# Sustainable Food Agriculture Land Protection Strategy: a Case Study in Pantai Labu Sub-District, Deli Serdang Regency, North Sumatera Province

#### Nawang Wulan\*, Jelly Leviza, Mazdalifah

Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

{nawwank.wulan@gmail.com}

Abstract. The agricultural land conversion phenomenon becomes a challenge in itself to embody a sustainable agricultural development, especially related to strengthening food security. Deli Serdang Regency as one of the rice production centers in North Sumatra Province is inseparable from the practice of land conversion, marked by a decrease in the agricultural land area, especially in the Pantai Labu Sub-district. This study aims to obtain the concept of sustainable food agricultural land protection which is necessary to anticipate the increasing conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural land in Pantai Labu Sub-District, Deli Serdang Regency. The applied research method is a qualitative method, and the formulation of a protection strategy is performed using SWOT analysis. Based on the results of the study, a sustainable food agricultural land protection strategy wasn't only achievable from a juridical aspect but could also be supported by nonjuridical strengthening of the strategy based on the SWOT analysis results by identifying internal and external factors. The obtained formulation of the strategies included the development of agricultural land based on the Regional Spatial Planning policy in order to create upstream-downstream synergies starting from the government, farmers, to the community and the preparation of convenient trade and distribution channels for farmers to be able to sell their agricultural products with good quality and price.

Keywords: land use conversion; SWOT analysis; protection strategy

## **1** Introduction

The fulfillment of food necessities in the future is a challenge for the Government of Indonesia, considering the increasing population growth. The existence of the agricultural sector is the foundation for realizing food security and stability in the future. In line with that, the availability of agricultural land is crucial to be protected. The dilemma is that Indonesia is also in the development current in various sectors where land is also a requirement, thereby sometimes there would be a competition for agricultural land and non-agricultural land. Early allocation of agricultural land needs to be implemented due to limited land resources in the future [1].

Control effort that has been carried out by the government is making policy through the issuance of Law Number 41 of the year 2009 concerning the Sustainable Food Agricultural Land Protection as amended by Law Number 11 of the year 2020 concerning Job Creation. This regulation is an instrument to protect agricultural land in Indonesia. Deli Serdang Regency has high potential for food agriculture activities and is one of the rice granaries

centers in North Sumatra Province, but in reality it is still influenced by the practice of land conversion. The parameter that can be applied to control land conversion is the preparation of the Regional Spatial Planning, the granting of location permits and regulations on land conversion[2]. The zoning of sustainable agricultural land has been included in the Deli Serdang Regency Regional Regulation Number 1 of the year 2021 concerning the Deli Serdang Regency Spatial Plan for 2021-2041. Pantai Labu Sub-district as a productive agricultural area is prioritized to become a sustainable agricultural area, but in reality in Pantai Labu Sub-district there has been a conversion of agricultural land use. Productive agricultural land becomes an important asset in agricultural development [3].

The attempt to protect sustainable food agricultural land is part of a shared responsibility, both across government sectors and also farmers. The government of Deli Serdang Regency should formulate strategies and policies to anticipate the increasing practice of agricultural land conversion through mapping agricultural land use zones. Availability of agricultural land is important considering the need for food is also increasing. The Regional Government of Deli Serdang Regency must consistently provide protection towards agricultural land in order to maintain the existence of food agricultural land. This study aims to describe the area of agricultural land that has changed functions in general in Deli Serdang Regency and in the study location, known as Pantai Labu Sub-District, and to formulate a strategy for protecting sustainable food agricultural land.

# 2 Method

This study utilized qualitative research method, a research method that is descriptive in nature, relies on analysis, refers to data, utilizes existing theories as supporting material, and produces a theory. Qualitative research method is a research method used to investigate, find, describe, and explain the quality or features of social influence that cannot be explained, measured or described through a quantitative approach [4].

The data in the study encompass primary and secondary data. Primary data were obtained from interviews with informants (in-depth interviews), related stakeholders, consisting of the Department of Agriculture for Deli Serdang Regency and Agricultural Extension Center (BPP) for Pantai Labu and also with farmers as agricultural business actors in the field. Secondary data were obtained from the National Land Agency (BPN), the Agriculture Department and the Central Statistics Agency for Deli Serdang Regency.

Determining strategy as an anticipatory attempt to protect sustainable food agricultural land using the SWOT method. SWOT analysis consists of Strengths (S), Weaknesses (W), Opportunities (O), and Threats (T). SWOT analysis is based on logic that can maximize strengths (S) and opportunities (O), but simultaneously minimize weaknesses (W) and threats (T) [5]. SWOT analysis compares external opportunities and threats with internal strengths and weaknesses [5].

