysia were different, therefore its legal system was
also different. The similarity of these two countries were the Islamic legal framework of
non-absolute Shafi’I mazhab. However, in Malaysia, it was only implemented in certain
states.
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1 Introduction

Waqf is a legal act of wakif to separate and or give some of his assets to be used forever
or in a certain term based on its interests for the need of worship and/or general welfare
according to sharia (Islamic law). Throughout Islamic history, waqf had important role in
improving Muslims’ welfare. In the beginning, waqf law had emerged since the Prophet
Muhammad SAW asked Umar Bin Khatab to give his assets by holding his principal assets
(called as waqf asset) and to give the proceeds of the principal assets. Then the Prophet also
asked to not sell, inherit and donate waqf assets, because of its benefit in community [1].
Therefore, at that time, waqf law principle had emerged, that was giving the assets by
maintaining the waqf assets forever, and this principle was the basis of the enactment of waqf
law. Furthermore, the practice of waqf was followed up by the generation of the Prophet’s
friends to the generation of Daulah Bani Umayyah and Abbasiah, then the generation of
Turkey’s Empire of Utsmanî [1].

The development of waqf law was very rapid, besides Saudi Arabia, also spreading
throughout the whole world, especially Islamic countries, for example; Turkey, Egypt, Iran,
Pakistan, Malaysia, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Indonesia and others. This is because waqf was
widely used in a large scope that could reach the interest of community generally, for
example; to finance the education and research sector, provision of scholarship, construction
of library building to study science and technology[2].
The development of *waqf* had advanced in Iran in the century of X AD, at that time *waqf* had expanded to the sectors of infrastructure, tourism, health service, education, hospital, and lodging.[2] In Turkey, in 1924, *waqf* condition had been showed from 75% of agricultural land was *waqf* land, and then it was rented by the community using profit sharing system. Likewise, in ALJazair (50%), Tunisia (33%), Egypt (12.5 %). In Kuwait, *waqf* institution had been established to administer *waqf* assets and manage it in a professional manner, that provided benefit aspect for orphans and children with disabilities, household harmony and gave reward to scientific work[3].

In Indonesia, *waqf* had become a law whose implementing regulation had been determined by the state without ignoring religious law. Religious rules had correlation with *waqf* thoroughly, while state regulation had correlation with detailed information regarding management, use, and legal protection of its *waqf*, so that the involved parties in *waqf* law was protected by law. As the result, there was a harmonization between state law and religious law, because the goals and benefits of *waqf* assets had highly important role in national development goals such as; educate nation’s life and maintain general welfare.

In Malaysia, *waqf*’s benefit had been in large scope, besides the aspect of worship, also in business and commerce world, banking, insurance, also industry and tourism [3]. If it was compared with Indonesia, although both of the countries had status as developing countries and their location was next to each other, *waqf* law had highly significant similarities and differences, from its implementation, legal basis, system, and the dispute settlement of *waqf*.

Based on the explanation above, the similarities and differences (comparative study) between Indonesia’s *waqf* legal system and Malaysia’s *waqf* legal system needed to be explained. The main reason was because Indonesia and Malaysia has similarities in their location that were located in the Southeast Asian and they were Malays descent, and historically had strong relations from the aspects of language, race, religion and the establishment of their countries. Moreover, both of the countries also had different form and system of their government, where their *waqf* law was also different. Through the analysis and identification of the comparison of *waqf* law between Indonesia and Malaysia, therefore it will be useful as evaluation of *waqf* law policy and the development of *waqf* strategic role that was implemented in Indonesia.

2 Methods

The type of this research was library research, whose data source used secondary data, that was obtained from literature studies related to the research theme, that was *waqf* legal system in Malaysia and Indonesia. The research approach method used normative juridical, related to the law that had been described in legislation. The data analysis used a comparative study, that was comparing and analyzing *waqf* legal system between Indonesia and Malaysia to answer research problems. The research tradition was qualitative research that aimed to develop a theoretical concept based on reading material. Data processing was carried out by reviewing, organizing, or compiling data, synthesizing, analyzing, editing, and concluding.
3 Discussion and Analysis

In this discussion, waqf legal system in Indonesia would be explained first, it consisted of some elements, that were; the enactment history, legal basis, legal framework, mazhab (school of thought) adopted in the implementation of waqf, nazhir coordinator, use of waqf assets, waqf implementation practices, and waqf dispute settlement institutions. After that, waqf legal system in Malaysia was also explained such as the elements above, then a comparison of the similarities and differences of waqf legal was analyzed.

