Innovative (Work) Behavior's Conceptualization, Measurement, Antecedents, And Effects: An Exploratory Review

Yanti Mustapha[1], Mohd Faiz Hilmi[2]*

{faiz@usm.my}

[1]Department of Business Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia
[2]School of Distance Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Abstract. Innovative behavior in the workplace has been a focal point of research in recent years, given its importance for organizational success and competitiveness. Research indicates that individual innovative behavior is influenced by a variety of factors at multiple levels, including personal attributes, group dynamics, and organizational culture, which collectively shape the potential for creative solutions and improvements within a company. Moreover, it has been established that the process of innovation is multi-faceted, often consisting of distinct stages such as idea generation, exploration of opportunities, and the implementation of new concepts, which underscore the complexity and dynamic nature of innovative work behavior within organizations. This article aims to review the existing literature on the conceptualization, measurement, antecedents, and effects of innovative behavior in the workplace, with the goal of providing a comprehensive understanding of this important construct and its implications for both individual and organizational performance. To achieve this goal, this study will explore the various definitions of innovative work behavior, highlight the methodologies used for measurement, and explore both the intrinsic and extrinsic antecedents that encourage employee innovation.

Keywords: Innovative (Work) Behavior, Conceptualization, Measurement, Antecedents, Effects

1. Introduction

In the dynamic and ever-evolving landscape of modern organizations, the concept of innovative work behavior has emerged as a focal point of scholarly interest, with researchers and practitioners alike recognizing its pivotal role in driving organizational success and competitive advantage (Jose & Mampilly, 2016). This multifaceted construct encompasses various stages, including idea generation, opportunity exploration, and implementation, each of which requires a conducive environment and individual motivation to thrive within organizational contexts (Syifa & Ahman, 2022). In this regard, a range of antecedents, such as leadership styles and organizational culture, significantly influence employees' capacity to engage in innovative behaviors and ultimately contribute to the organization's performance (Khan et al., 2019). Moreover, the integration of individual-level factors, such as self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation, alongside contextual elements, such as managerial support and collaborative work environments, plays a crucial role in fostering an atmosphere conducive to innovation, highlighting the necessity for organizations to adopt a holistic approach to nurturing and sustaining innovative work behaviors among their employees (Patterson, Kerrin, & Zibarras, 2013).

Innovative behavior, as a multifaceted construct, encompasses the generation, introduction, and application of new ideas, processes, products, or procedures that are of significant benefit to the relevant adoption unit (Syifa & Ahman, 2022). This dynamic interplay emphasizes the importance of understanding the various dimensions of innovative work behavior, which can include not only idea formation and opportunity exploration but also the essential activities of idea dissemination and implementation within the organizational framework (Syifa & Ahman, 2022). Additionally, research has shown that innovative work behavior is not solely the product of individual creativity but is greatly enhanced by effective knowledge sharing practices within teams, reinforcing the idea that collaboration and open communication are critical components in the innovation process (Syifa & Ahman, 2022). Furthermore, innovation is not solely an individual endeavor but is heavily influenced by the broader organizational context, where factors such as transformational leadership and a supportive culture can significantly enhance employees' innovative contributions, thus increasing overall organizational performance and adaptability in competitive markets (Khan et al., 2019).

Alongside the multifaceted nature of innovative work behavior, the research literature has also highlighted the significance of various antecedents that shape and nurture this construct. At the individual level, factors such as self-efficacy beliefs, which encompass an employee's confidence in their ability to generate, disseminate, and implement new ideas, have been shown to be crucial drivers of innovative behavior (Ng & Lucianetti, 2016). Moreover, the social–cognitive theory posits that increases in organizational trust and perceived respect by colleagues can enhance creative self-efficacy, thus motivating employees to engage in innovative behaviors over time, illustrating the interplay between individual beliefs and the organizational environment in fostering innovation (Ng & Lucianetti, 2016). Additionally, the integration of psychological collectivism within teams can serve as a moderating factor, where higher levels of collectivism may weaken the positive relationship between self-efficacy beliefs and innovative behavior, suggesting that group dynamics play a critical role in determining how individual factors manifest in the pursuit of innovation (Sauermann & Cohen, 2010).

