Legal Analysis of Patient Rights in The Context of Developing Genetic Technology for Human Health

Ineke Winda Ferianasari[1], Suparno[2]
{inekewfs@gmail.com[1], suparno@borobudur.ac.id[2]}

Universitas Borobudur[1, 2]

Abstract. In the context of rapid advances in genetic technologies for human healthcare, it is important to analyze the legal framework governing the rights of patients. Previous research underscores the need to enhance protection of these rights, but gaps remain in the literature. This study aims to explore key aspects of the existing legal framework affecting patients' rights in the development and application of genetic technologies. The research will evaluate the extent to which the existing legal framework protects patient rights and identify legal gaps that may need to be addressed. The results of this study indicate the need for more specific legal guidelines to govern the use of genetic technologies for human health and the importance of cooperation between government, industry, and civil society to protect patients' rights in healthcare processes involving genetic technology.

Keywords: Health Law, Genetic Technology, Patient Rights

1 Introduction

The development of genetic technologies has changed the landscape of human healthcare by enabling more accurate diagnosis, individually tailored treatment, and potential discoveries for genetic diseases. While these advancements promise significant benefits to human health, attention to the legal implications arising from the use of genetic technologies is increasingly urgent. [1]

Although there are a number of regulations and guidelines governing the use of genetic technology in the health sector, one of which refers to Government Regulation (PP) Number 39 of 1995 concerning Health Research and Development as an implementer of the provisions of Law Number 23 of 1992 concerning Health, Article 3 states that health research and development is carried out by health research and development organizers, then if the implementation of genetic technology development in the health sector is carried out on humans, written consent from the human being concerned is required (Article 8) so that it can be in line with the need to conduct a more in-depth analysis of the legal dynamics involving patient rights.

Genetic technology has a positive role in the world of health, namely being able to diagnose a genetic or non-genetic disease and treat certain diseases that cannot be reached by conventional means, but its implementation can be carried out through an in-depth legal analysis approach. The focus of this study is to explore various aspects of patient rights, including but not limited to genetic privacy, fair access, and informed consent. The aim is to provide better insight into how the existing legal framework can be effectively applied in the context of evolving genetic technologies, as well as to identify areas where legal improvements or changes

ICLSSEE 2024, May 25, Jakarta, Indonesia

DOI 10.4108/eai.25-5-2024.2349380

may be needed so as to make a significant contribution to the development of policy, clinical practice and legal literature related to the use of genetic technologies in human healthcare. [2]

The context of developing genetic technologies for human health involves a mix of innovative scientific discoveries and complex ethical challenges. Genetic technologies have been a milestone in society's efforts to better understand the genetic basis of disease, create individually tailored therapies and develop more accurate diagnostic methods. Along with these advancements have come debates about the ethical, legal and social implications of the application of genetic technologies. Questions of genetic privacy, equitable access to genetically-based healthcare, and issues related to law enforcement and regulation have become increasingly important in the context of genetic technology development, creating unique challenges for scientists, healthcare practitioners, and policymakers to maintain a balance between rapid scientific progress and the need to ensure that the use of genetic technologies provides maximum benefit to human health while observing principles of ethics and social justice. [3]

The need for legal analysis of patients' rights is becoming increasingly urgent as genetic technology develops in the field of human health. In-depth legal research is important to explore the complex dynamics between technological advances and the protection of individual patient rights as stipulated in Article 52 of Law No. 29/2004 on Medical Practice as well as the patient rights contained in Article 32 of Law No. 44/2009 on Hospitals. The need to understand the legal framework governing the use of genetic technology needs to take into account the rights of patients, so as to identify legal loopholes that may need attention and develop more appropriate guidelines to ensure that patients' rights are effectively protected. In addition, a comprehensive legal analysis can provide valuable insights for policy makers, healthcare practitioners, and society as a whole on how to protect the interests and rights of individuals in an era of ever-evolving genetic innovation.

The scope of this research includes an evaluation of existing regulations, identification of legal gaps that may need to be filled, as well as the development of recommendations to strengthen the protection of patients' rights in the development and application of genetic technologies. As such, this research is expected to make a significant contribution to our understanding of the legal complexities involved in the use of genetic technologies in human healthcare.