Researchers would collect external and internal aspect data with a qualitative approach through interviews with stakeholders who are responsible for the protection of sustainable food agricultural land in Deli Serdang Regency. Information obtained from in-depth interviews, and secondary data would be used as a reference for analyzing strategies using the SWOT method.

## **3** Results and Discussion

Generally, based on the data listed in the Research and Development Center of the Ministry of Agararia and Spatial Planning /Land Agency, Rice Field Statistics book of Deli Serdang Regency and and Ministry of Agriculture [6] - [8], the paddy fields area in Deli Serdang Regency almost always decreased from year to year (in the last 10 years). The percentage of changed land area is listed in Table 1.

| Number Year |      | Land Area<br>(Ha) | Changed<br>Area (Ha) | Percentage | Remark     |  |
|-------------|------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|--|
| 1.          | 2010 | 45.156            | -                    | -          | -          |  |
| 2.          | 2011 | 45.114            | 42                   | 0,09       | Decreasing |  |
| 3.          | 2012 | 44.311            | 803                  | 1,81       | Decreasing |  |
| 4.          | 2013 | 42.482            | 1.829                | 4,12       | Decreasing |  |
| 5.          | 2014 | 42.282            | 200                  | 0,47       | Decreasing |  |
| 6.          | 2015 | 40.889            | 1.393                | 3,41       | Decreasing |  |
| 7.          | 2016 | 40.716            | 173                  | 0,47       | Decreasing |  |
| 8.          | 2017 | 40.272            | 444                  | 1,10       | Decreasing |  |
| 9.          | 2018 | 24.550            | 15.722               | 64,04      | Decreasing |  |
| 10.         | 2019 | 33,992            | 9.442                | 27.77      | Increasing |  |

Table 1. Changes in the rice field area in Deli Serdang Regency

Table 1. reveals the percentage of massive land area decline in Deli Serdang Regency that occurred every year, albeit a significant increase in 2019. This condition should be seriously heeded, if preventive measures weren't taken, agricultural land would keep on being diminished. Pantai Labu Sub-district as a productive agricultural area is prioritized to become a sustainable food agricultural land as stated in the Regional Spatial Planning of Deli Serdang Regency, however in reality, Pantai Labu Sub-district is inevitably affected by the practice of agricultural land conversion. Data on land use in the Pantai Labu sub-district in 2016 and 2019 obtained from the National Land Agency (BPN) of Deli Serdang Regency showed that during that period there had been a change in land use from agricultural to non-agricultural. Land use in Pantai Labu Sub-district in 2016 consisted of 69.13% (4,690.024 Ha) of agricultural land and 30.86% (2,093.89 Ha) of non-agricultural land, then in 2019 the agricultural land was 68.28% (4,631.82 Ha) and 31.72% (2,152.09 Ha) of non-agricultural land. Comparison of agricultural land area from 2016 to 2019 showed that there was a decrease in land area of 58.20 Ha or 0.85%.

In Pantai Labu Sub-district, as many as 15 out of 19 villages/urban villages had experienced the practice of land-use change of agricultural land, whether the initial function was irrigated rice field or moor/field. The decrease in agricultural land was due to the conversion of land from agricultural land to non-agricultural land, most of which was for settlement/village. Population growth would be in line with the demand for land needs. Land wouldn't increase, so what happened was land conversion which tended to reduce the proportion of land that was previously used for agricultural land to become non-agricultural land [9]. The occurrence of land conversion was due to competition in land use between the agricultural sector and the non-agricultural sector. Competition in land use could occur due to three economic and social phenomena, such as 1. limited land resources, 2. population growth and 3. economic growth [2]. The available land area is relatively limited, therefore, population growth would increase the scarcity of land that could be allocated for agricultural and non-agricultural activities [2].