At the organizational level, leadership styles, such as transformational leadership, have been found to exert a significant impact on employees' innovative work behavior. Research indicates that transformational leaders foster an environment that encourages risk-taking, creativity, and open communication, which collectively enhance innovative outcomes among team members, as they promote a shared vision and actively support their employees in the innovative process (Yu, Mai, Tsai, & Dai, 2018). Moreover, the complexity of tasks assigned to employees also influences the degree of innovative behavior exhibited, as higher task complexity can both challenge and stimulate creativity, thereby affecting the overall innovative capacity within the organization (Duan, 2021). In addition, the organizational climate plays a pivotal role in shaping innovative work behavior, with an innovative climate characterized by a supportive atmosphere, trust, and open communication serving as a facilitator for employees to engage in creative problem-solving and experimentation without fear of failure, thus contributing to a culture of psychological safety that empowers individuals to share ideas and take initiative, which are essential components for fostering innovation within teams and organizations (Hoffmann & Hanisch, 2021). Furthermore, the establishment of psychological safety within teams is instrumental in encouraging members to express their ideas freely and take calculated risks, as it enables them to feel secure in their interactions and contributions without the fear of negative repercussions (Kim, Lee, & Connerton, 2020). This sense of security not only promotes open dialogue and idea sharing but also enhances the overall innovative capacity of the organization, making it essential for leaders to cultivate a culture that prioritizes psychological safety as a fundamental element in the pursuit of ambitious innovation goals (Hoffmann & Hanisch, 2021).

However, some research suggests that an overemphasis on psychological safety within teams may have unintended consequences for innovative work behavior. While a sense of security can encourage open dialogue and risk-taking, it may also inadvertently foster complacency, where employees become overly comfortable and reluctant to challenge the status quo (Edmondson, 2003). Additionally, a culture that is overly focused on psychological safety may diminish the necessary tension and constructive conflict that can drive innovative thinking and problem-solving (Edmondson & Lei, 2014). Organizations must strike a delicate balance between fostering a safe environment and maintaining a level of healthy debate and critical evaluation of ideas, as excessive psychological safety could potentially hinder the creative abrasion required for breakthrough innovations (Kim et al., 2020). To achieve this balance, organizations may need to implement structured processes for feedback and critique that allow for healthy discourse while still promoting an atmosphere of trust, thereby ensuring that the benefits of psychological safety do not come at the cost of necessary challenges to ideas that spur innovation (Edmondson, 2018).

Innovative work behavior is not merely a singular event but rather a dynamic and iterative process that requires effective collaboration, knowledge sharing, and a supportive organizational environment to thrive (Soputan & Sumual, 2023). By acknowledging the multifaceted nature of innovative work behavior, organizations can better understand the key factors that shape and nurture this construct, enabling them to cultivate an ecosystem that fosters creativity, risk-taking, and the successful realization of innovative solutions that contribute to sustained competitive advantage.

2. Conceptualization

Innovative work behavior can be conceptualized as a multidimensional construct that encompasses the complex and interrelated processes of idea generation, exploration, dissemination, and implementation within the organizational context (Elsayed, Zhao, Goda, & Elsetouhi, 2023). This conceptualization underscores the critical stages involved in driving innovation, from the initial conception of novel ideas to their successful integration and execution within the organizational framework. Innovative work behavior is not merely a singular event but rather a dynamic and iterative process that requires effective collaboration, knowledge sharing, and a supportive organizational environment to thrive (Soputan & Sumual, 2023). By acknowledging the multifaceted nature of innovative work behavior, organizations can better understand the key factors that shape and nurture this construct, enabling them to cultivate an ecosystem that fosters creativity, risk-taking, and the successful realization of innovative solutions that contribute to sustained competitive advantage.

This conceptualization highlights the critical stages involved in innovative work behavior, including idea formation, opportunity exploration, and the eventual implementation of ideas, which together contribute to the overall innovation landscape within organizations and underscore the role of knowledge sharing as a key facilitator of creativity and innovation (Khan et al., 2019). Furthermore, the effective implementation of innovative work behavior is contingent upon an organization's ability to create an environment that fosters collaboration, encourages knowledge sharing, and promotes positive interpersonal interactions among team members, which are foundational for sustaining long-term innovation efforts (Zhu, Lv, & Yan, 2022).

