A Study on the Impact of Digitization-driven Corporate Green Innovation --a Mediating Perspective Based on Absorptive Capacity

Zhuoxuan Li^{1a}, Xufang Li^{2b}

^a928611096@qq.com, ^blucylxf@163.com

¹School of Management, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai, China. ²School of Management, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai, China.

Abstract. Given the increasing environmental challenges and rapid advancements in digital technologies, there has been significant interest in promoting green innovation and digital transformation among enterprises. However, there are not many articles linking the two. Therefore, this paper uses data from 2017-2021, ordinary least squares is applied to verify whether corporate digitization can positively affect green innovation and to explore the role of absorptive capacity in the existence between the two. It is also found that AC plays a different role in ED for different types of GI.

Keywords: Green innovation; Absorptive capacity; Enterprise digitalization; Green substantive innovation; Green strategic innovation

1 Introduction

With the in-depth development of the new round of technological revolution and the increasing prominence of environmental problems, people are beginning to pay attention to the impact of ED on GI. However, the interaction between green innovation and digitalization of enterprises in China is still at a preliminary stage. Considering enterprise innovation from the perspective of innovation chain, the resource integration dilemma, innovation cost dilemma and power output dilemma of enterprises are all important factors that restrict the synergistic development of digitalization and greenization, therefore, how to use digitalization to empower and accelerate the transformation and development of Chinese enterprises towards digitalization, intelligence and greenization It becomes a major issue at present.

1.1 Enterprise digitalization and Green innovation

ED can improve sustainable GI in enterprises^[1], and ED can encourage enterprises to combine GI activities with digital technologies, reducing enterprise energy consumption ^[2], and achieving cost reduction and efficiency ^[3; 4], and provide technical support and financial guarantee for sustainable green innovation^[5]. Therefore, H1 is as follows.

H1: Digitalization can help companies increase their level of green innovation.

1.2 Enterprise digitalization, Absorptive capacity and Green innovation

Through digitization, facilitating the acquisition, absorption, and application of external knowledge by firms^[6; 7]. Specifically, digital technologies fundamentally change the way companies interact with their external environment^[8]. In summary, we argue that digitization can increase absorptive capacity, proposing hypothesis 2:

H2: ED has a positive impact on AC.

H3: AC positively influences GI.

Based on this, this paper also tries to put forward the following contents:

H4: AC mediates the relationship between ED and GI.

2 Study Design

2.1 Sample selection and Variable definition

The research sample selected for this paper is: 2017-2021 Chinese A-share listed companies, and excluding financial excluding ST or PT. The variables are shown in Table 1 below.

Variable Type	Variable Name	Symbol	Variable Definition		
Dependent variable	Green innovation	GI	The number of independent green pa- tents obtained by enterprises + 1 takes the natural logarithm		
Independent variable	Enterprise digitaliza- tion	ED	Drawing on the research ideas of Wu Fe et al. (2021) and Yuan Chun et al.		
Mediating var- iables	Absorptive capacity	AC	(R&D expenditure divided by revenue) *100		
	Enterprise Age	ListAge	Ln(Year plus 1 minus year of listing)		
	Enterprise size	Size	Natural logarithm of total assets		
Control varia-	Nature of ownership	SOE	Dummy variables: 1 = state-owned, 0 = other		
bles	Concentration of own- ership	Top1	The number of shares held by the largest shareholder divided by the total		
	Financial leverage	Lev	Total liabilities / total assets		
	Earnings Capacity	ROA	Net profit/total assets		

Table 1. Variables and measurements.

2.2 Model construction

The following mediating effect model is constructed in this paper^[9], where CON is the control variable; INT is the mediating variable.

$$GI_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{DIG}_{i,t} + \beta \text{CON}_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(1)

$$INT_{i,t} = b_0 + b1DIG_{i,t} + \beta CON_{i,t} + \varepsilon_i$$
(2)

$$Res_{i,t} = c_0 + \lambda INT_{i,t} + c1DIG_{i,t} + \beta CON_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(3)

3 Empirical Analysis

3.1 Descriptive statistics

Table 2. Descriptive statistics.

