On the Scientific Feasibility of the Brain-in-a-Vat Hypothesis

Musen Wan

{WANM0009@e.ntu.edu.sg}

School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, 637371, Singapore

Abstract. The brain-in-the-vat (BIV) hypothesis was first seen as a thought experiment. However, recent advances in neuroscience, brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), and large-scale neuromodeling techniques have raised the question of whether such a system could be scientifically possible. This paper discusses the feasibility of the hypothesis from a biological systems and engineering perspective. It analyzes the physiological properties of the brain and evaluates the conditions required to maintain an isolated brain and generate coherent consciousness. The analysis shows that instead of acting as a dependent computational unit, the brain is integrated with metabolic, endocrine, immune, and sensorimotor systems, upon which the brain's internal states and signal input interpretations are heavily dependent. Based on this situation, four minimal requirements are proposed: physiological maintenance, bidirectional neural interfacing, neuron states monitoring and regulation, and consciousness generation. However, current neural technologies are still far from the scale and understanding required to meet these conditions.

Keywords: Brain-in-a-vat Hypothesis, Brain-computer Interfaces, Artificial Intelligence

1. Introduction

The "brain in a vat" hypothesis is a physiological idea that suggests that a human brain, removed from its body and kept alive artificially, could produce consciousness and experiences solely relying on input stimulation. This idea raises a question about whether subjective experience can exist completely independent of the external physical world. Similar skeptical ideas, from Descartes' evil demon to modern simulation arguments, also talk about whether a conscious subject could be systematically deceived without having any way to realize the illusion [1–4]. As neuroscience, BCIs, and neural simulation develop, what was once a philosophical question is now related to scientific topics. Namely, the key question moves from conceptual possibility to biological and engineering feasibility: could such a system be built using current scientific knowledge?

Recent research in large-scale brain simulation and emulation has made tremendous progress. Reviews have summarized the current technical situation and the challenges of whole-brain

ICIAAI 2026, May 22-24, Singapore, Singapore

DOI 10.4108/eai.22-5-2026.2365218

emulation, focusing on data collection, network reconstruction, and computational scalability [5]. Experimental systems such as digital twin brain platforms have shown that it is possible to simulate human-scale spiking neural networks [6]. Besides, individualized whole-brain models have been used to reproduce resting and active brain dynamics [7]. However, most of these studies treat the brain as a computational object. They rarely discuss whether a real human brain could survive outside the body while maintaining consciousness.

This paper aims to evaluate the feasibility of the BIV systems from biological and engineering perspectives. It focuses on whether a human brain can survive and keep its consciousness when it is separated from the body, receiving external stimulation. Firstly, analysis on biological conditions of the brain shows that the brain is interconnected with endocrine, immune, and metabolic regulatory systems rather than an independent organ. Next, to replace the physiological support from the body, four minimal technical requirements are proposed: long-term maintenance, bidirectional neural interface, real-time large-scale neural state monitoring and control, and generation of coherent consciousness.

2. Biological Constraints: Brain Is Not an Independent Organ

The idealized BIV model presupposes a human brain suspended within a sterile, synthetic cerebrospinal environment, where microelectrode arrays dictate the entire cognitive vision of the brain. This theoretical vacuum employs electrical pulses to mimic natural sensory stimuli, inducing the neural architecture to generate structured patterns of consciousness. Every visual vista, every phantom sound, and every perceived motor command becomes a product of calibrated artificial signaling. The brain could therefore experience a whole simulated environment, modified physical laws, or even completely fabricated lifetimes that still feel real to the brain.

What's implicit in this silicon-and-saline vision is an oversight regarding the intrinsic dependencies of the brain on its somatic host. Far from an autonomous processor, the brain depends on circulatory and metabolic support. It needs a constant supply of oxygen and glucose, and also requires the removal of carbon dioxide and metabolic waste effectively. These processes are originally controlled by the cardiovascular system and the blood–brain barrier [8]. These basic living supports represent only a fragment of the whole. Parallel to these metabolic demands, neuroendocrine interactions are also important for maintaining homeostasis and regulating hormonal effects on neural activity. Besides the endocrine system and hormonal regulation, the brain also receives feedback signals from glands and other organs to regulate its internal states [9]. Those biological mechanisms construct a foundation for homeostasis that cannot be replicated by simple electrodes or current life-support technologies.