While an organizational environment that fosters collaboration, encourages knowledge sharing, and promotes positive interpersonal interactions among team members can be beneficial for sustaining long-term innovation efforts, some research suggests that a balance must be struck between these elements and the necessary tension and constructive conflict that

can drive innovative thinking and problem-solving (Hoffmann & Hanisch, 2021). An overemphasis on positive interpersonal interactions and a lack of healthy debate and critical evaluation of ideas may inadvertently lead to complacency, where employees become overly comfortable and reluctant to challenge the status quo. Organizations must be cautious not to cultivate an environment that is overly focused on maintaining a sense of psychological safety at the expense of the creative abrasion required for breakthrough innovations (Soken & Barnes, 2014).

Moreover, the emphasis on psychological safety and open communication within teams has been shown to significantly influence the quality of interpersonal interactions, thereby enhancing the innovative work behavior by allowing individuals to feel valued and supported in their contributions, which further emphasizes the necessity for organizations to cultivate a culture that prioritizes psychological safety as a critical enabler of innovation (Irai, Cheng, & Lu, 2018). Ultimately, fostering a sense of psychological safety within teams is essential for promoting a culture of innovation, as it encourages employees to challenge the status quo, voice their ideas, and engage in risk-taking behaviors that are critical for effective knowledge sharing and the successful execution of innovative work behavior (Zhu et al., 2022).

3. Measurement

The measurement of innovative work behavior typically involves a multi-dimensional approach that captures the various stages of the innovation process (Messmann & Mulder, 2012). This includes assessing key elements such as idea generation, idea promotion, and idea realization, which can be operationalized through survey instruments and behavioral assessments that reflect both individual and team dynamics in innovative practices, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of how innovation manifests in workplace settings (Damanpour & Aravind, 2023). Furthermore, effective measurement tools must account for contextual factors, such as organizational culture and climate, as they significantly influence how innovative work behavior is expressed across different settings, ensuring that the assessment captures the nuanced interactions that drive innovation and creativity within teams and organizations (Khorakian, Shahroodi, Jahangir, & Farkhani, 2019). In order to effectively evaluate innovative work behavior, it is essential to consider the impact of contextual factors, including leadership styles, team dynamics, and organizational culture, as these elements can significantly shape the expression of innovation in practice (Amar & Juneja, 2008).

While considering the impact of contextual factors such as leadership styles, team dynamics, and organizational culture is important for evaluating innovative work behavior, there is also a need to recognize the inherent individuality and agency of employees in driving innovation (Zhang, Zhang, Forest, & Chen, 2018). Overly emphasizing the role of external factors may inadvertently diminish the recognition of an individual's intrinsic motivation, creativity, and self-efficacy as key drivers of innovative work behavior (Sauermann & Cohen, 2010). A balanced approach that acknowledges both the contextual influences and the employee's capacity for innovative thinking is necessary to capture the multifaceted nature of innovation in the workplace (Fischer, Malycha, & Schafmann, 2019).

Moreover, incorporating psychological safety into measurement frameworks allows researchers to better understand how employees' perceptions of safety within their work environment can facilitate or hinder innovative efforts, thus providing a more holistic view of the antecedents influencing innovation in contemporary organizations (Zhu et al., 2022). Investigation of these factors not only highlights the importance of supportive organizational conditions but also underscores the need for a comprehensive framework that encompasses both individual and collective aspects of innovative work behavior, thereby reflecting the complexity

of the innovation process that includes inputs, knowledge management, and organizational culture, which collectively influence outcomes and performance in innovative practices. To this end, aligning measurement frameworks with the theoretical dimensions of innovative work behavior can enhance our understanding of its antecedents and effects, thereby facilitating a more integrated approach to studying innovation across various organizational contexts and disciplines, which is essential for generating effective strategies to foster a culture of innovation and creativity (Tuffaha, 2020). Additionally, the interplay between psychological safety and innovative behavior suggests that organizations capable of cultivating a safe environment for employees will likely experience higher levels of innovation, as individuals feel more empowered to experiment and propose novel ideas without fear of negative evaluation or repercussions, underscoring the critical role of trust and openness in promoting a spirit of innovation within the workplace (Echebiri, 2020). Moreover, fostering a climate that emphasizes psychological safety not only enhances employees' propensity to take risks and innovate but also contributes to the overall resilience and adaptability of the organization, ultimately positioning it for sustained competitive advantage in rapidly changing environments (Elsayed et al., 2023). In light of this, it is imperative for organizations to prioritize the establishment of trust-based relationships and open communication channels, as these elements are essential for reinforcing psychological safety and, consequently, enabling a more robust innovative culture that aligns with organizational goals and objectives (Hoffmann & Hanisch, 2021). Furthermore, understanding the reciprocal relationship between psychological safety and innovation behavior provides valuable insights for management practices aimed at maximizing employee engagement and creative potential, as it highlights the necessity of nurturing an environment where individuals feel secure to express their ideas and take risks, thereby setting the stage for continuous improvement and innovation at both the individual and organizational levels.

