

Combined Forces of Law Enforcement to End Pilkada Violations in Merauke Regency

Jerry Koagouw¹, Akbar Silo², Untung Muhdiarta³, Nur Aedah⁴

{jerrykatlea75@gmail.com¹, tayasultra@uho.ac.id², untungmuh@gmail.com³,
nuraedah@gmail.com⁴}

Merauke Resort Police, South Papua¹

Doctoral Degree Program in Social Sciences, Postgraduate Program, Universitas
Cenderawasih, Indonesia^{2,3,4}

Abstract. The purpose of this study is to examine how integrated law enforcement works together to eliminate pilkada violations in Merauke Regency. The descriptive qualitative research methodology is applied. The study's findings demonstrate how the integration model's implementation, synchronization, and coordination principles affect the synergy of integrated law enforcement in eradicating pilkada violations in Merauke Regency. At the execution level, the integrated law enforcement coordination concepts are less successful in eradicating regional election irregularities in Merauke Regency. The synchronization of legislation that support integrated law enforcement therefore has an impact on the consolidation of Gakkumdu's synergy. Additionally, in order to strengthen the integrated law enforcement integration model, it was deemed necessary to use the concept of collaborative governance, which was thought to be very relevant to describe the cooperation and collaboration that Gakkumdu had to carry out at the Pilkada stage. Furthermore, the integrated model of integrated law enforcement in eliminating Pilkada violations in Merauke Regency was not realized due to the lack of SOPs or procedures. As a result, it's essential to resurrect the planning process for Gakkumdu by granting the ability to create detailed work plans for Gakkumdu at the district and municipal levels.

Keywords: Synergy, Integrated Law Enforcement, Pilkada Violations

1 Introduction

Elections and their implementation are still usually associated with improvements. At nearly every level, findings about anomalous practices are made. There were 1,532 reported violations of regional election administration during the 2020 simultaneous regional elections, which Bawaslu handled as part of his law enforcement duties. In comparison to the 2018 elections, Papua's uncertain results in the regional elections of 2020 are more numerous. When comparing the results of the 2020 Papua regional elections to those of the 2018 elections, there was also a noticeable rise in the number of inconclusive rulings and violations, like ASN neutrality.

From the standpoint of government science, law enforcement can be considered a type of legal action taken by the government. Government legal action is defined as any

action taken in the course of conducting government affairs by state administrative organizations or authorities. As a regulation of the conduct of regional elections, Law No. 10 of 2016 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors governs the authority to implement policies. It has estimated that three institutions will handle criminal matters related to elections. Bawaslu, the police, and the prosecutor's office make up the institution. One way that law enforcement can work together more effectively is by creating an integrated law enforcement center. However, there are a number of flaws in the way that Gakkumdu performs its functions and exercises its authority. Among these are regulatory issues requiring unanimous gakkumdu rulings from Bawaslu, the police, and the prosecutor's office. Furthermore, there exists a divergent viewpoint, underscoring the fact that Bawaslu's recommendations may be superseded by law enforcement or the prosecution.

2 Research Methods

This study uses a qualitative approach to investigate how government science views the effectiveness of law enforcement working together to end Pilkada violations in Merauke Regency. In a qualitative research study, the relationship between the researcher and the informant is usually one of trust, with a greater focus on the process than the outcomes. Thus, the initial step is to identify the key informants. These key informants include Secretary of the General Election Commission (KPU) Merauke and Yeuw M. Felix Thetol, S.IPA Member/Division of Dispute Resolution of Bawaslu Merauke Regency. Marcelus Edi Rianto, Head of Criminal Investigation Unit AKP Nadjamuddin, S.Sos., M.H, Aiptu Suwarno, Baurmintu Sattahti (former investigator of Gakkumdu of Merauke 2020 Pilkada, Head of Kesbangpol Merauke Regency Drs. Rama Dayanto, M.Si, Deputy Chairman of DPD Gerindra Party of Papua Province, Victor Ohoiwutun Deputy Chairman of DPD Gerindra Party of Papua Province, and Nasdem political party official Johan F Paulus, as well as various resource persons.

