Neuroplasticity and Therapy: The Role of Neuroplasticity in Dyslexia support

Yuxiang Niu

{yniu@bishopdiego.org}

Bishop Garcia Diego High School, 4000 La Colina Rd, Santa Barbara, CA 93110, United States

Abstract: Developmental Dyslexia is a neurobiology-based learning disorder, mainly featured by lasting difficulties in reading words with accuracy and fluency. The comprehension of neuroplasticity has greatly changed therapeutic methods. Therefore, this change is to move from compensatory tactics to interventions that aim to directly adjust the damaged neural circuits linked with reading. This review combines current evidence on the use of neuroplasticity principles to support people with dyslexia. Hence, it first sets forth core mechanisms of neuroplasticity, including synaptic strengthening, circuit reorganization, and oscillatory synchronization; these together build the biological basis for therapeutic change. Although there is noticeable progress, challenges still exist, such as large individual differences in treatment response, permanent structural neural distinctions, and a translational gap between neuroscientific research and reachable clinical or educational tools. Therefore, future research will give priority to personalized, early, and combined interventions based on neuroplasticity to achieve lasting brain changes and enhance reading results.

Keywords: Developmental Dyslexia; Neuroplasticity; Reading Intervention; Neuromodulation

1 Introduction

Developmental Dyslexia (DD) is one specific neurodevelopmental disorder, which has features of lasting and unforeseen troubles in precise and smooth word reading, code breaking [1], and word spelling. These difficult problems come from nerve system's different features in language processing networks, even though people with this disorder have normal intelligence and can get chances of education. The neuroplasticity theory, which means the brain's long-existing ability to rearrange its structure and working way during whole lifetime when facing experience, learning, or focused interference, has basically changed treatment methods for dyslexia [2, 3]. This changeable characteristic challenges the old opinion that adult brain is unchangeable, thus providing an important nerve system basis to develop focused treatments that make weak reading-related circuits become stronger[4].Because dyslexia influences about 10% of global people and brings long-period effects on study achievement, work success, and mental health state[5], so exploring neuroplasticity-based interference methods has not only scientific importance but also clinical emergency

ICIAAI 2026, May 22-24, Singapore, Singapore

DOI 10.4108/eai.22-5-2026.2365162

Existing studies have already verified the effectiveness of multiple neuroplasticity-based interference measures for dyslexia. Through a crossover trial, Battisti et al. confirmed that transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) raised non-word reading speed in children and teenagers with DD, and this effect can last for 1 month [6]. Di Dona et al. developed a beta-band transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) plan that is combined with visuoattentional training; this plan improved reading speed, oculomotor control, and working memory by adjusting the dorsal visual stream. Mitchell et al. pointed out that reading interference measures can cause plastic changes in the visual word form area (VWFA) [5], even though structural differences between dyslexic and normal readers may still exist. Therefore, Ritchey and Goeke carried out a systematic review on Orton-Gillingham (OG) multisensory teaching method and emphasized that it has good effects on improving word attack and comprehension abilities [7,8].

This paper tries to combine existing proof about the therapeutic use of neuroplasticity in developmental dyslexia. It puts forward that effective interference needs a mechanistic comprehension of how the brain makes adaptation. Therefore, first, the analysis describes clear core neurobiological processes of synaptic strengthening, circuit reorganization, and oscillatory synchronization that form the base of learning. Therefore, the discussion then assesses four main intervention plans made to use these mechanisms: intensive phonological and multisensory training to strengthen basic language paths; non-invasive brain stimulation methods, such as tDCS and tACS, to adjust cortical excitability and neural synchrony; visual-attentional training to deal with dorsal stream dysfunction and raise reading fluency; and semantic-orthographic mapping to increase connectivity inside the ventral stream, especially related to the visual word form area. After this assessment, the paper deals with the big difficulties of individual difference, the translational gap between research and practice, and the limits of structural plasticity. At last, it summarizes how these understandings support the use of personalized, brain-informed therapy frameworks to bring long-lasting functional improvements

2 An Overview of Neuroplasticity

Neuroplasticity is the inherent capacity of the brain to make adjustment to its structural and functional arrangement in reaction to extrinsic stimuli, learning experiences, or neural injury; this capacity carries out operation across the entire lifespan via dynamic neural connection remodeling [9]. Therefore, its core mechanisms consist of three mutual related processes: synaptic strengthening, circuit reorganization, and oscillatory synchronization.