The land area that experienced the most conversion of land use was <0.5 Ha which was used for the village (house), in the Binjai Bakung Villages. Similar to other village/Subdistrict areas, many irrigated rice fields have turned into villages, such as in the areas of Durian Village, Denai Lama, Ramunia I and Perkebunan Ramunia village. In the area of Kubah Sentang Village, the most common land changes were the conversion of irrigated rice field into moor/field. Based on the land grouping, the moor/field is still defined as agricultural land, but the types of planted crops differed from rice in paddy field. The moor/field became a supporting land to produce crops other than rice, such as corn and cassava. The map of land-use change in 2016 – 2019 in Pantai Labu Sub-district can be found in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Land-use change in 2016 – 2019 in Pantai Labu Sub-district

The conservation of sustainable food agricultural land involves various complex elements. These elements can be analyzed from upstream to downstream, such as from the role of the government in formulating and issuing policies/statutory regulations, the role of farmers as the front liners of sustainable agriculture, the role of the Department of Agriculture and the human resources in field as extension workers, to consumers, the community who will become the end-users who consume agricultural products.

The attempt to protect agricultural land can be pursued through juridical factors by issuing regulations/policies and for the non-juridical way is by determining strategies through SWOT which analyzes strategies based on the internal and external environment. Agricultural land conversion is also influenced by external factors, internal factors and government policies[10].

Legally, the Government of Deli Serdang Regency has determined the zoning of food agricultural land that should be protected in the Deli Serdang Regency Regional Regulation

Number 1 of the year 2021 concerning the Deli Serdang Regency Regional Spatial Planning for 2021-2041. The regulation has determined Pantai Labu Sub-district as one of the sustainable food agricultural lands. In Chapter V (Regency Spatial Pattern Plan), article 29 paragraph 5) it is stated that the sustainable food agricultural land is part of the agricultural and horticultural land with an area of approximately 26.212,096 hectares, one of which is in Pantai Labu Sub-district [11].

Based on the non-juridical aspect, the results of the SWOT analysis would be able to support the sustainable food agricultural land protection program in terms of existing regulations, thereby its implementation could be maximized. The results of the SWOT analysis are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. SWOT Matrix for Sustainable Agricultural Land Protection

| Internal             |                   | STRENGTHS (S)   | WEAKNESSES (W)  |  |  |
|----------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|--|
|                      |                   | S1. Availability of adequate                                  | W1. The decrease of Agricultural  |  |  |
|                      |                   | facilities and infrastructure                                 | W2. The quality of sustainable  |  |  |
| /                    | \<br>\            | S2. Potential of irrigated land and                           | agricultural products has not   |  |  |
|                      | $\backslash$      | rice harvest intensity  | been maintained<br>W2 Limited formers' conital  |  |  |
|                      |                   | programs and policies in terms<br>of improving the welfare of | W3. Limited farmers capital<br>W4. The quality of farmers as<br>human resources are still low |  |  |
|                      |                   | farmers   | and the traditional mindset   |  |  |
|                      |                   | S4. Potential for the conversion of                           | must also be altered  |  |  |
|                      |                   | agricultural land in accordance                               | the field   |  |  |
|                      |                   | with Regional Spatial Planning                                |   |  |  |
| External             |                   | S5. The huge number of Poktan (Farmers' Group)                |   |  |  |
|                      | $\backslash$      | (rumers Group)  |   |  |  |
|                      | $\backslash$      |   |   |  |  |
|                      |                   |   |   |  |  |
|                      | $\backslash$      |   |   |  |  |
| <b>OPPORTUNITIES</b> |                   | STRATEGIES S-O  | STRATEGIES W-O  |  |  |
| 01                   | Availability of   | 1 The government empowers and                                 | 1 Limited farmers' funds and  |  |  |
| 01.                  | a sustainable     | provides supporting   | traditional mindset can be  |  |  |
|                      | food              | infrastructure for Poktan                                     | overcome by the availability of   |  |  |
|                      | agricultural      | (Farmers' Group), thereby                                     | facilities and infrastructure from  |  |  |
|                      | land protection   | enabling them to manage                                       | the government such as  |  |  |
|                      | program           | sustainable food agricultural                                 | subsidies for agricultural  |  |  |
| O2.                  | A great number    | land and produce an   | materials, human resources for  |  |  |
|                      | of unused land    | agricultural product in order to                              | field extension workers, etc.   |  |  |
|                      | that can still be | excel from other commodity                                    | (W3, W4, W5, O1, O5)  |  |  |
|                      | used              | products (S1, S5, O1, O3, O4,                                 | 2. Providing education and  |  |  |
| O3.                  | Huge demand       | O5)   | motivation to Poktan (Farmers'  |  |  |
|                      | for rice for Deli | 2 The government meanored                                     | Group) about the importance of  |  |  |
|                      | for fice for Dell | 2. The government prepares                                    | Group) about the importance of  |  |  |