3.1 Waqf Legal System in Indonesia

In Indonesia, waqf had emerged along with the emergence of Islam in Indonesia in XIII century AD, at that time Indonesia was still in the form of kingdoms. However, the actual implementation of waqf practice in community had developed since XV century AD [4]. At that time the practice of waqf was in the form of a place of worship (mushalla, mosque, surau), a place to study Islam (Islamic education and boarding schools), a place for joint activities in the community (farming, gardening, building public facilities[2].

The teachings of waqf by Muslims could be used as a daily worship activity in addition to other life activities. At that time, the law of waqf in Indonesia was included as legal realism, that was real law and a living law because it was practiced in community, its legal basis was from the principles or values contained in religious teachings (Islam). Along with the rapid development of waqf, waqf had become a law whose implementation was based on the laws stipulated by the state.

Indonesian recognized and protected waqf actions committed by the Indonesian people, because the benefits of waqf assets[5] could assist the government in achieving national development goals as stated in the Preamble of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia Paragraph IV. Besides as an effort to fulfill the constitutional rights of citizens to worship in accordance with their beliefs and religions. Muslims (as well as members of other religions) had been protected by the state in carrying out their teachings including giving waqf, as explained in the First Line of Pancasila and the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia Article 29 Paragraph (1) and (2).

Based on the explanation above, it could be understood that the enactment of waqf law in Indonesia used religious law (Islam) and state law. Religious law was based on Al-Qur'an and Al-Hadith [6] as well as the book of fiqh that usually used non-absolute Shafi'i mazhab (school of thought), it meant that it accepted the teachings of other legal mazhab (school of thought), such as Hanafi and Maliki mazhab (school of thought). The position of religious law was related to the validity of waqf, while state law was related to legal certainty to provide legal protection for the implementation of waqf including waqf assets. Thus state law provided reinforcement for the enactment of religious law, so that there was harmony (a harmonious and mutually supportive relationship) between state law and religious law.

The form of government recognition and protection whose relation to waqf law was the formulation of state policy to make laws and regulations that were used as a basis or juridical basis as well as a guideline for Muslims in giving waqf as well as legal certainty of waqf. The legislations were:

1. Act Number 5/1960 concerning Basic Regulations on Agrarian Principles. The most essential provision in this act was the protection of waqf lands[7].
3. Regulation Minister of Home Affairs Number 6/1977 concerning Land Registration Regarding Land Ownership Donation[7].
4. Regulation of Minister of Religion Number 1/1978, Joint Instruction between Minister of Religion and Minister of Home Affairs Number 1/1978, Decree of Minister of Religion Number 73/1978 concerning the Delegation of Authority to Provincial/Regional Office of Religion Department in Indonesia to hire/terminate each Head of Religious Affairs District Office as PPAIW (Officials Maker of the Waqf Pledge Deed)[8].
5. Regulation of Islamic Directorate General of Correctional Center Number Kep/D/75/1979 concerning Forms and Guidelines for Implementing the Regulations concerning Donation of Land Ownership[8].
7. Act Number 7/1989 jo Act Number 3/2006 concerning Religious Courts that stipulated the authority over legal issues of waqf to the Religious Courts, and aligns the Religious Court's position with other courts[9].
8. Act Number 41/2004 that was enacted on October 27th, 2004 was the first act that specifically regulated waqf, with the enactment of this act, all waqf regulations were still valid as long as they did not conflict and/or had not been replaced by new regulations based on this act[10].
9. Presidential Regulation Number 28/1977, regarding the regulation that explained waqf.