3.1 Antecedents

The research literature has identified several key antecedents that shape and influence innovative work behavior at both the individual and organizational levels. Factors such as individual traits, including creativity and self-efficacy, as well as organizational variables like leadership style and organizational culture, have been shown to significantly impact employees' propensity to engage in innovative activities, thus laying the groundwork for sustained innovation efforts within organizations (Khan et al., 2019; Syifa & Ahman, 2022). Additionally, interpersonal interactions and the atmosphere of psychological safety within teams significantly contribute to fostering innovative work behavior, as these conditions enable employees to feel comfortable sharing ideas, asking questions, and challenging the status quo, which are essential for generating and implementing innovative solutions to organizational challenges (Zhang et al., 2018).

However, excessive emphasis on interpersonal interactions and psychological safety within teams may inadvertently limit innovative work behavior (Xu & Suntrayuth, 2022). While a supportive environment is important, employees must also feel a level of discomfort or challenge to truly push the boundaries of their creativity and drive innovation (Zhang et al., 2018). Organizations should strike a balance, fostering an atmosphere where employees feel empowered to take risks and voice dissenting views, rather than merely seeking comfort and conformity (Soken & Barnes, 2014). Innovative solutions often arise from navigating tensions and diverse perspectives, rather than solely harmonious team dynamics (Dombrowski et al., 2007).

Furthermore, research underscores the importance of motivation, both intrinsic and extrinsic, as it significantly affects employees' willingness to engage in innovative behaviors, often rooted in personal values and organizational incentives that recognize and reward creativity and risk-taking, thereby reinforcing the cycle of innovation within the workplace (Purc & Łaguna, 2019). Moreover, the alignment of individual goals with organizational objectives can enhance innovative work behavior, as employees who perceive a congruence between their personal aspirations and the organization's vision are more likely to invest effort in generating and implementing innovative ideas, thereby contributing to a vibrant culture of innovation (Naranjo-Valencia & Calderón-Hernández, 2023).

However, the alignment of individual goals with organizational objectives may not always enhance innovative work behavior (Damanpour & Aravind, 2023). While a shared vision can motivate some employees, excessive focus on conformity and meeting organizational objectives can also stifle individual creativity and risk-taking, which are essential for driving innovation (Zhang et al., 2018). Employees who feel overly constrained by organizational directives may be less inclined to challenge the status quo and propose novel ideas, as they may prioritize maintaining the status quo over exploring unconventional solutions (Allen, Adomdza, & Meyer, 2015). A balanced approach that allows for some degree of autonomy and encourages diverse perspectives can be more effective in fostering a truly innovative culture within the organization (Dombrowski et al., 2007).

The interplay between individual motivations and organizational support mechanisms plays a crucial role in driving innovative behavior, as a conducive environment enables employees to thrive creatively and transform their ideas into actionable innovations that align with the organization's strategic goals (Hassan, Rhee, & Dedahanov, 2019). Finally, the continuous feedback loop between individual contributions and organizational responses is essential for sustaining innovation, as it allows for iterative improvements and refinements in both employee efforts and organizational practices, ultimately cultivating an ecosystem that values creativity and innovation as pivotal components of success (Fontana, D'Alise, & Marzano, 2015).