variable	Ν	mean	p50	sd	min	max
ED	12680,000	1,820	1,609	1,473	0,000	6,306
GI	12680,000	0,370	0,000	0,753	0,000	6,213
AC	12680,000	5,130	3,929	5,156	0,000	76,350
Size	12680,000	22,311	22,125	1,307	19,213	28,502
ListAge	12680,000	2,144	2,197	0,805	0,000	3,367
SOE	12680,000	0,270	0,000	0,444	0,000	1,000
Top1	12680,000	0,324	0,302	0,142	0,024	0,891
Lev	12680,000	0,408	0,404	0,187	0,008	1,081
ROA	12680,000	0,042	0,042	0,080	-0,931	0,880
• • •	T 11 0 1 1	• 1	• •	•		1

This is shown in Table 2 that: there is a large variation in corporate GI, with a mean value of 0.37 for GI. The mean value of GI is 0.37. In addition. The level of absorptive capacity also shows a large difference in different enterprises, with a mean value of 3.929.

3.2 Regression analysis

Table 3. Regression results (1).

		U		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	GI	GI	GI	AC
ED		0,036***	0,020***	0,956***
		(0,0044)	(0,0046)	(0,0285)
AC			0,016***	
			(0,0014)	
Size	0,143***	0,137***	0,141***	-0,221***
	(0,0069)	(0,0069)	(0,0068)	(0,0440)
List Age	-0,110***	-0,110***	-0,102***	-0,468***
	(0,0102)	(0,0102)	(0,0102)	(0,0654)
SOE	-0,005	0,005	0,010	-0,310**
	(0,0172)	(0,0172)	(0,0171)	(0,1098)
Top1	-0,192***	-0,150**	-0,077	-4,445***
	(0,0489)	(0,0490)	(0,0491)	(0,3136)
Lev	0,364***	0,380***	0,482***	-6,179***

	(0,0435)	(0,0434)	(0,0440)	(0,2780)
ROA	0,108	0,166	0,261**	-5,740***
	(0,0902)	(0,0902)	(0,0901)	(0,5772)
_cons	-2,671***	-2,640***	-2,864***	13,621***
	(0,1343)	(0,1340)	(0,1346)	(0,8575)
N	12680	12680	12680	12680

This is shown in Table 3 that Size and Lev have a positive effect on GI, and List Age and Top1 have a negative effect on GI. The results show that ED has a positive effect on AC, thus supporting H2. The results also show that both ED and AC have a positive effect on GI. These effects are significant. So H3 and H4 are confirmed.

3.3 Robustness test

The measure of the degree of digitalization of replacement firms was used to further verify the robustness of the empirical results^[10], and the test results are shown in Table 4 below. Overall, the regression results are not significantly different from the previous findings, indicating that the conclusions are more robust.

		e		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	GI	GI	GI	AC
ED-B		0,039***	0,019**	1,142***
		(0,0058)	(0,0060)	(0,0374)
AC			0,017***	
			(0,0014)	
Size	0,143***	0,138***	0,141***	-0,232***
	(0,0069)	(0,0069)	(0,0069)	(0,0444)
ListAge	-0,110***	-0,109***	-0,101***	-0,435***
	(0,0102)	(0,0102)	(0,0102)	(0,0659)
SOE	-0,005	0,003	0,009	-0,348**
	(0,0172)	(0,0172)	(0,0171)	(0,1106)
Top1	-0,192***	-0,157**	-0,080	-4,554***
	(0,0489)	(0,0490)	(0,0492)	(0,3158)
Lev	0,364***	0,368***	0,478***	-6,499***
	(0,0435)	(0,0434)	(0,0441)	(0,2797)
ROA	0,108	0,148	0,252**	-6,117***
	(0,0902)	(0,0902)	(0,0900)	(0,5808)
_cons	-2,671***	-2,703***	-2,904***	11,857***
	(0,1343)	(0,1342)	(0,1343)	(0,8638)
Ν	12680	12680	12680	12680

Table 4. Regression results (2).

4 Further analysis

In order to further analyze the influence mechanism of ED on GI, This paper makes the following research with reference to relevant literature^[11; 12]. As shown in Table 5 below, ED promotes both, and AC mediates between the two. The mediating effect of AC on GSI-1 is higher than that of GSI-2. At the same time, it can be found that the mediating role of AC between ED and GSI-1 is higher than that between GSI-2.