Neuron circuits' connections with immune or glial signals regulate synaptic plasticity and protect neuron circuits from neuroinflammation [10]. What's more, sensorimotor feedback and cortical-peripheral connections regulate neural network activity, supporting coherent cognition and consciousness [11]. Because of those relations between the brain and its supplementary or peripheral structures, the brain cannot function as an isolated organ. Any attempt to sustain an isolated brain must find functional surrogate solutions for the entire biological dependencies.

3. Minimal Technical Requirements

Constructing a BIV system is not solely a philosophical question. From science and engineering perspectives, it has huge significance for the development of neuroscience, medicine, and artificial intelligence (AI). Constructing a BIV platform will be a radical departure from current neuroscientific methodology, which will allow researchers to directly observe brain neuron circuits and their firing patterns without the "noise" of an intact organism. Such a setup enables exploration of the origins of consciousness that are technically inaccessible in human subjects. Beyond pure theory, it could redefine life-support systems and offer a lifeline for patients trapped in total locked-in syndrome, such as paralysis or severe sensory loss.

Yet, bridging the gap between theory and hardware encounters a formidable biological bottleneck. Biological isolation and artificial maintenance of a functionally and cognitively active brain requires more than just "support". Instead, it needs an artificial perfusion system that should be capable of maintaining the interstitial fluid's chemical equilibrium [12]. Parallel to this homeostasis maintenance system is the requirement for a bidirectional interface with staggering proportions. Such an interface or electrode arrays should read signals from and deliver inputs to the adequate regions of the brain. Even though current platforms such as Neuralink’s N1 demonstrate a burgeoning capacity to thread thousands of electrodes into cortical tissue, the density required to sustain a fully functional BIV is several orders of magnitude higher than our current peak [13–14].

A computational architecture with sufficient processing capacity is essential to regulate global neural dynamics in real time through the constructed bidirectional interfaces. Such an external computational center should interpret neuron states precisely based on the signals recorded. After processing, it should deliver adequate stimulation through electrode arrays for the generation of continuous consciousness. Because neural circuits thrive on non-linear feedback and chaotic synchronization, even minor external noise can trigger a cascade into functional collapse. In this sense, stability is the prerequisite for consciousness. Without it, the isolated mind dissolves into a chaotic mess of unstructured spikes [15-16].

4. The Scalability Barrier and the Rosetta Stone

Efficacy hinges on an interface's capacity to substitute for natural sensory pathways while simultaneously recording and modulating distributed firing patterns. Although this framework provides a compelling conceptual roadmap, the sheer biological scale is still an insurmountable obstacle. The human brain operates through a dense architecture of approximately 1011 neurons and 1014 synapses. However, current hardware is a mere shadow of this complexity. Beyond the physical gap, the absence of a "Rosetta Stone" for the neural code complicates any attempt at meaningful stimulation. Namely, the neural coding for perception and consciousness is largely unknown. Even though scientists can stimulate specific neuron circuits, they cannot predetermine which neuron firing pattern translates to the subjective warmth of a breeze or the visual identity of a car.

At the heart of this friction lies the brain’s nature as a self-organizing entity. Biological life relies on a closed sensorimotor loop where perception informs action and action, in turn, modifies perception. The loop is the mind's anchor, which enables the brain to interpret signals and adapt to them without outside control. A BIV system, however, fundamentally disrupts this loop. It turns the brain into a passive recipient of external data. If the external controller cannot precisely interpret the brain's internal state, it may not provide adequate stimulation to the brain, as a consequence of which, the brain neurons' resting and firing equilibrium is broken. Severe clinical diseases caused by irregular firing patterns in real life include epilepsy and essential tremor. Simply because of this mismatch between untransparent brain states and blinded external modulation, sustaining coherent consciousness in vitro may be an engineering impossibility under current situations.

5. Prospects: AI and Large-Scale Neural Interface Integration

With the development of artificial intelligence (AI), it is promising to find connections between raw neural firing data and its corresponding consciousness interpretation. Modern machine learning methods have already shown that it is possible to decode motor intentions and even rough visual imagery from neural signals recorded directly from the cortical region [17–18]. As recording technologies evolve their ability to record more neurons, AI will act as a translator to correlate neuron firing patterns with cognitive experiences that are difficult to interpret using traditional methods.