3.2 Effects

The outcomes of innovative work behavior are multifaceted and can have a profound impact on organizational performance and competitiveness. Innovative behaviors can lead to enhanced productivity, economic growth, and overall organizational effectiveness, as companies that prioritize innovation are better positioned to adapt to changing market demands and maintain a competitive edge in their respective industries (Khan et al., 2019). Additionally, the ability to innovate is not only tied to individual competence but is also influenced by the collaborative efforts within teams, where knowledge sharing and collective input can significantly enhance the innovation process, thereby fostering an environment that supports sustained creative endeavors and ultimately drives organizational success. Moreover, organizations that actively cultivate an innovative culture through mechanisms such as transformational leadership and supportive management practices are more likely to experience a higher degree of employee engagement in innovative work behaviors, ultimately translating to improved organizational outcomes and resilience in a competitive landscape (Khan et al., 2019). Furthermore, the relationship between innovative work behavior and organizational performance is significantly mediated by leadership styles, where transformational leadership, in particular, has been shown to inspire employees to engage in innovative practices, fostering an environment conducive to creativity and effective problem-solving that enhances overall organizational effectiveness. Consequently, the interplay between leadership practices and innovative work behavior highlights the necessity for organizations to develop leadership frameworks that not only

emphasize the importance of innovation but also actively engage and empower employees to contribute their unique insights and ideas towards the collective goals of the organization.

The research demonstrates that innovative work behavior is a critical component of organizational success, with significant implications for individual, team, and organizational outcomes. Furthermore, the fostering of an innovative culture within organizations is essential, as it encompasses crucial elements such as democratic communication, flexibility, and leadership that align with the strategic vision for innovation, thereby ensuring that employees feel empowered and motivated to contribute to the innovation process. By understanding the nuances of innovative work behavior, organizations can develop targeted interventions and strategies to cultivate a work environment that consistently generates novel and valuable ideas, ultimately enhancing their competitiveness and resilience in an ever-evolving business landscape.

4. Discussion

The review of the literature on innovative work behavior underscores the multifaceted nature of this construct, encompassing the generation, exploration, and implementation of novel ideas, products, or processes that are beneficial to the organization. This complexity highlights the need for organizations to cultivate an environment that fosters creativity and supports risk-taking, as these elements are crucial for stimulating innovative mindsets among employees and ultimately driving successful innovation initiatives within the workplace (Jada, Mukhopadhyay, & Titiyal, 2019). The findings suggest that organizations that successfully integrate knowledge-sharing practices and promote a culture of innovation are more likely to see significant gains in productivity and overall performance, as the effective collaboration among employees accelerates the innovative process and leads to the development of valuable new solutions. Furthermore, it is imperative for organizations to recognize the role of empowering leadership as a critical antecedent in nurturing innovative work behavior, as leaders who foster an inclusive environment and encourage autonomy are more likely to facilitate the emergence of creativity and innovation among team members, thus enabling employees to leverage their unique skills and insights in a collaborative manner that enhances organizational learning and adaptation to market changes (Masood & Afsar, 2017). In addition, the literature suggests that transformational leadership can create a supportive climate that enhances employees' intrinsic motivation to engage in innovative behaviors, thereby reinforcing the notion that effective leadership is integral to fostering an organizational culture that promotes continuous innovation and adaptability in the face of evolving business challenges (Nasution, Siregar, & Pristiyono, 2021; Thawabieh, 2019). Overall, the review of the literature on innovative work behavior highlights the need for a comprehensive and multifaceted approach to cultivating innovation within organizations. This involves integrating various elements such as supportive leadership, a conducive organizational culture, and robust knowledge-sharing practices, which collectively enhance the capacity for creativity and innovation while addressing the unique challenges posed by the dynamic business environment (Blake & Gano-an, 2020). Moreover, it is essential to understand that the strategic implementation of these components can serve as a differentiating factor for organizations striving for innovation, as they not only elevate motivation and creativity levels among employees but also align individual goals with organizational objectives, thereby fostering a synergistic relationship that ultimately drives sustained competitive advantage. This alignment underscores the significance of strategic investment in both tangible and intangible assets as a means to foster an innovative organizational climate, which is essential for achieving long-term success and resilience in an increasingly competitive marketplace (Karani, 2005). Furthermore, recognizing the interdependence of these factors can