Synaptic strengthening, which is guided by Hebbian learning ("cells that fire together, wire together"), takes place when repeated stimulation makes connections between co-active neurons become more powerful. For example, phonological training enhances synapses in the left inferior frontal gyrus that relate to grapheme-phoneme mapping [10]. Circuit reorganization means recruiting alternative neural pathways to make up for damaged networks; thus, Non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS) promotes left-lateralization of language networks in dyslexic individuals, lessening their dependence on inefficient bilateral activation.[6]Oscillatory synchronization, the coordination of neural oscillations across brain regions, makes information transfer more optimal—beta oscillations in the parietal cortex support dorsal visual stream function, while gamma oscillations increase VWFA text selectivity [7, 11]. Nitsche and Paulus further confirmed that non-invasive brain stimulation can adjust cortical excitability, directly pushing forward neuroplastic changes in targeted regions [12]. The synergistic interaction of synaptic strengthening, circuit reorganization, and oscillatory synchronization is the basic neurobiological foundation for therapeutic intervention in dyslexia. Therefore, these mechanisms together let the brain carry out dynamic adaptation to targeted training. They do not work alone but form an integrated system: during repetitive practice, synaptic efficacy is raised through Hebbian learning, neural networks are rearranged to build more efficient processing pathways, and oscillatory rhythms are adjusted in detail to make inter-regional communication better. Hence, this multi-level plasticity allows externally guided interventions to cause long-lasting functional reorganization within neural circuits that are important for reading. Therefore, all effective dyslexia treatment must be designed to engage and use these inherent adaptive abilities of the nervous system.

3 Neuroplasticity-Based Interventions for Dyslexia

3.1 Phonological and Multisensory Training

The effective control of neuroplasticity starts with exact recognition of one individual’s core neurocognitive shortages. Dyslexia is a heterogeneous disorder; although phonological processing weaknesses are widespread, individual conditions can differ by large degree, including troubles in fast naming, auditory-temporal processing, or visual-attentional function. [13] Phonological processing deficits, containing difficulties in recognizing and operating speech sounds, are core characteristics of dyslexia. Therefore, targeted training which is designed to strengthen grapheme-phoneme connections can promote experience-dependent plasticity inside left-hemisphere language networks, thus covering regions such as the inferior frontal gyrus (Broca’s area) and the temporoparietal cortex.

The Orton-Gillingham (OG) approach, being one systematic multisensory method that links visual, auditory, and kinesthetic-tactile channels, is a representative intervention [8]. Ritchey and Goeke have carried out a review on 12 experimental studies, and they discovered that OG instruction could bring large effect sizes for word attack and comprehension; besides, first-grade students displayed obvious improvements in phonological awareness and decoding ability. Hook et al. made a comparison between OG training and one computer-based auditory program and found that OG’s multisensory integration which combines auditory phoneme discrimination, visual letter tracing, and kinesthetic letter formation could lead to bigger progress in word attack skills, because cross-modal input can make the connections between sensory and language cortices become stronger. A neuroplasticity-based method needs this kind of diagnostic specificity, due to the fact that plastic change relies on experience. Training must directly and repeatedly activate the underdeveloped neural circuits so as to cause their strengthening and reorganization. Therefore, hence, intervention plans are made according to the most prominent weaknesses, thus guaranteeing that the “exercise” offered to the brain is relevant to its specific required areas.

3.2 Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation

Based on base behavioral training, non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS) has risen up as a method to directly adjust cortical activity and strengthen neuroplasticity. Therefore, these technologies intend to make the brain's electrophysiological state better, thus creating a more accepting surrounding for learning by either changing regional excitability or making neural oscillations keep same pace. Hence, two well-known NIBS types researched for dyslexia are transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS).

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) uses a weak, steady electrical current on the scalp for modulation of the resting membrane potential of neurons beneath, therefore raising or lowering cortical excitability according to polarity difference. A frequent use in dyslexia field is to give anodal (excitatory) stimulation above left-hemisphere language zones. For example, Battisti et al. carried out a crossover trial [6], which provided left anodal/right cathodal tDCS on parieto-occipital areas to dyslexic children and adolescents across five daily sessions. This treatment brought about obvious improvements in non-word reading speed after intervention as well as at one-month follow-up period. It is worth noting that the improvement degree had correlation with individuals' baseline verbal working memory and phoneme blending skills; hence, tDCS may have the most effective plasticity enhancement for those who possess enough foundational phonological capacity.