3 Research Results and Discussion

Guidelines for coordinating integrated legal enforcement to eradicate election infractions in Merauke Regency

It takes coordination between actors to achieve synergy. If the two parties come up with an activity that connects them, then coordination can take place ". When allegations of election crime breaches are received and investigated, the Merauke Regency Sentra Gakkumdu Organization exhibits coordinating conduct ".

One way to describe gakkumdu is as a way for independent state entities and state institutions to coordinate with one another. In connection with this, the author will talk about the coordination principles, of which there are four, according to Follet (1997: 76): the principles of early stage, continuity, direct contact, and reciprocal relationship. of all these principles still face challenges: Bawaslu must make (change) SK due to the frequent changes in officials and Merauke Police members; improper coordination has occurred through scheduled activities; investigators' time in the Gakkumdu secretariat at Bawaslu is limited; and Merauke has a shortage of prosecutors, which occasionally results in less intensive work in Gakkumdu.

Regulation synchronization supporting integrated law enforcement's removal of

Pilkada infractions in Merauke Regency

In this issue, the author discusses it in terms of legal harmonization, legal synchronization and the suitability model of policy implementation.

Legal Harmonization

In the formation of gakkumdu in the 2020 regional elections, it is necessary to harmonize laws or regulations to support the realization of effective integrated law enforcement that is aligned with the main tasks of agencies incorporated in the gakkumdu center. this is because each state institution contained in gakkumdu has different authorities in accordance with its duties and functions as regulated in laws and regulations.

Legal Synchronization

Legal synchronization is less realized at the implementation stage due to the lack of synchronization of the rules on the budget with the situation where there is less budget available in making forced efforts and the cost of summoning expert witnesses is also still less available and in Law No. 10 of 2016 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents, there is no in *absentia* arrangement (examination of a case without the presence of the reported party), making it difficult to prove when there are criminal violations in the Pilkada.

Policy Implementation Conformance Model

The suitability of the Program embodied in the Law and Joint Regulations offered to the users in this case Bawaslu, Merauke Police and the State Attorney's Office. but the suitability is less utilized at the implementation level. The requirement of a very short period of time (14 days) is difficult when compared to the period of investigation stipulated in the National Police Chief Regulation No. 12 of 2009 concerning Supervision and Control of Criminal Case Handling within the Police. Furthermore, ideally the report of the election supervisory body to the police should no longer be called P-19, due to time constraints. considering that the prosecutor's office also provides assistance since the report and findings, then conducts monitoring on the first discussion.

Integrated Law Enforcement Integration Model in Eliminating Pilkada Violations in Merauke Regency

In accordance with the name Gakkumdu, the purpose of its formation is integrated law enforcement. when viewed from the study of government science, integrated law enforcement in Merauke Regency aims to realize good governance so that in eliminating election violations, gakkumdu as a tool of government power carries out government actions guided by joint regulations that can be used as standard operating procedures (sop) between agencies incorporated in Gakkumdu but no special sop was found in Gakkumdu Merauke.

Table 1. Number of Cases in Gakkumdu

No.	District	Findings	Stop Discussion I	Stop Discussion II	Stop Discussion III	District Court Decision	Number of Suspects
1	Merauke	17	15	2	-	-	-
2	Waropen	4	-	-	1	3	16 suspects

In this dissertation the author compares the handling of cases in the Waropen gakkumdu with the Merauke Gakkumdu. In the Merauke Gakkumdu there were 17 findings, but no cases reached the court. While in the Waropen Gakkumdu, the number of findings was only 4, but those that reached the court reached 3 cases with a total of 16 suspects. Based on the author's experience, Gakkumdu Waropen prioritizes, quite good synergy between Bawaslu, the Police and the Prosecutor's Office, with indications:

- a. Intensive communication between agencies involved in Gakkumdu during the elections,
- b. Strong leadership capable of coordinating members with other institutions
- c. Problem solving skills in handling election violations,
- d. Mutual respect between institutions and knowledge of each other's functions.