empower organizations to design targeted interventions that actively support innovative work behavior, allowing them to thrive in today's rapidly changing business ecosystem and ensuring they remain at the forefront of industry advancements (Leandro & Umana, 2021) as the dynamic capabilities' framework suggests, organizations must continuously evolve their resources and capabilities to maintain their competitive edge, emphasizing the necessity for leadership that fosters innovation at all levels and empowers employees to contribute to the organization's strategic vision (Leandro & Umana, 2021). By adopting a holistic approach that encompasses leadership development, cultural transformation, and the promotion of collaborative networks, organizations can effectively create a sustainable innovative ecosystem that not only drives current performance but also positions them for future success in a constantly evolving landscape (Karani, 2005). Thereby reinforcing the need for organizations to prioritize innovative practices as integral components of their strategic frameworks, which align with the principles of cultivating a resilient and adaptive culture that thrives on creativity and knowledge sharing (Agarwal, 2016). , as such an environment amplifies the potential for breakthrough innovations that can redefine market dynamics and enhance competitive advantage over time, while also addressing the pressing need for sustainability in business practices as highlighted in recent studies (Oe, Yamaoka, & Duda, 2022). Therefore, organizations must also integrate sustainability considerations into their innovation strategies, ensuring that the development of new products and services not only meets market demands but also adheres to ethical and environmental standards, which can further enhance their reputation and long-term viability in the market. This multifaceted approach to innovation not only addresses immediate competitive challenges but also paves the way for organizational resilience and sustainability in an ever-evolving environment, as firms that prioritize innovative initiatives are better positioned to adapt to external pressures and leverage emerging opportunities for growth and differentiation. This alignment with sustainability principles can drive organizations to innovate in ways that not only enhance economic performance but also contribute positively to societal and environmental goals, thereby reinforcing the strategic importance of innovation as a dynamic capability essential for long-term success in today's complex business landscape (Karani, 2005; Oe et al., 2022). Additionally, organizations that seamlessly intertwine innovation with sustainability are likely to foster a culture that encourages continuous improvement and aligns with stakeholder expectations, ultimately leading to enhanced performance and a more favorable market position, as these companies are increasingly recognized for their commitment to ethical practices and social responsibility (Musneh, Ambad, & Roslin, 2021). Thus, organizations that prioritize both innovation and sustainability not only gain a competitive edge but also ensure that their practices resonate with the growing consumer and investor demand for corporate responsibility, which emphasizes the need for companies to adopt sustainable innovation strategies that align with long-term business objectives and societal well-being (Gaudig, Ebersberger, & Kuckertz, 2021). Moreover, adopting such integrated strategies can lead to the emergence of a strong, sustainable innovation culture that is embedded within the organization, thereby transforming how firms operate and compete in the marketplace while addressing the multifaceted challenges of modern economies, underscoring the essential role of innovation as a dynamic capability that enables organizational resilience and adaptability (Savetpanuvong, Tanlamai, & Lursinsap, 2011). In light of these considerations, it becomes evident that organizations that successfully weave sustainability into their innovation processes are not only equipped to tackle immediate market demands but are also better prepared to create transformative innovations that can drive long-term systemic change within their industries, reflecting an elevated level of strategic foresight and commitment to responsible business

practices that will be essential for thriving in the decades to come (Liboni, Jabbour, de Sousa Jabbour, & Kannan, 2017; Oe et al., 2022).