In opposite situation, transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) sends out a rhythmic, oscillating electric current that has the purpose to entrain and synchronize the brain's own endogenous rhythms. This method sets its target on the temporal coordination of neural networks, not the overall excitability level of the brain. Di Dona et al. have done research which used beta-band (18 Hz) tACS acting on parietal regions, together with visuoattentional training, in adult people who have dyslexia [5]. Therefore, this protocol brought about long-lasting improvements in reading speed, a decrease in regressive saccades, and better working memory, with such effects continuing to exist for six months. Thus, the behavior-related gains had a connection with plastic changes in parieto-occipital beta oscillations, hence indicating that tACS might raise reading ability by making precise adjustments to the timing of neural communication inside the dorsal attentional stream.

Generally speaking, both tDCS and tACS are reported to be safe and well accepted in clinical trials. Their development thus represents a transfer toward interference measures that carry out direct interaction with the electrical dynamics of the brain, so as to prime or guide neuroplastic changes, and this provides a supplementary strategy to traditional behavioral therapy.

3.3 Visual-Attentional and Oculomotor Training

Visual-attentional deficits, for instance sluggish spatial attention and excessive visual crowding, have connection with dorsal visual stream dysfunction in DD [14]. Visual-attentional training brings improvement to letter segregation, saccade planning, and spatiotemporal integration—these are critical elements for fluent reading. Di Dona et al.combined "fast-paced" reading training (sentences faded from left to right) with tACS; thus, in the active stimulation group, regressive saccades were reduced by 46 percent, and reading speed was raised [5], especially for readers with slower speed. From neurophysiological perspective, during visual motion perception, training made the P1 ERP component stronger, which is a marker of dorsal stream activation.Standalone training also has effectiveness. Franceschini et al. discovered that action video game training raised visual spatial attention and reading fluency in children with DD, with enhanced dorsal stream function as the mediation factor [15]. Zorzi et al. showed that enlargement of letter spacing lessened visual crowding; thus, it increased reading accuracy through strengthening neural segregation of letter representations in the VWFA. More integrated methods combine attentional training with techniques such as rapid serial visual presentation to cut down inefficient eye movements. Therefore, these interventions make use of neuroplasticity to improve the pre-linguistic visual and attentional bottlenecks that limit reading efficiency.

3.4 Semantic and Orthographic Mapping

Semantic and orthographic training sets its goal to strengthen functional connectivity between visual word form area (VWFA) and wider language networks, so as to deal with deficits in word recognition and semantic integration. Related evidence shows this method can bring useful neuroplasticity. Therefore, Van Rijthoven et al. found that a semantic-phonics intervention lowered error rates among dyslexic children, with such gains kept for six months, thus indicating semantic context can stabilize orthographic learning. At the same time [16], orthographic training promotes structural and functional plasticity inside VWFA itself. Mitchell et al. demonstrated that reading intervention caused increased VWFA volume and improved text selectivity, and these changes had correlation with reading fluency gains. However, certain structural differences, for example reduced VWFA volume when compared to normal readers, may still exist [7], hence highlighting the importance of early intervention. The core mechanism is to use systematic, repeated practice in form-meaning associations to enhance synaptic efficacy and functional coupling inside the reading network, therefore consolidating acquired progress to transfer them into natural reading activities.

4. Challenges and Future Directions

While great advance has been achieved in making clear the neuroplastic mechanisms of dyslexia and changing them into targeted interventions, several big difficulties block the optimization and wide use of these therapies. The first challenge is the large individual difference in treatment response. Therefore, factors such as age, basic neurocognitive abilities including verbal working memory and phonemic blending, and underling brain structure have big influence on treatment results [6, 9]. It is worth noting that younger people and those with more serious initial shortages often make bigger progress, thus this emphasizes the very important need for personalized intervention plans. A second challenge comes from evidence which shows natural limits to neuroplasticity [7]. Some neural unusual conditions, like reduced volume in the visual word form area, may keep as stable characteristics even though there are measurable behavior improvements. Furthermore, method limits existing in current evidence materials, such as small sample numbers and limited long-term follow-up in many tests, restrict the ability to apply findings to different groups widely.