Seeing the various problems of handling violations, it is hoped that there will be efforts to overcome the obstacles that arise. among others:

- a. Improving the system and mechanism of integration between Bawaslu, Police and Prosecutors
- b. Revitalization of positive cooperation between law enforcement officers, consultation togetherness and cohesiveness
- c. Improve the discipline, capacity and integrity of law enforcement officers involved in Gakkumdu.

An Ideal Model of Integrated Law Enforcement Synergy in Eliminating Pilkada Violations in Merauke Regency

Principles of Ideal Gakumdu Coordination

Principles of Ideal Gakumdu Coordination is determined as follows:

- a. The ideal *Early Stage* principle is the effectiveness of the management function, which should be applied to the Gakkumdu Center, so that the leadership role of each agency incorporated in gakkumdu is needed, in order to strengthen coordination from a managerial perspective.
- b. The ideal *Continuity* principle can be realized with an integrated work program in handling criminal election violations. So that there is sustainability that has been arranged since the formation of Gakkumdu Merauke.
- c. The ideal *Direct Contact principle*: ideally Gakkumdu strengthens the implementation of joint regulations, especially in article 16 point (2) which states: election crime investigators and prosecutors who are members of gakkumdu must accompany district / city level Bawaslu in receiving reports / findings.
- d. The ideal *Reciprocal Relation* principle: leaders should conduct analysis and evaluation activities that are carried out every month during the election stages...

SYNCHRONIZATION OF IDEAL GAKKUMDU REGULATIONS




Figure 1. Model of Gakkumdu Synchronization

The Ideal conception of regulatory synchronization underlying integrated law enforcement eliminating election violations in Merauke Regency is:

- a. In improving Legal Harmonization, it is hoped that there will be a revitalization of the supervision regulations for gakkumdu members from all agencies. these regulations are expected to strengthen the system for handling election violations.
- b. In improving legal synchronization, it is expected that there will be: strengthening of investigation and investigation budget regulations and strengthening of regulations on suspects can be examined without the presence of the suspect in investigation activities.
- c. Policy Implementation Conformance Model
 - 1) Suitability of the Gakkumdu Program to the needs of the agency members involved,
 - 2) Suitability of the Gakkumdu Program with HR capabilities
 - 3) Suitability of the Gakkumdu Program with the aim of accelerating case handling

Ideal Gakkumdu Integration Model

The concept of *Collaborative Governance* is considered very relevant to describe the collaboration and cooperation that must be carried out by gakkumdu at the election stage.




Figure 2. Ideal Gakkumdu Integration Model

The concept of *Collaborative Governance* in realizing the ideal Gakkumdu integration is:

- a. *Face To Face Dialogue.* dialogue needs to be carried out intensively and periodically even to the point of making a joint technical guide.
- b. Building trust between the police, Bawaslu and the prosecutor's office is very important.

Commitment to process. the gakkumdu collaboration that is based is expected to have a beneficial value on all actors involved. thus. the commitment of gakkumdu merauke is expected in the future to have innovations and breakthroughs to strengthen the commitment built together.

- c. *Shared understanding.* it is expected that insharing supported by strong election regulations, the existing rules of inter-institutional cooperation through the government administration law need to be interpreted contextually with substantial mechanisms and work procedures.

4 Conclusion

- a. The Principles of Integrated Law Enforcement Coordination in eliminating election violations in Merauke district at the implementation level are less effective. This can be seen from the absence of findings and reports of violations of the Merauke elections that have the status of inkrah (a decision that is legally binding from the District Court's decision),
- b. In strengthening the synergy of gakkumdu, it is influenced by the synchronization of integrated law enforcement regulations, but this synchronization is less realized due to aspects of legal harmonization, legal synchronization and the suitability model of policy implementation is not fulfilled.
- c. The integrated law enforcement integration model in eliminating election violations in Merauke Regency is less realized due to the lack of a sop. while the integrated law enforcement integration model is expected to be an effort in eliminating election violations, among others, by improving systems and mechanisms and working relationships such as the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) by placing permanent Police and Prosecutor personnel,
- d. The ideal synergy of integrated law enforcement in eliminating election violations

in Merauke Regency requires the principles of coordination, integration and synchronization that are appropriate and ideal.