In Indonesia, the implementation of waqf was under the authority of the Ministry of Religion for the Affairs of Zakat, Infaq, Shadaqah and Waqf (ZISWAF). Since 2004, since the enactment of the Waqf Law Number 41/2004, waqf affairs were under coordination between Ministry of Religion and Indonesian Waqf Institution (BWI). BWI had the authority to coordinate the management of waqf assets, then BWI collaborated with Nazhirs who were from elements of community, such as; BKM (Mosque Welfare Institution), institutions, foundations, or community organizations to manage waqf assets according to community needs [11]. People who gave waqf through District Ulama Council that was called as Kantor Urusan Agama (Religious Affairs Office). Regulations regarding waqf law, besides state policies, were also in the hands of Indonesian Ulama Council in the form of fatwa, for example; Fatwa of Indonesian Council of Religious Scholars (MUI) regarding waqf in cash, intellectual property rights waqf (HKI), productive waqf, and others[11].

The legal basis for waqf stipulated by the government aimed to assist Muslims in carrying out legal action of waqf, including providing instructions for the settlement of waqf disputes so that problems, conflicts or disputes could be settled easily and significantly. Article 62 of Act number 41/2004 explained that the steps for settling waqf disputes were:

a. Waqf dispute settlement was settled through deliberation to reach consensus.
b. If the dispute settlement as stated in paragraph (1) was unsuccessful, the dispute could be settled through mediation, arbitration or court.

Institutions that had the authority to settle waqf disputes were:
a. The authority of administrative sanctions belonged to the Ministry of Religion
b. Criminal Sector was under the authority of the General Court
c. Civil Sector was the authority of Religious Courts

Based on the explanation above, it could be concluded through the following table:
Tab 1. Waqf Law in Indonesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waqf Law in Indonesia</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The emergence history</td>
<td>Along with the emergence of Islam in Indonesia, that was in the XIII century AD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Mazhab (Legal school of thought)</td>
<td>Non-absolute Shafi’i mazhab, it meant Shafi’i’s view was used more, but also accepted mazhab of Hanafi on waqf case that was suitable to be implemented for Indonesian people, for example; productive waqf, waqf in cash, and waqf exchange.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Legal Framework Implementer of Waqf Practice</td>
<td>It was under the coordination of the Ministry of Religion through the District Ulama Council, that was called as Religious Affairs Office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazhir Coordinator</td>
<td>BWI collaborated with Nazhir who were from elements of community, such as; BKM (Mosque Welfare Agency), institutions, foundations, or community organizations, to manage waqf assets according to community needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Dispute Resolution</td>
<td>Through deliberation, if it did not work through mediation, if it did not work through arbitrase, if it did not work through the Religious Court.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Dispute Settlement Institution</td>
<td>Religious Court</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Waqf Law in Malaysia

Based on Malaysian history, it could be found out that the introduction and implementation of waqf began around 1800 AD, that was brought by Gujarati traders while spreading Islam in Malaysia. This could be proven by the existence of several mosques in Malaysia that were waqf-based, such as Hulu Mosque in Malacca and Sultan Abu Bakar Mosque in Johor. At that time, waqf had become a part of daily worship activities, the community was very enthusiastic or passionate about donating to waqf[11]. According to Othman (1986), the practice of waqf in Malaysia was believed to have lasted for eight centuries, since the presence of Arab Muslim traders who spread Islam in the Malay peninsula in the X century AD The legal framework for waqf in Malaysia was based on the framework of Islamic law, as the applied law in Malaysia[12].

Malaysia’s federal constitution mandated that Islamic religious code including waqf in states that had sultan (or other equivalent title) was governed by state regulations. Moreover, for states that did not have sultan, such as Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya, the regulation used Islamic religious law including management of waqf following the Administration of the Religion of Islam (Federal Territories) Act 1993[13].

The legal basis used as the guidelines in waqf law in Malaysia, as stated by Zubaidah & Hilal [13], were the Federal Constitution 1957 (section 3.4.1, article 3), National Land Code 1965 (NLC), Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) (Act 505 of 1993), Selangor Wakaf Enactment (No. 7 of 1999), Trustee Act 1949 (Act 208), Malacca Wakaf Enactment
Waqf law in Malaysia were set by the government in each state. This was because 9 of 13 states in Malaysia were sultanates led by the sultan as head of local government. In states that was not led by a sultan, such as; Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya, the regulation of waqf as well as other Islamic religious regulations was under the federal government. Thus, waqf law might be different from one state to another state [14].