Table 3. Regression results (5).						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
	Green sub- stantive inno- vation (GSI-1)	Green sub- stantive inno- vation (GSI-1)	AC	Green strate- gic innovation (GSI-2)	Green strate- gic innovation (GSI-2)	
ED	0,146***	0,107***	0,956***	0,093*	0,067	
	(0,0306)	(0,0319)	(0,0285)	(0,0420)	(0,0439)	
AC		0,040***			0,027*	
		(0,0095)			(0,0131)	
Size	1,000***	1,009***	-0,221***	0,930***	0,936***	
	(0,0472)	(0,0472)	(0,0440)	(0,0649)	(0,0650)	
ListAge	-0,446***	-0,428***	-0,468***	-0,474***	-0,462***	
	(0,0702)	(0,0703)	(0,0654)	(0,0965)	(0,0967)	
SOE	-0,089	-0,077	-0,310**	-0,342*	-0,333*	
	(0,1179)	(0,1179)	(0,1098)	(0,1622)	(0,1622)	
Top1	-2,200***	-2,021***	-4,445***	-1,591***	-1,472**	
	(0,3368)	(0,3392)	(0,3136)	(0,4632)	(0,4668)	
Lev	-0,655*	-0,407	-6,179***	1,250**	1,416***	
	(0,2985)	(0,3040)	(0,2780)	(0,4105)	(0,4184)	
ROA	-0,693	-0,462	-5,740***	0,694	0,848	
	(0,6198)	(0,6218)	(0,5772)	(0,8525)	(0,8557)	
_cons	-19,946***	-20,495***	13,621***	-18,808***	-19,173***	
	(0,9208)	(0,9292)	(0,8575)	(1,2664)	(1,2787)	
Ν	12680	12680	12680	12680	12680	

Table 5. Regression results (3).

5 Conclusions

This article explores the relationship between ED, AC, and GI. Using companies from 2017-2021, the paper's empirical analysis finds that ED can positively contribute to GI, while AC mediates this relationship. This paper also reveals the differences in the impact of digitalization on different types of green innovation.

References

[1] Aboelmaged, M., & Hashem, G. (2019). Absorptive capacity and green innovation adoption in SMEs: The mediating effects of sustainable organisational capabilities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.150

[2] Albornoz, F., Cole, M. A., Elliott, R. J. R., & Ercolani, M. G. (2014). The environmental actions of firms: examining the role of spillovers, networks and absorptive capacity. *Journal of Environmental Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.011

[3] Albort-Morant, G., Leal-Rodríguez, A. L., & De Marchi, V. (2018). Absorptive capacity and relationship learning mechanisms as complementary drivers of green innovation performance. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-07-2017-0310

[4] Ardito, L., Raby, S., Albino, V., & Bertoldi, B. (2020). The duality of digital and environmental orientations in the context of SMEs: Implications for innovation performance. *Journal of Business Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.022

[5]Berchicci, L. (2013). Towards an open R&D system: Internal R&D investment, external knowledge acquisition and innovative performance. *Research Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.04.017
[6]Cenamor, J., Parida, V., & Wincent, J. (2019). How entrepreneurial SMEs compete through digital platforms: The roles of digital platform capability, network capability and ambidexterity. *Journal of Business Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.03.035

[7]Chan, H. K., Yee, R. W. Y., Dai, J., & Lim, M. K. (2016). The moderating effect of environmental dynamism on green product innovation and performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.12.006

[8] de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., Jabbour, C. J. C., Foropon, C., & Godinho Filho, M. (2018). When titans meet – Can industry 4.0 revolutionise the environmentally-sustainable manufacturing wave? The role of critical success factors. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.01.017

[9]Delmas, M., Hoffmann, V. H., & Kuss, M. (2011). Under the Tip of the Iceberg: Absorptive Capacity, Environmental Strategy, and Competitive Advantage. *Business & Society*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650310394400

[10] Denner, M.-S., Püschel, L. C., & Röglinger, M. (2017). How to Exploit the Digitalization Potential of Business Processes. Business & Information Systems Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-017-0509-x

[11] El-Kassar, A.-N., & Singh, S. K. (2018). Green innovation and organizational performance: The influence of big data and the moderating role of management commitment and HR practices. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.12.016

[12] Phuong-Anh Nguyen, D., Wim, V., Jolien, H., & Frank, L. (2021). On external knowledge sources and innovation performance: Family versus non-family firms. *Technovation*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102448