Reference

- [1] Ubaedillah, Abdul Razak, (2012) *Pancasila, Democracy, Human Rights, and Civil Society*, Jakarta: Kencana
- [2] Abdurrahman Fatoni, (2011) *Research Methodology and Thesis Preparation Techniques*, Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- [3] Ahsanul Minan (2020), *Evaluation Series on the Implementation of the 2019 Simultaneous Elections Regarding Election Law Enforcement*, Jakarta: Bawaslu
- [4] Arifin Firmansyah et al, (2005) *State Institutions and Disputes over Authority between State Institutions National Law Reform Consortium*, Jakarta.
- [5] Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). *Collaborative governance in theory and practice. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543-571.
- [6] Andi Hamzah, (2012) *Principles of Criminal Law in Indonesia & Its Development*, Jakarta: Sofmedia,
- [7] Anna Triningsih, (2018) "Building a Mutual Cooperation-Based Criminal Justice System at the Gakkumdu Center in Settling Election Crimes, 5th National Conference on Constitutional Law", Center for Constitutional Studies, Padang, p. 67. 1267
- [8] Arif Wibowo, (2013). *Reorganizing the Election Settlement System and Violations, in the book: Local Democracy-Evaluation of Local Elections in Indonesia*, second printing, Jakarta: Constitution Press
- [9] Bawaslu, (2020) *Evaluative Study of the Handling of Violations of the 2020 Simultaneous Regional Head Elections*, Jakarta General Election Supervisory Agency, 2021
- [10] Brian Z. Tamanaha, (2006) *A General Jurisprudence of Law and Society*, New York: Oxford University press, 2006
- [11] Bruce Ackerman, (2000), *The New Separation of Powers*, Harvard Law Review, Volume 113, Number 3, January
- [12] C.S.T. Kansil and Cristine S.T. Kansil, (2003). *Principles of Legal Professional Ethics*, Jakarta: PT Pradnya Paramita.
- [13] CST Kansil, (2002), *Introduction to Indonesian law and legal system*, Jakarta: Balai Pustaka

- [14] Vickery and E. Shein, (2012) 'Assessing Electoral Fraud in New Democracies: Refining the Vocabulary', IFES White Paper, March
- [15] Chaerudin, Syaiful Ahmad Dinar, Syarif Fadillah. (2008), *Strategies for Prevention and Law Enforcement of Corruption*. Bandung: Refika Editama
- [16] Cornelis Lay, (2007), *Direct Elections and Deepening Democracy*, Journal of Social and Political Sciences, Volume 11, No 1. July
- [17] Creswell, J. W.. *Research Design, (2016) Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods. 4th ed.* Yogyakarta: Learning Library.
- [18] Crosby, B.L. (1992). *Stakeholder Analysis: A vital tool for strategic managers. Technical Notes, No. 2.* Agency for International Development, Washington DC.
- [19] Dann Sugandha, (1991), *Coordination of Administrative Motion Unifying Tool*, Second print, Jakarta: Intermedia.
- [20] Didik Supriyanto (Editor), (2016), *Academic Paper on Draft Law on General Election, Joint Secretariat of Codification of Election Law*, Jakarta: Perludem Foundation
- [21] Doctoroff, Michael, (1977), *Synergistic Management*. New York, AMACOM Press
- [22] Fisher, Billy Adam, (2020). "Criminal Law Enforcement of Regional Head Elections (Pilkada) in the Perspective of Election Supervisors to Realize Legal Certainty". Thesis: Bandung: Pasundan University
- [23] Fritz Edward Siregar, (2019), *Towards Election Justice*, Jakarta: Themis Publishing
Gaffar, Janedjri M., (2013). *Political Election Law*, second print, Jakarta: Constitution Press.
- [24] Golder, Matt, (2006), "Presidential Coattails and Legislative Fragmentation, in American" *Journal Political Science*, vol, 1 January 1.
- [25] Gonsalves, J., T. Becker, A. Braun, D. Campilon, H. de Chaves, E. Fajber, M. Capiriri, J.R. Caminade, and R. Vernooy (Editors). (2005). *Participatory Research and Development for Sustainable Agricultural and Natural Resource Management: A Resource Book (Glossary)*. International Potato CenterUsers Perspective with Agricultural Research and Development. Philippines.
- [26] Gulo, (2002), *Research Methodology*, Jakarta: Grasindo
- [27] Gunawan A. Tauda, (2012), *Independent State Commission, The Existence of Independent Agencies as a New Branch of Power in the State Administration System*, Yogyakarta: Genta Press.
- [28] Gustia, (2020). "Implementation of the Authority of the Integrated Law