To push forward this domain, future research work must give highest priority to several key development directions. The building of personalized plans that are made to fit one person's special neurocognitive and neural features is of essential importance. At the same time, through large-scale, strict clinical tests, people must carry out standardization to confirm the best parameters for already existing intervention methods. The most urgent translation-type difficulty is to narrow the distance between neuroscientific finding and the making of easy-toreach, expandable tools for real educational and clinical places. Therefore, finally, people must put greater research attention on studying the long-term keeping of both behavior-related improvements and caused neuroplastic changes, hence making sure to get long-lasting treatment effects.

5 Conclusion

This paper carries out all-round analysis on neuroplasticity's function in dyslexia treatment via synthesizing proof from clinical tests, neuroimaging researches, and intervention studies. Therefore, core findings disclose that neuroplasticity — through approaches of synaptic reinforcement, circuit rearrangement, and oscillatory coordination — offers a biological foundation for effective dyslexia interventions. Phonological and multisensory training, non-invasive brain stimulation, visual-attentional training, and semantic-orthographic mapping each aim at separate neural networks (e.g., VWFA, dorsal visual stream, left-lateralized language regions), thus arousing plastic changes which lift reading speed, decoding ability, and working memory. However, hence, interventions are limited by individual diversities in neuroplastic potential and the lasting existence of certain neural abnormities, which emphasizes the importance of personalized, early, and continuous treatment.

References

[1] American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th edition, and clinical utility. American Journal of Psychiatry. 201(9) (2013)

[2] Voss, S. J., et al.: Harnessing neuroplasticity. American Journal of Psychiatry. 330 (2023)

[3] Cramer, S. C., Sur, M., Dobkin, B. H., et al.: Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications. Brain. 134, pp. 1591–1609 (2011)

[4] Ward, N. S., et al.: Enhancing neurorehabilitation by targeting beneficial plasticity. Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences. 4 (2023)

[5] Di Dio, G., Zoccolotti, P., De Benedetti, F., et al.: A new effective treatment for dyslexia based on dorsal visual stream neuromodulation. American Journal of Psychiatry (2026)

[6] Bertoni, A., Lazzaro, G., Cappa, F., et al.: Effects of a short and intensive transcranial direct current stimulation treatment in children and adolescents with developmental dyslexia: A crossover clinical trial. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 13 (2022)

[7] Martin, J. L., et al.: The balance between stability and plasticity of the visual word form area in dyslexia. Cerebral Cortex. 17(1) (2025)

[8] Ritchey, K. D., Goeke, J. L.: Orton-Gillingham and Orton-Gillingham–based reading instruction: A review of the literature. The Journal of Special Education. 40, pp. 171–183 (2006)

[9] Gholami, P., et al.: The neuroplastic brain: Current breakthroughs and emerging frontiers. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease. 1858 (2025)

[10] Younger, J. W., Booth, J. R.: Parietotemporal stimulation affects acquisition of novel grapheme-phoneme mappings in adult readers. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 12 (2018)

[11] Ronconi, L., Binda, S., Morrone, M. C.: The neural origins of visual crowding as revealed by event-related potentials and oscillatory dynamics. Cortex. 79, pp. 87–102 (2016)

[12] Nitsche, M. A., Paulus, W.: Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak transcranial direct current stimulation. Journal of Physiology. 527, pp. 633–639 (2000)

[13] Melby-Lervåg, M., Lyster, S.-A. H., Hulme, C.: Phonological skills and their role in learning to read: A meta-analytic review. Psychological Bulletin. 138(2), pp. 322–352 (2012)
[14] Facoetti, A., et al.: Sluggish engagement and disengagement of non-spatial attention in dyslexic children. Cortex. 44(9), pp. 1221–1233 (2008)
[15] Zorzi, M., Barbiero, C., Facoetti, A., et al.: Extra-large letter spacing improves reading in dyslexia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 109(28), pp. 11455–11459 (2012)
[16] Van Reybroeck, R., et al.: Semantics impacts response to phonics through spelling intervention in children with dyslexia. Annals of Dyslexia. 71(3), pp. 369–392 (2021)