In Malaysia, perwakafan used main teaching Shafi'i mazhab, because this mazhab was adopted by the first Islamic ulama (Muslim scholar) who spreaded Islam in Asian including Malaysia and the community who accepted it so that the teachings of shafi'i mazhab could be developed. In addition, Shafi'i’s ideology was recognized as fiqh mazhab that represented the intersection point of thought between textualist traditions and had become a vital elan for the existence of waqf law in Malaysia[15].

In Malaysia, the implementation of waqf regulation was under the authority of Indonesian Council of Religious Scholars (MUI) as the enforcer of waqf law in concerned states. Then, each MUI had the authority to validate, regulate, supervise and manage consumptive waqf within the states. The Majlis had an Advisory Board and Waqf Asset Management Committee. The Advisory Board consisted of individuals with experience in the field of Islamic law appointed by the Majlis with the state Mufti, the secretary of MUI, the state director for Agrarian Affairs and state officials in the field of legal consultant being permanent members. The other members consisted of state finance officials, academics and practitioners in professions related to Islamic law, property management and financial management [2].

Based on the explanation above, it could be understood that legal framework that was enacted in Malaysia tended to be different among the states, everything that regulated waqf was regulated independently by each state government [16]. Different legal framework and management practices for waqf affected the efficiency of planning and distribution between states. This gave impact in the practice of waqf in Malaysia until today, mostly of it was only limited to land. Even though, in its management it was bound by the provisions required by waqf. In addition, there were still many waqf lands that were managed besides the Religious Council, the nazarib was not economists and had no management background, so that some of waqf in Malaysian state were less productive and less economical [11].

In addition, it also gave impact on the settlement of waqf disputes that was different depended on the interpretation of the institution of law maker. In various cases regarding waqf in Malaysia, there were disparities in opinions and interpretations between legal entities that had the competence or authority to make and interpret the regulations and waqf manager. One of interpretations that had been decided by the State Legislative Institution was automatically imperfect when the the Council (Parliament), whose authority was higher, decided otherwise. As the response of this case, Hokker considered that ideally the Court Council should not interfere the affairs under the authority of the Low Court too far, because it would assume a dictatorial system in regulating waqf. Furthermore, he emphasized and noted that in this field, changes or at least additional regulations need to be added in Malaysia[17].

In addition, the management of waqf in Malaysia that was generally under the authority of MUI was considered as insignificantly contributed to the welfare of the community, both economically and socially. This was caused by many people who gave waqf but were not in accordance with the regulation of waqf and the lack similarity in the regulation of waqf, so that the differences in interpretation and guidelines for the implementation of waqf happened.
This situation had encouraged Malaysian people to propose to the government to establish waqf institutions that managed waqf centrally, not under the authority of the state MUI, so that it could encourage the growth of waqf assets in Malaysia.

On the issue of waqf dispute settlement, Malaysian people mostly used non-litigation process, through deliberation and mediation. This was due to the factor of judicial power in handling waqf disputes. Authorized court institution to settle waqf disputes was the General Court, not the Religious Court. Although waqf system in Malaysia was centered on the MUI, however, many waqf disputes actually ended up in general courts, not Islamic courts, where the general court was higher than Islamic court. This caused many differences in Islamic cases that should only be settled in Islamic courts. Ironically, the competence of judges in the general court had low mastery in Islamic law [18], even though the case of waqf must be a Muslim case related to Islamic law or sharia.

Based on the explanation above, it could be concluded about waqf legal policy in Malaysia through the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waqf Law in Malaysia</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The emergence history</td>
<td>1800 AD, brought by Gujarati traders while spreading Islam in Malaysia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Basis</td>
<td>Federal Constitution 1957 (section 3.4.1, article 3), National Land Code 1965 (NLC), Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) (Act 505 of 1993), Selangor Wakaf Enactment (No. 7 of 1999), Trustee Act 1949 (Act 208), Malacca Wakaf Enactment 2005, Negeri Sembilan Wakaf Enactment 2005, Specific Relief Act 1950, Contracts Act 1950. Other Islamic laws that were issued by each state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Mazhab</td>
<td>It was different depended on state policy. Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya, Islamic regulations including the management of waqf was based on absolute Syafi'i mazhab. In some other states, they used non-automatic Syafi'i mazhab, such as Hanafi mazhab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Legal Framework</td>
<td>Each state was different, everything that regulated waqf was regulated independently by each state government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Practice Implementer</td>
<td>MUI had the authority to validate, regulate, supervise and manage consumptive waqf within the state. MUI collaborated with the Advisory Board and Waqf Asset Management Committee. The Advisory Board consisted of individuals with experience in the field of Islamic law that was appointed by Majlis with the state Mufti, the secretary of MUI, the state director for Agrarian Affairs and state officials in the field of legal consultant being permanent members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazhir Coordinator</td>
<td>MUI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Use of Waqf Assets</td>
<td>Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya, their waqf assets were immovable property, whose use for agriculture, plantations, rentals, places of worship, places of education, and hospitals. Apart from these states, waqf assets were used for economic value, such as; business, property, stocks and investments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Disputes Settlement</td>
<td>It used non-litigation process more. The authorized court to settle waqf case was General Court.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Comparative Study of Waqf Legal System between Indonesia and Malaysia