Enforcement Center in Handling Regional Head Election Crimes", Thesis, Master of Law Studies Program, Postgraduate School, Faculty of Law, Hasanuddin University, Makassar.

- [29] Hani T. Handoko, (2009), *Management, 2nd Edition*. Yogyakarta: BPFE
- [30] Hans Kelsen, (1961), *General Theory of Law and State, Translated by Anders Wedberg*, New York: Russel & Russel.
- [31] Hans Kelsen, (2006), *General Theory of Law and State*, Bandung: Nusamedia and Nuansa
- [32] Inche Sayuna, (2015), "Legal Harmonization and Synchronization of Power of Attorney to Impose Mortgage Rights (SKMHT) in View of the Authenticity of Deeds According to Law Number 2 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 30 of 2004 concerning Notary Offices", Thesis, Surakarta: Sebelas Maret University
- [33] Ike Farida and Satya Arinanto, (2018), "The Establishment and Authority of Gakkumdu and the Relationship Between the Forming Institutions (Bawaslu, Police and Attorney)", Proceedings of the 5th National Conference on Constitutional Law, Center for Constitutional Studies, Padang.
- [34] Iversen, M. (1997), *Concept of Synergy±toward a clarification. Department of Industrial Economics and strategy*, Copenhagen Business School.
- [35] Inu Syafiie. (1998), *Public Policy: Theory, Process, and Case Studies (New Edition and Revision)*, Yogyakarta: CAPS.
- [36] Inu Kencana Syafiie, (2011), *Indonesian Government System*, Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- Jimly Ashidiqie, (2006), *The Development and Consolidation of State Institutions in the Post-Constitutional Revolution Era*.
- [37] *Reform*, Jakarta: Secretary General of the Registrar of the Constitutional Court.
- [38] Jimly Asshiddiqie, *The Constitution as the Foundation of a Democratic New Indonesia, (Thoughts on the Balance of Executive and Legislative Powers in the Framework of Amending the 1945 Constitution*, Paper, Presented at the VII National Law Seminar, National Law Development Agency, Ministry of Justice, 1999.
- [39] Karim, A. G. Preventing Electoral Malpractice in Postponing the 2020 Elections (April Issue). <https://polgov.fisipol.ugm.ac.id/riset/policy-brief-mencegah-electoral-mal-practice-in-postponing-the-2020-elections>
- [40] Kelik Pramudya, (2015), *Realizing an Effective and Equitable Regional Head Election Results Settlement System*, Journal of RechtsVinding National Law Development Media Volume 4, Number 1, April