|     | Regency and   |    | distribution channels for       | efforts that produce high quality |
|-----|---------------|----|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|     | Medan City    |    | farmers to be able to sell      | and competitiveness in the        |
| O4. | The growing   |    | agricultural products of good   | market (W1, W2, W4, W5, O1,       |
|     | consumer      |    | quality and price (S3, S5, O3,  | 02, 03, 04, 05)                   |
|     | awareness     |    | 04, 05)                         |                                   |
|     | towards       | 3. | Sustainable agricultural land   |                                   |
|     | products with |    | can be developed based on the   |                                   |
|     | good quality  |    | Regional Spatial Planning       |                                   |
|     | and safe      |    | policy, hence synergies can be  |                                   |
|     | consumption   |    | created starting from upstream  |                                   |
| O5. | Support       |    | to downstream, such as from     |                                   |
|     | towards the   |    | the government, farmers, to the |                                   |
|     | policy of the |    | community (S2, S4, S5, O1,      |                                   |
|     | Provincial,   |    | 02, 05)                         |                                   |
|     | Regency/City  |    | · · ·                           |                                   |
|     | government    |    |                                 |                                   |

| \                | STRENGTHS                           | WEAKNESSES                          |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Internal         | S1. Availability of adequate        | W1. The decrease of Agricultural    |  |  |  |  |
|                  | sustainable food agricultural       | Land as Rice Field                  |  |  |  |  |
|                  | facilities and infrastructures      | W2. The quality of sustainable      |  |  |  |  |
|                  | S2. Potential of irrigated land and | agricultural products has not       |  |  |  |  |
|                  | rice harvest intensity              | been maintained                     |  |  |  |  |
|                  | S3. The existence of government     | W3. Limited farmers' capital        |  |  |  |  |
|                  | programs and policies in terms      | W4. The quality of farmers as       |  |  |  |  |
|                  | of improving the welfare of         | human resources are still low       |  |  |  |  |
|                  | farmers                             | and the traditional mindset         |  |  |  |  |
|                  | S4. Potential for the conversion of | must also be altered                |  |  |  |  |
|                  | non-agricultural land to            | W5. Limited human resources in      |  |  |  |  |
| $\backslash$     | agricultural land in accordance     | the field                           |  |  |  |  |
| $\backslash$     | with Regional Spatial Planning      |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| External         | S5. The huge number of Poktan       |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|                  | (Farmers' Group)                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| $\backslash$     |                                     |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|                  |                                     |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| $\backslash$     |                                     |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|                  | STRATECIES ST                       | STDATECHES W T                      |  |  |  |  |
| INKLAIS(I)       | STRATEGIES S-1                      | SIRALEGIES W-1                      |  |  |  |  |
| T1. Weak control | 1. The space utilization of         | 1. The government should facilitate |  |  |  |  |
| over spatial     | agricultural land can be            | Poktan (Farmers' Group) by          |  |  |  |  |
| control          | stopped by the issuance of          | providing facilities and            |  |  |  |  |
| T2. Regional     | laws and policies from the          | infrastructure such as human        |  |  |  |  |
| Spatial          | Government (S2, S4, T1, T2,         | resources for extension workers     |  |  |  |  |
| Planning         | T3, T4)                             | that are not only in accordance     |  |  |  |  |
| consistency      | 2. The government and               | with competency standards, but      |  |  |  |  |
| T3. The high     | stakeholders can establish an       | also initiate creativity and invite |  |  |  |  |
| conversion of    | agropolitan village in the          | farmers to think outside the box    |  |  |  |  |
| productive       | Pantai Labu area in order to        | and produce agricultural products   |  |  |  |  |
| agricultural     | attract the interest of the         | that are not less profitable than   |  |  |  |  |
| land use due to  | younger generation and              | other commodities in order to be    |  |  |  |  |

|     | numerous       | visitors who come, especially    |    | more in line with the objectives  |
|-----|----------------|----------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|
|     | public needs.  | in the field of sustainable      |    | of sustainable agricultural land  |
| T4. | Development    | agriculture (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, |    | development (W2, W4, W5, T2,      |
|     | of other       | W1, W2, W3, W4)                  |    | T3, T4)                           |
|     | commodities    |                                  | 2. | The government can motivate       |
|     | that are       |                                  |    | Poktan (farmers' group)by         |
|     | considered     |                                  |    | cooperating with the person in    |
|     | more           |                                  |    | charge or joint ventures with the |
| Т5. | competitive.   |                                  |    | private sector to enable the      |
|     | High interest  |                                  |    | development of the quality of     |
|     | rates and bank |                                  |    | sustainable agriculture and agro- |
|     | fees           |                                  |    | tourism villages (W3, W4, O,      |
|     |                |                                  |    | O5))                              |