In order to analyze the protection of patients' rights in the context of the development of genetic technology for human health and by focusing on the evaluation of collaboration between government, industry, and civil society, as well as its practical implications for the protection of patients' rights in the use of genetic technology for human health, the problem formulation is obtained, namely how can the preparation of more specific legal guidelines in regulating the use of genetic technology for human health be realized to strengthen the protection of patients' rights? And how can collaboration between the government, industry, and civil society be strengthened to develop policies that are balanced and in favor of the public interest in the context of genetic technology, and how can further steps be taken to strengthen the protection of patients' rights in the use of genetic technology?

With the formulation of the problem mentioned above, it is expected to be able to achieve the purpose of this research, which is to explore various legal aspects related to patient rights in the context of developing genetic technology for human health. Through an in-depth legal analysis approach, this research also aims to understand the legal framework governing genetic privacy, fair access, and informed consent in the use of genetic technology.

2 Method

The research method used in this study adopts a holistic and systematic approach to legal analysis. First, a descriptive approach is used to collect and analyze various relevant rules, regulations, and policies governing the use of genetic technology in human health care. Data was obtained through a comprehensive literature study and search of various authoritative legal sources such as laws, government regulations, and relevant court decisions.

Furthermore, a comparative approach is used to compare the existing legal frameworks in different jurisdictions to identify differences and similarities in the protection of patients' rights in the context of genetic technology. This is done by comparing laws and regulations from different countries that have different levels of genetic technology development.

In addition, an interpretative approach was used to analyze and interpret the legal implications of the research findings in the context of legal theory and human rights principles. This interpretation is based on an in-depth understanding of the legal principles underlying the rights of patients in the development of genetic technologies.

Finally, an evaluative approach is used to evaluate the effectiveness of the existing legal framework in meeting the needs and protecting the rights of patients in the context of genetic technology development. This evaluation involves assessing the adequacy of patient rights protection provided by the existing legal framework and identifying areas where improvements or changes may be needed.

3 Result and Discussion

Article 1 paragraph (7) of Law Number 36 of 2009 concerning Health determines that a health service facility is a tool and or place used to organize health service efforts, both promotive, preventive, curative and rehabilitative, carried out by the Government, regional governments and or the community. The development of genetic technology in human health has opened up new opportunities in the understanding, diagnosis and treatment of diseases so that genetic technology in the health sector can be categorized as a form of promotive, preventive, curative and rehabilitative health service efforts as stated in paragraphs (12) to 15 of the Article. Technology in the health sector allows for more in-depth analysis of the structure and function of the human genome, including the identification of genetic patterns associated with certain diseases. With techniques such as genome sequencing and advanced genomic analysis, we can identify genetic variants that may cause disease or affect response to treatment. This opens up the potential for the development of individually tailored therapies, which can improve treatment effectiveness and reduce the risk of side effects. [4] In addition, genetic technology also plays an important role in the fields of disease prevention and public health. Through population genetic mapping and identification of genetic patterns associated with disease risk, we can develop more targeted and effective prevention programs. This may include genetic screening to detect disease risk at an early stage, as well as the implementation of preventive interventions targeted to individuals with specific genetic risks. Thus, genetic technology paves the way for a more precise and personalized approach to prevention in an effort to maintain public health. [5]

While the potential benefits are great, the development of genetic technologies also raises a number of ethical challenges and considerations that need to be addressed. These include issues of genetic privacy, equitable access to genetically-based healthcare, and ethical

considerations related to the use of genetic information in medical and non-medical decision-making. Therefore, while genetic technology promises great advances in the field of human health, it is important to consider its ethical and social implications and ensure that its use is carried out with due regard to the principles of justice, ethics and human rights." [6].