- [41] La Ode Islamy, (2018), *Collaborative Governnace, Concepts and Applications*. Yogyakarta. Deepublish Publiser.
- [42] Lawrence M. Friedman, (2001), *American Law An Introduction, Second Edition*, Translator: Wishnu Basuki, Jakarta: Tatanusa Publisher
- [43] Lexy Moleong (2014), *Qualitative Research Methodology. Revised Edition*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [44] M. Irwanda Firmansyah. (2016), "Descriptive Study of the Synergy of Authority Between Village Facilitators and the Village Government of Nganjuk Regency". *Airlangga University Journal* Vol 4 No 2 (146 - 156), August.
- [45] Manullang, (1983), *Some Aspects of Local Government Administration*, Jakarta: Pembangunan
- [46] Mary Parker Follet, (2005), *Management*, Jakarta: Index
- [47] Milles and Huberman, (1992), *Qualitative Data Analysis*, Jakarta: University of Indonesia Press.
- [48] Miriam Budiardjo, (1998), *Basics of Political Science*, Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama
Novianti, (2012), *Analysis of the Making of International Cooperation Agreements (Study in Bali Province)*, Jakarta: P3DI Setjen DPR Republik Indonesia and Azza Grafika
- [49] Mustafa Lutfi (2010). *The Law of Regional Election Disputes in Indonesia - the idea of expanding the constitutional authority of the Constitutional Court*, first printing, Yogyakarta: UII Press.
- [50] Muchsin and Fadillah Putra, (2002), *Law and Public Policy-Analysis of the Practice of Law and Public Policy in the Development of the Economic Sector in Indonesia*, Malang: Sunan Giri University Surabaya and Averroes Press.
- [51] Muhadam Labolo, et al. (2008), *Some Basic Views on Government Science*. Malang: Bayumedia Publishing.
- [52] Muladi, (1995), *Capita Seleka Criminal Justice*, Semarang: BP Dipenogoro University
Nana Sudjana, (1989), *Research and Assessment*, Bandung: Sinar Baru.
- [53] Novianto M. Hantoro, (2012), *Synchronization and Harmonization of Regulations Regarding Regional Regulations, as well as Material Test of Bali Province Regional Regulation Number 16 of 2009 concerning the Regional Spatial Plan of Bali Province Year 2009-2029*, Jakarta: P3DI Setjen DPR Republik Indonesia and Azza Grafika.
- [54] Nugroho, W. (2016), *Legal Politics after the Constitutional Court Decision on the Implementation of Elections and Local Elections in Indonesia*, *Journal of the*

Constitution, Volume 13, Number 3.

- [55] Nur Basuki Winarno, (2008), *Abuse of Authority and Corruption*, laksana mediatama, Yogyakarta
- [56] Nusantara, Abdul Hakim G. (1990), The Constitutional Court: Political and Legal Perspectives, in library.bappenas.go.id/lontar/file?file=digital/blob/F824/.
- [57] Perbawa, S. L. P. (2019), *Law Enforcement in General Elections*, Scientific Journal
- [58] *Social Dynamics*, 3(1), 80-102.
- [59] Philipus M. Hadjon, *On Authority*, Paper, Airlangga University, Surabaya, no year.
- [60] Poedjawijatna, (1978), *Guide to the Realm of Philosophy*, Jakarta: Kencana
- [61] Rafael López-Pintor, (2010) 'Assessing Electoral Fraud in New Democracies: A Basic Conceptual Framework', Washington DC International Foundation for Electoral Systems: White Paper Series Electoral Fraud
- [62] Rahman Yasir, (2006), *Islamic Ideas on Demoration*, Yogyakarta: A KA Group
- [63] Ramlan Surbakti et al, (2104), *Election Integrity 2014: A Study of Violations, Violence, and Misuse of Money in the 2014 Elections*, Jakarta: Partnership for Governance Reform
- [64] Riant Nugroho, (2013), *Policy Research Methods*, Yogyakarta: Student Library
- [65] Rika Mariska and Heri Kusmanto, (2020), '2019 Election Logistics Management and Election Malpractice', Talenta Conference Series: Local Wisdom, Social, and Arts (LWSA)
- [66] Risky Dian Novita Rahayu Rochim, (2014), *Harmonization of Norms in Legislation on the Freedom of Judges*, Scientific Journal, Malang: Brawijaya University
- [67] Saldi Isra, (2020), *State Institutions, Concepts, History, Authority, and Constitutional Dynamics*, Jakarta: Rajawali Press
- [68] Sarah Birch, (2011), *Electoral Malpractice*, New York: Oxford University Press on Demand
- [69] Schunpeter, Joseph A. (1972), *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*, New York: Harper Siti Zuhro, (2012), *Understanding Local Democracy: Pilkada Challenges and Prospects*, Jakarta Journal: Perludem
- [70] Sedarmayanti & Aziz, (2020), *Governance Dynamics in the Era of the Industrial Revolution 4.0 in Theory and Practice of Governance*, Jakarta: Refika Aditama