The core controlling center of a BIV system should be a well-trained AI model deployed on a supercomputer to record high-density neural signals, interpret the brain's instantaneous state, and adjust stimulation delivered to the brain. With sufficient training data, these models could refine the artificial inputs by learning the brain's cognitive responses. To further improve current AI's ability to reach the standard of neuron circuits interpretation, new training algorithms could be inspired by natural biological intelligence, namely, brain neuron circuits mechanisms, rather than single statistical correlation.

6. Conclusion

The Cartesian premise, which states that neural computation survives in a vacuum, is a convenient but flawed abstraction. This analysis suggests that the human brain functions less like a standalone processor and more like a manifestation of a volatile physiological matrix. Its absolute reliance on metabolic, hormonal, and sensorimotor feedback loops is a huge barrier for any attempt to isolate and maintain it externally and artificially. A functional BIV requires more than just electrodes recording or chemical perfusion. It needs to substitute the whole biological self, an artificial surrogate for a billion years of evolutionary feedback. Currently, the electrode arrays and neural interfaces only capture the tip of the iceberg. Scientists can map the spikes, but they cannot identify the subjective consciousness represented by those spikes.

The BIV hypothesis is a mirror. The quest for an isolated brain demonstrates a biological debt to the human body. It constantly challenges our definition of reality and forces us to consider the biological essence of one's own consciousness. While human beings are making progress in neural recording and AI-driven decoding, the fundamental mechanisms of consciousness are still elusive. The BIV hypothesis, therefore, is more than a philosophical thought experiment. Exploring the feasibility of the BIV system also suggests a way to explore the biological basis of consciousness and the limits of technological control over living systems. As scientists discover more about the human brain and develop more advanced neurotechnology, the distance between philosophical speculation and scientific reality may gradually narrow.

References

[1] Descartes, R.: Meditations on First Philosophy. Cambridge University Press (1641)

[2] Putnam, H.: Reason, Truth and History. Cambridge University Press (1981)

[3] Chalmers, D. J.: The matrix as metaphysics. Philosophy and Public Affairs. 31(2), pp. 111–127 (2003)

[4] Bostrom, N.: Are you living in a computer simulation? Philosophical Quarterly. 53(211), pp. 243–255 (2003)

[5] Sandberg, A., Bostrom, N.: Whole Brain Emulation: A Roadmap. Future of Humanity Institute, Oxford University (2008)

[6] Ma, Y., et al.: Digital twin brain: A simulation and assimilation platform for whole human brain. NeuroImage. 262, 119563 (2023)

[7] Zhang, J., et al.: Imitating and exploring the human brain's resting and task-performing states via brain computing. Science China Information Sciences. 67(3) (2024)

[8] Attwell, D., Laughlin, S. B.: An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain. Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism. 21(10), pp. 1133–1145 (2001)

[9] McEwen, B. S.: Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: Central role of the brain. Physiological Reviews. 87(3), pp. 873–904 (2007)

[10] Ransohoff, R. M., Brown, M. A.: Innate immunity in the central nervous system. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 122(4), pp. 1164–1171 (2012)

[11] Clark, A.: Being There: Putting Brain, Body, and World Together Again. MIT Press (1997)

[12] Vrselja, Z., et al.: Restoration of brain circulation and cellular functions hours post-mortem. Nature. 568, pp. 336–343 (2019)

[13] Neuralink: Neuralink's high-channel brain interface system specifications (White Paper) (2025)

[14] Musk, E., et al.: An integrated brain–machine interface platform with thousands of channels. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 21(10), e16194 (2019)

[15] Guggenmos, D., Knight, R.: Large-scale, closed-loop interrogation of neural circuits underlying cognition. Trends in Neurosciences. 45(12), pp. 968–983 (2022)

[16] Dehaene, S., Changeux, J.-P.: Reward-dependent learning in neuronal networks for planning and decision making. Progress in Brain Research. 126, pp. 217–229 (2000)

[17] Nishimoto, S., et al.: Reconstructing visual experiences from brain activity evoked by natural movies. Current Biology. 21(19), pp. 1641–1646 (2011)

[18] Willett, F. R., Avansino, D. T., Hochberg, L. R., Henderson, J. M., Shenoy, K. V.: High-performance brain-to-text communication via handwriting. Nature. 593(7858), pp. 249–254 (2021)