# 4 Conclusion

Based on the results and discussion, there are some conclusions that could be drawn, and these conclusions are in the form of description of the land conversion area in the research location and the strategies established for the protection of sustainable agricultural land, as follows:

- 1. Land-use change in Pantai Labu Sub-district from 2016 to 2019 was 58.20 Ha or 0.85%. The decrease in agricultural land was due to the conversion of land from agricultural land to non-agricultural land, most of which was for settlements/villages.
- 2. Based on the results of the SWOT analysis which included internal and external factors, 9 strategies for protection of sustainable food agricultural land can be formulated, such as:
  - The government empowers and provides supporting infrastructure for Poktan (Farmers' Group), thereby enabling them to manage sustainable food agricultural land and produce an agricultural product in order to excel from other commodity products.
  - 2) The government prepares convenient trade and distribution channels for farmers to be able to sell agricultural products of good quality and price.
  - 3) Sustainable food agricultural land can be developed based on the Regional Spatial Planning policy, hence synergies can be created starting from upstream to downstream, such as from the government, farmers, to the community.
  - 4) Limited farmers' funds and traditional mindset can be overcome by the availability of facilities and infrastructure from the government such as subsidies for agricultural materials, human resources for field extension workers, etc.
  - 5) Providing education and motivation to Poktan (Farmers' Group) about the importance of making sustainable agricultural efforts that produce high quality and competitiveness in the market.
  - 6) The space utilization on agricultural land can be stopped by the issuance of laws and policies from the Government.
  - 7) The government and stakeholders can establish an agropolitan village in the Pantai Labu area in order to attract the interest of the younger generation and visitors who come, especially in the field of sustainable agriculture.

- 8) The government should facilitate Poktan (Farmers' Group) by providing facilities and infrastructure such as human resources for extension workers that are not only in accordance with competency standards, but also initiate creativity and invite farmers to think outside the box and produce agricultural products that are not less profitable compared to other commodities in order to be more in line with the objectives of sustainable food agricultural land development.
- 9) The government can motivate Poktan (Farmers' Group) by cooperating with the person in charge or joint ventures with the private sector to enable the development of the quality of sustainable agriculture and agro-tourism villages.

### References

- E. F. Lambin and P. Meyfroidt, "Global land use change, economic globalization, and the looming land scarcity," in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States* of America, 2011, Vol. 108, No. 9, pp. 3465–3472.
- [2] B. Irawan, "Konversi Lahan Sawah: Potensi Dampak, Pola Pemanfaatannya, dan Faktor Determinan," *Forum Peneliti. Agro Ekonomi.*, Vol. 23, No. 1, p. 1, 2005.
- [3] R. Uchyani and S. Ani, "Tren Alih Fungsi Lahan Pertanian Di Kabupaten Klaten," SEPA Vol. 8 No. 2, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 51–58, 2012.
- [4] Sugiyono, Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta, 2009.
- [5] F. Rangkuti, Analisis SWOT Teknik Membedah Kasus Bisnis. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2013.
- Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Deli Serdang, Statistik Lahan Sawah Kabupaten Deli Serdang, ISBN 978-602-6374-83-7: Deli Serdang: Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Deli Serdang, 2017.
- [7] Kementerian Pertanian, *Statistik Lahan Pertanian Tahun 2015-2019*. Jakarta: Pusat Data dan Sistem Informasi Pertanian Sekretariat Jenderal-Kementerian Pertanian, 2020.
- [8] Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kementeria Agraria dan Tata Ruang Badan Pertanahan Nasional, "Penelitian Dinamika dan Peluang Pengendalian Alih Fungsi Tanah Sawah," 2014.
- [9] Kusrini, "Perubahan Penggunaan Lahan dan Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya di Kecamatan Gunungpati Kota Semarang," *Majalah Geografi Indonesia*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 25–40, 2011.
- [10] I. Kustiwan, "Konversi Lahan Pertanian di Panntai Utara Jawa," Majalah Prisma, Vol. 1, 1997.
- [11] Pemerintah Kabupaten Deli Serdang, Peraturan Daerah Kabupaten Deli Serdang Nomor 1 tahun 2021 tentang Rencana Tata Ruang Wilayah Kabupaten Deli Serdang tahun 2021-2041. Pemerintah Kabupaten Deli Serdang, 2021.