- [71] Selo Soemardjan and Soelaeman Soemardi, (2001), *A Flower of Sociology*, Jakarta: UI Press
- [72] Soejadi, (2003), *Reflections on Law and Justice, Actualization in Indonesia*, Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University
- [73] Soekanto, Soerjono, (2004), *Factors Affecting Law Enforcement Fifth Mold*. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.
- [74] Soewarno Handyaningrat, (2002), *Introduction to Administration and Management Science*, Jakarta: Haji Masagung
- [75] Sudikno Mertokusumo. (1999), *Knowing the Law*. Yogyakarta: Liberty Suhrawardi K. Lubis, (2002), *Legal Professional Ethics*, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- [76] Sulistyowati, et al. (1999), *Implementation of Movement and Supervision of Control in Health Center. Training Module for Health Center Managers*. Surabaya: East Java Regional Office of the Ministry of Health
- [77] Suhartono, (2011), *Harmonization of Legislation in the Implementation of the State Budget (Solution for Efficient, Effective and Accountable State Budget Absorption)*, Thesis, Jakarta: University of Indonesia
- [78] Supriadi, (2006), *Ethics and Responsibilities of the Legal Profession in Indonesia*. Sinar Grafika, Jakarta
- [79] Sugiyono, (2014), *Quantitative and Qualitative Research Methods and R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- [80] Susan, Novri. (2009). *Sociology of Conflict and Contemporary Conflict Issues*. Jakarta: Kencana Topo Santoso, (2006), *Election Crimes*, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- [81] Utrecht, (1962), *Introduction to Indonesian State Administrative Law*, Jakarta: Ichtihar Zainal Arifin Muchtar, (2016), *Independent State Institutions, Dynamics of Development and Urgency of Reorganization Post-Constitutional Amendment*, Jakarta: Rajawali
- [82] Press Law Number 16 Year 2004 on the Prosecutor's Office of the Republic of Indonesia
- [83] Law Number 1 Year 2015 on the Stipulation of Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 1 Year 2014 on the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors
- [84] Law Number 6 of 2020 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors
- [85] Law Number 5 Year 1999 on the Prohibition of Monopolistic Practices and Unfair Business Competition

- [86] Joint Regulation of the General Election Supervisory Board of the Republic of Indonesia Number 2 of 2015 concerning Amendments to Regulation of the General Election Supervisory Board Number 11 of 2014 concerning General Election Supervision
- [87] Joint Regulation of the Chairman of the General Election Supervisory Board, the Chief of the National Police, and the Attorney General Number 14 of 2016, Number 01 of 2016, Number 013/JA/11/2016 concerning the Integrated Law Enforcement Center for the Election of Governors and Deputy Governors, Regents and Deputy Regents, and Mayors and Deputy Mayors.
- [88] Decision of the Constitutional Court in Case No. 5/PUU-V/2007 on Law No. 32/2004 on Regional Government.
- [89] Constitutional Court, Decision Number 11/PUU-VIII/2010, dated March 18, 2010. Constitutional Court, Decision No. 18/PUU-XVIII/2020 related to Law No. 1/2015 as last amended by Law No. 18/PUU-XVIII/2010, dated March 18, 2010.
- [90] Law Number 6 of 2020 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents and Mayors Questionnaire Evaluation of the Handling of Criminal Violations in the Election of Governors, Regents and Mayors.
- [91] Mayor in 2020 which was taken between February 18 and March 18, 2021
- [92] Ridwan Maulana, 2017, Sentra Gakkumdu Not Optimal, Accessed from <http://www.harnas.co/2017/04/07/penegakan-hukum-pemilu-belum-optimal>
- [93] Jatmiko, 2018, Gakkumdu Judged Not Maximal in Handling Simultaneous Pilkada Money Politics, Accessed from <http://www.jawapos.com/gakkumdu-dinilai-belum-maximal-handling-money-politics-simultaneous-election%3famp=1> [August 9, 2022].