A comparative study of waqf legal system between Indonesia and Malaysia was an attempt to describe or explain waqf law that was enacted in Indonesia and Malaysia, then a comparison between both of them. This was done to determine the characteristics of each country in enforcing the waqf law.

Based on the explanation above, it could be found out about *waqf* law that was enacted in Indonesia and Malaysia. When they were compared, it could be seen in the table as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of Waqf Law</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The emergence history</td>
<td>1800 AD, brought by Gujarati traders while spreading Islam in Malaysia.</td>
<td>Along with the emergence of Islam in Indonesia, that was in the XIII century AD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal basis</td>
<td>Based on the teachings of Islamic law that used the Al-Qur'an and Al-Hadith as the references as well as regulations set by the state, such as; Federal Constitution 1957 (section 3.4.1, article 3), National Land Code 1965 (NLC), Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) (Act 505 of 1993), Selangor Wakaf Enactment (No.7 of 1999), Trustee Act 1949 (Act 208), Malacca Wakaf Enactment 2005, Negeri Sembilan Wakaf Enactment 2005, Specific Relief Act 1950, Contracts Act 1950. Regulations of laws issued by each state.</td>
<td>Based on the teachings of Islamic law that used Al-Qur'an and Al-Hadith as the references as well as regulations set by the state, such as; Act of Agrarian Principles (UUPA) Number 5/1960, Government Regulation 28/1977 concerning the donation of land ownership, Islamic Law Compilation of Presidential Instruction (KHI Inpres) Number 1/1991 The third part of waqf law, Act Number 41/2004 concerning Waqf and Government Regulation Number 42/2006 concerning Implementation of Government Regulation No. 41/2004.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Mazhab</td>
<td>It was different depended on state policy. Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya, followed absolute Shafi'I <em>mazhab</em>. Besides those states, the other used non-absolute syafi'i <em>mazhab</em>, it meant accepting teachings from Hanafi and Maliki <em>mazhab</em>, such as; <em>waqf</em> for productive assets, <em>waqf</em> in cash, and <em>waqf</em> exchange.</td>
<td>Having non-absolute Syafi’i <em>mazhab</em>, it meant that it used more Shafi’i’s view, but also accepted Hanafi <em>mazhab</em> in the case of <em>waqf</em> that was suitable to be implemented by Indonesian people, for example; productive <em>waqf</em>, <em>waqf</em> in cash, and <em>waqf</em> exchange.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waqf Legal Framework</td>
<td>Each state was different, everything that regulated <em>waqf</em> was regulated independently by each state.</td>
<td>Including Islamic law that was enacted nationally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
government. MUI had the authority to validate, regulate, supervise and manage consumptive waqf within the state. MUI collaborated with the Advisory Board and the Waqf Asset Management Committee. The Advisory Board consisted of individuals with experience in the field of Islamic law appointed by the Majlis with the state Mufti, the secretary of MUI, the state director for Agrarian Affairs and state officials in the field of legal consultant being permanent members.

**Nazhir Coordinator**

MUI (Indonesian Council of Religious Scholars/Majles Ulama Islam)

BWI collaborated with Nazhir who was from the element of community, such as; BKM (Mosque Welfare Agency), institutions, foundations, or community organizations, such as NU and Muhammadiyah to manage waqf assets according to community needs.

The use of Waqf assets

Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya, their waqf assets were immovable property, that were used for agriculture, plantations, rentals, places of worship, places of education, and hospitals. Besides these states, waqf assets were used for economic value, such as; business, property, stocks and investments.