The legal perspective on patients' rights in the context of genetics involves the application of relevant legal principles to ensure adequate protection of individual interests in the use of genetic technologies. This includes the right to genetic privacy, which involves protection against the use and disclosure of an individual's genetic information without appropriate authorization. This right to genetic privacy is often reinforced by laws on privacy and data protection, which aim to protect individuals' genetic data from misuse and unauthorized access. In general, the personal data of every citizen is protected by legislation stipulated in Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning Personal Data Protection, while specifically the protection of personal data in the health sector is regulated in Law Number 29 of 2004 concerning Medical Practice. Thus, from a legal perspective, the state is present to protect and consider the patient's right to obtain fair and equal access to genetic-based health services, as well as the right to provide informed consent before undergoing genetic procedures or testing. In addition, the law regulates and considers the ethical and legal obligations of health professionals in using patients' genetic information. This includes the obligation to maintain the confidentiality of the patient's genetic information, as well as to provide clear and comprehensive information to the patient about the medical and ethical implications of possible genetic tests. In addition, the law also considers the importance of informed consent, which involves providing patients with an adequate explanation of the procedure, risks, and benefits of genetic testing, as well as obtaining valid consent before conducting the test, commonly referred to as *informed consent*." [7].

The limitations of previous research in the context of legal analysis of patients' rights in the development of genetic technologies for human health often lie in their limited focus or lack of a holistic approach. Most studies tend to pay more attention to the ethical or medical aspects of the use of genetic technologies, with few studies comprehensively examining the legal framework governing patients' rights. This has led to a lack of in-depth understanding of the complexity of the legal dynamics involved in the use of genetic technologies, as well as gaps in the understanding of how existing legal frameworks can be effectively applied to protect patients' rights.

Due to the complexity and continuous development of genetic technologies, there are many unmet knowledge gaps in the literature. For example, more in-depth research is needed to explore the legal implications of recent advances in genetic technology, such as the use of CRISPR-Cas9 in human genome editing. [8] In addition, more comprehensive studies on how developments in genetic technology affect specific aspects of patients' rights, such as genetic privacy, are also needed to expand our understanding of the legal complexities involved in this context. [9]

Genetic privacy and data protection are two crucial aspects in the context of developing genetic technologies for human health. Genetic privacy refers to an individual's right to keep their genetic information confidential from unauthorized access or unwanted use. Data protection, on the other hand, includes measures to protect an individual's genetic data from misuse, unauthorized disclosure or unauthorized access by other parties. These efforts include the use of advanced encryption technologies, strict data security practices, and legal arrangements that reinforce an individual's rights to the privacy and security of his or her genetic information. [10] In a legal context, the protection of genetic privacy is often reinforced through laws on privacy and data protection (Law 29/2004). This law provides a legal framework that allows individuals to control the use and disclosure of their genetic information by institutions

or third parties. In addition, practices such as data anonymization and strict permission or consent requirements can be implemented to ensure that individuals' genetic information is properly protected from misuse or unauthorized exploitation.

[11] However, despite the existing legal framework, there are still challenges in effectively protecting genetic privacy and genetic data in an era of rapidly evolving technology. These include challenges in adapting regulations to advances in genetic technology, as well as responding to ethical and social issues that arise with the use of genetic data in various contexts, including medical research, drug development, and healthcare. Therefore, the protection of genetic privacy and genetic data must be considered on an ongoing basis in the development of policies and practices related to genetic technologies, with attention to the appropriate balance between medical innovation and the protection of individual rights.

Equitable access to genetic technologies is an important principle in ensuring that the benefits of advances in genetics are equally enjoyed by all individuals, regardless of their social, economic or geographical background. This principle emphasizes the importance of equality in access to genetically-based health services, including genetic testing, gene therapy, and genetic counseling. Equitable access also includes policies that support the provision of genetic health services that are affordable and accessible to all levels of society, so that no one is marginalized from the benefits offered by genetic technologies. In a legal context, equitable access to genetic technologies is often governed by laws that regulate people's health rights, including the right to quality health care without discrimination. These laws often set minimum standards for the provision of genetic health services and encourage policies that support equitable access for all individuals, including those in remote or low-income areas. In addition, measures such as health cost subsidies or affordable financing programs can be implemented to help ensure that the cost of genetic technologies does not become a barrier to equitable access. [12]

While efforts have been made to increase equitable access to genetic technologies, there are still challenges that need to be addressed. These include disparities in access between urban and rural areas and coastal areas far from cities, as well as within communities with low income levels. In addition, issues such as access to genetic information, availability of genetic health services in the region, and inequalities in education and awareness about genetic technologies also affect equitable access. Therefore, it is important to continue to champion policies and programs that support equitable access to genetic technologies, taking into account the needs and challenges faced by different groups in society.

Collaboration between government, industry and civil society is important in promoting transparency, accountability and public participation in the development and implementation of policies related to genetic technologies. This includes providing greater access to information on the risks, benefits and ethical implications of the use of genetic technologies to the general public, as well as facilitating open dialog and discussion between the various parties involved. By building strong and mutually beneficial partnerships between government, industry and civil society, we can create an enabling environment for the sustainable, safe and responsible development of genetic technologies. While the potential benefits are great, collaboration between government, industry and civil society also faces a number of challenges. These include differences in goals and priorities between the public and private sectors, conflicts of interest, as well as challenges in addressing power inequalities and access to resources among the various parties involved. It is therefore important to build relationships based on the principles of openness, trust and active engagement of all parties involved, taking into account the diverse needs and interests of the wider community.

The evaluation of the existing legal framework is an important step in ensuring that regulations governing the use of genetic technologies for human health remain relevant,

effective and in line with the latest developments in the field. This evaluation process involves an in-depth analysis of the laws, regulations and policies governing key aspects such as genetic privacy, fair access and informed consent. By conducting a rigorous evaluation, we can identify weaknesses or gaps in the existing legal framework, as well as identify areas where improvements or changes may be needed. In addition, an evaluation of the existing legal framework also allows us to evaluate the extent to which the implementation and enforcement of these regulations have been successful. This involves assessing the effectiveness of law enforcement agencies in enforcing existing rules, as well as identifying potential obstacles or challenges in the implementation of related practices. By understanding the performance and effectiveness of the existing legal system, we can identify areas that require improvement in terms of law enforcement, personnel training, or better resource allocation. Challenges faced in this process include the complexity of the laws involved in the regulation of genetic technologies, as well as the need to coordinate between the various jurisdictions and agencies involved. Therefore, it is important to engage a wide range of stakeholders to ensure that broad and diverse perspectives are considered. By conducting a comprehensive and collaborative evaluation, we can strengthen the existing legal framework to ensure adequate protection for patients' rights in the context of the development of genetic technologies for human health [13].

After going through the explanation above, a preposition can be drawn on the 2 problem formulations that have been made, namely regarding the preparation of more specific legal guidelines in this context requires a multidisciplinary approach involving legal experts, genetic science, medical ethics, and other stakeholders. First, the first step is to conduct a thorough review of the existing legal framework to identify gaps and specific needs in the protection of patients' rights. Subsequently, through dialog between experts and stakeholders, more specific legal guidelines can be formulated taking into account ethical values, principles of justice, and human rights. The implementation of these guidelines then requires active cooperation between the government, health institutions, and civil society to ensure effective and sustainable application in clinical practice and research. Effective collaboration between government, industry and civil society requires an inclusive and transparent framework. First, discussion and consultation forums involving all stakeholders are needed to understand each other's needs and perspectives. Next, concrete steps such as drafting adequate regulations, implementing high ethical standards, and developing effective oversight mechanisms can be taken to strengthen the protection of patients' rights. In addition, public education and socialization efforts on patients' rights and the implications of using genetic technologies are also needed to ensure active participation and better understanding in this collaborative process.

4 Conclusion and Suggestions

4.1 Conclusion

From the results of this study, the main findings point to the need to develop more specific legal guidelines in regulating the use of genetic technology for human health, as well as strengthening legal awareness and education for health professionals. The implications of this research highlight the importance of collaboration between government, industry and civil society in drafting policies that are balanced and in favor of the public interest, as well as the need for further measures to strengthen the protection of patients' rights in the context of genetic technology. The importance of strengthening patients' rights in the context of genetic

technology cannot be underestimated.

With the advancement of genetic technology, the protection of patients' rights is crucial to prevent misuse of genetic data, privacy violations, and genetic discrimination. Therefore, there is a need for cooperation between government, industry and civil society to ensure that patients' rights are maintained and strengthened in every development of genetic technology. Nonetheless, the study also identified a number of challenges and opportunities for the future of research and policy in the context of genetic technologies. Such challenges include the legal complexities involved in the regulation of genetic technologies, the unequal access to genetic health services in different regions, and the need for constant monitoring and evaluation of the existing legal framework. However, there are also opportunities to enhance cooperation between various stakeholders, strengthen public awareness of patients' rights, and promote responsible innovation in the genetics industry. By capitalizing on these opportunities and addressing the challenges, we can ensure that genetic technologies continue to provide maximum benefit to human health, while also ensuring strong protection for individual rights.