The use of waqf assets in the aspects of worship, then social, education, health, morals, public service facilities and the economy.

**Waqf Disputes Settlement**

It used more non-litigation process. Authorized court to settle waqf case was General Court.

Through litigation and non-litigation process. Authorized court to settle waqf disputes was Religious Court.

Based on the table above, it could be analyzed that the similarity between waqf legal system in Indonesia and waqf legal system in Malaysia was their legal framework, where both of them used Islamic legal framework with non-absolute Syafi’i mazhab. Common difference was the form of government of Malaysia, that consisted of thirteen (13) states, tended to use different waqf legal systems, including the legal basis, the allocation of the waqf assets, mazhab of waqf legal teachings, and the use of the assets.

The states of Malaysia that used the teachings of absolute Syafi’i mazhab waqf law, such as; Sabah, Sarawak and Malacca, as well as federal territories such as Kuala Lumpur and
increasing mindset professional, the institution that assets were enactment worship. As the Abdullah Islam of securities Hanafi cash. In allocation the assets/land, Putrajaya. Abdullah was organized, systematic and effective manner. In carrying out his duty, JAWHAR as the executor of federal power in the field of waqf only played role as the coordinator of MUI in the states.

In every state of Malaysia, full authority of waqf belonged to Majlis Ugama Islam/Indonesian Council of Religious Scholars (MUI), however in 2008, Prime Minister Tun Abdullah Ahmad Badawi announced the formation of the Department of Waqf, Zakat and Haj (JAWHAR), whose duty was implementing the authority of federal government in waqf management affair. The establishment of JAWHAR was expected to provide development in the management of waqf in a more organized, systematic and effective manner. In carrying out his duty, JAWHAR as the executor of federal power in the field of waqf only played role as the coordinator of MUI in the states.

In the context of the settlement of waqf disputes, Malaysians mostly settled their disputes through non-litigation process in the form of deliberation and mediation, and less used syari’ah arbitrase process. This was because the use of waqf assets was more in the function of worship. However, in the states of Malaysia that used non-absolute Shafi’i mazhab, where the allocation of waqf assets was more based on economic value, they used waqf dispute settlement through sharia arbitrase using Islamic financial system. The settlement of waqf disputes using litigation that was through the Court Institution therefore Malaysia had appointed a General Court or Conventional Court to settle waqf disputes that included in the realm of Islamic economics.

In contrast with Indonesia, since the issuance of Waqf Law Number 41/2004 the enactment of the waqf legal system was constitutionally equal for all Indonesian people. There were several legal innovations regulated in Waqf Law, for example; Waqf might be movable assets as long as the principal or original assets were retained and their use was developed, waqf might has limited time as long as its use was permanent, the management of waqf assets that was professional, certification of waqf (for land). However, the waqf management institution (BWI) established by the Indonesian government had been stagnant so far, because the government's political will had not fully supported the enforcement of waqf law in Indonesia. In addition, the authority to implement waqf law that was held by the Ministry of Religion had also experienced many legal and social problems, for example; the legality of waqf, protection and salvation of waqf assets, misinterpretations among waqf, infaq and shadaqah, management of waqf, and education of waqf for the community.

The comparison of waqf law between Malaysia and Indonesia could give inspiration for the development of waqf in Indonesia, especially in the management of waqf assets in a professional, accountable and transparent manner. In addition, in Malaysia, there had been a mindset that waqf was a job that contained the mandate of the world and the hereafter. Therefore the institution that had the authority to provide waqf services and manage the increasing number of waqf assets was the Ministry of Waqf, Zakat and Hajj.
4 Conclusion and Suggestion

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that the implementation of *waqf* law between Indonesia and Malaysia has similarities and differences as their own characteristics which are adjusted to the typology of the community. The form of government of Indonesia and Malaysia is different, therefore the legal system is also different. The point of similarity of *waqf* law between the two countries is Islamic legal framework of non-absolute Shafi'I *mazhab*. However, this is only implemented in certain states.

The suggestion that deserves to be provided is by comparing the enactment of the *waqf* law between Indonesia and Malaysia; it will provide inspiration and motivation for the development of Indonesian *waqf* in a professional manner, both through its legal culture, guidelines and law enforcement.
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