4.2 Suggestions

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended to develop more specific legal guidelines governing the use of genetic technology in the context of human health. These guidelines should cover critical aspects such as genetic privacy, informed consent, and fair access, and provide clear and practical directions for health practitioners, researchers, and other relevant institutions. In this regard, collaboration between government, industry and civil society is essential to ensure that the legal guidelines reflect the needs and aspirations of all stakeholders. In addition, further steps are needed to strengthen legal awareness and education for health professionals, including organizing regular training on the legal implications of the use of genetic technologies and their role in protecting patients' rights.

Another recommendation is to encourage the creation of more effective collaborative mechanisms between government, industry and civil society in addressing the challenges associated with policy development and implementation on genetic technologies. This could include the establishment of multi-stakeholder discussion forums, the active involvement of the public in decision-making processes, and the promotion of transparency in industry practices. In addition, further measures are also needed to enhance the protection of patients' rights, including continuous monitoring of compliance with the existing legal framework and implementation of necessary improvements or changes to address identified weaknesses. Thus, by implementing these suggestions and recommendations, we can strengthen the existing legal framework, increase awareness and compliance with patient rights, and promote more effective collaboration between all stakeholders in the development of genetic technologies v for human health.

References

[1] E. Pujiaty, F. Gunariah, and D. Pramayanti, “Development of Fatwa Development of The National Sharia Council of Indonesian Mui Assembly (Dsn-Mui) Related To Practice And Products in Sharia Financial Institutions,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.6-5-2023.2333543.

[2] F. Mutohhari, H. Sofyan, and M. Nurtanto, “Technological Competencies: A Study on the Acceptance of Digital Technology on Vocational Teachers in Indonesia,” 2021. doi: 10.4108/eai.6-3-2021.2305971.

[3] L. Ferdiles and A. Budianto, “A Judicial Review of the Application Restorative Justice Principle in Efforts to Resolve Criminal Acts Who Committed by Childs Law,” 2022. doi: 10.4108/eai.30-10-2021.2315785.
[4] A. Redi and J. Jonny, “Indonesian Government’s Role in Increasing Domestic Product Consumption: An Analysis of Act Number 3 of 2014 on Industry,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.28-10-2023.2341816.
[5] R. Amalia, “Implementation Of Tax Collection on E-commerce Actors Based on Tax Law in Indonesia,” 2022. doi: 10.4108/eai.16-4-2022.2319718.
[6] A. Redi and N. Ernasari, “Efforts to Overcome Web-Based Phishing Crimes in the World of Cyber Crime,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.28-10-2023.2341807.
[7] L. Kusumawati, R. Sara, and R. Riswadi, “Law Enforcement on Pharmacists Negligence in Giving Medicines to Patients,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.12-11-2022.2327281.
[8] E. Adyalaksmita, E. Israhadi, and M. Barthos, “Notary’s Responsibility for Misdemeanors Made in Accordance with Law No. 30 of 2004,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.12-11-2022.2327270.
[9] F. Suryono and R. Sara, “Application of Authentication in Peer to Peer Lending Fintech Transactions to Maintain the Confidentiality of Personal Data,” 2022. doi: 10.4108/eai.30-10-2021.2315680.
[10] S. Suparno and S. Suyatin, “Extraordinary Crime Corruption According to Law No. 31 of 1999,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.28-10-2023.2341819.
[11] P. Biantoro, S. Suparno, and A. Budianto, “Law Enforcement based on Community Social Culture Approach,” 2023. doi: 10.4108/eai.12-11-2022.2327299.
[12] S. Senen, R. Bratamanggala, and P. Astuty, “The Influence of the HDI Component and the Development of MSMEs on Economic Growth and Its Implications on Poverty and Unemployment in the DIY Province,” 2022. doi: 10.4108/eai.16-4-2022.2319702.
[13] F. Saputro, M. Hubeis, M. Sidik, and H. Subiyantoro, “Determinant of Economic Factors on Economic Growth in West Kalimantan Province 2011-2019,” 2022. doi: 10.4108/eai.16-4-2022.2319724.