While the integration of sustainability into innovation processes may offer certain benefits, it is important to consider the potential limitations and challenges. Organizations that prioritize sustainability in their innovation efforts may face increased costs, operational complexities, and resource constraints that could hinder their ability to respond to immediate market demands (Silvestre & Pociovălişteanu, 2019). The need to balance sustainability requirements with the imperative for rapid innovation and competitiveness could create tensions and trade-offs that organizations must navigate carefully (Geissdoerfer, Vladimirova, & Evans, 2018). Additionally, the long-term systemic impact of such innovations remains uncertain, as the synergistic effects of multiple industries transitioning towards sustainability-oriented transformation are yet to be fully understood (Feroz, Zo, & Chiravuri, 2021). Therefore, organizations must exercise caution and undertake a comprehensive analysis of the potential risks and trade-offs associated with weaving sustainability into their innovation processes, ensuring that their strategic decisions align with their core business objectives and the evolving market landscape. In this context, it becomes crucial for organizations to adopt a strategic framework that not only acknowledges these challenges but also proactively addresses them by investing in technologies and practices that bolster both innovation and sustainability, thereby cultivating an ecosystem that supports long-term adaptability and resilience in the face of complex and dynamic environmental, social, and economic pressures. Furthermore, leveraging technology and fostering strategic alliances are critical components in navigating this intricate landscape, as they enable organizations to share knowledge, reduce costs, and enhance resource efficiency essential for sustainable innovation, ultimately facilitating a smoother transition towards more responsible business practices while maintaining competitive edge (Gaudig et al., 2021). This collaborative approach not only enhances the capacity for innovation but also promotes a culture of shared responsibility and mutual benefit, essential for addressing the urgent challenges posed by sustainability imperatives in contemporary markets (Seebode, Jeanrenaud, & Bessant, 2012). Incorporating diverse perspectives and expertise through strategic alliances can lead to more innovative solutions and adaptive strategies, which are vital for organizations aiming to thrive amidst the increasing demands for sustainability and ethical business practices, as the interconnectedness of global markets necessitates a cooperative and holistic approach to innovation and sustainability (Gaudig et al., 2021). This interconnectedness also highlights the necessity for organizations to continuously evaluate and refine their sustainability-oriented innovation strategies, ensuring that they remain responsive to shifting consumer expectations and regulatory requirements, which serve as both opportunities and challenges in a rapidly changing business landscape. By addressing these considerations and adopting a comprehensive, dynamic approach to integrating sustainability into their innovation practices, organizations can unlock new avenues for growth, competitiveness, and long-term resilience, positioning themselves as leaders in their respective industries and contributing to the broader societal shift towards a more sustainable future (Adams, Jeanrenaud, Bessant, Denyer, & Overy, 2015; Liboni et al., 2017).

Organizations should actively engage in stakeholder dialogue to better understand the implications of their sustainability initiatives and seek to align their innovation strategies with the expectations of various stakeholders, thereby creating a more inclusive approach that can foster collaboration and mutual benefit, which is particularly crucial in the context of addressing complex, global sustainability challenges. Additionally, organizations should consider adopting a systematic approach to evaluate and manage the interplay between sustainability and innovation, allowing them to identify best practices and leverage insights from diverse sectors,

which can enhance their ability to innovate sustainably while addressing the complexities of their operational environments (Maier, Maier, Aşchilean, Anastasiu, & Gavriş, 2020).

Organizations that prioritize innovative practices with sustainability considerations are uniquely positioned to not only respond to contemporary market challenges but also to shape future landscapes, thus ensuring that they remain relevant and competitive while actively contributing to global sustainability efforts and meeting the expectations of diverse stakeholders (Adams et al., 2015; Savetpanuvong et al., 2011). To achieve this, companies must adopt a holistic approach that involves re-evaluating their traditional business models and fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation, which can be fortified through effective leadership and a commitment to fostering collaborative networks that enhance knowledge exchange and innovation. By doing so, organizations can harness the power of sustainability-oriented innovation to drive transformative change and secure their long-term viability in an increasingly dynamic and interconnected world.

5. Conclusion

The conceptualization, measurement, antecedents, and effects of innovative work behavior represent a multifaceted and complex domain of research, encompassing a range of individual, team, and organizational factors that interconnect to drive innovation within workplaces. To further advance our understanding of this intricate landscape, future research should aim to dissect the interactions between these various factors, exploring how individual characteristics, such as psychological empowerment and personal motivation, synergistically align with organizational variables like leadership style and climate to foster an environment that consistently nurtures and sustains innovative work behaviors among employees. Moreover, the empirical investigation of these relationships should also consider cultural variables, as differing cultural contexts may influence how psychological safety and knowledge sharing functionalities operate within organizations, particularly in regions characterized by hierarchical structures and collectivist values, where employees may be hesitant to voice dissenting opinions or take calculated risks.

Additionally, the establishment of a supportive organizational climate that encourages learning from mistakes can significantly mitigate the perceived risks associated with error-making, thereby facilitating greater employee engagement in innovative practices and enhancing overall creativity within teams. Furthermore, the integration of psychological safety as a key organizational construct not only fosters an environment conducive to innovation but also encourages employees to view failure as a learning opportunity rather than a setback, thus enhancing resilience and adaptability in response to challenges. Implementing targeted training programs aimed at enhancing psychological safety can also play a vital role in equipping employees with the necessary skills to navigate the complexities of innovation, ultimately leading to more effective collaboration and creative problem-solving across diverse teams (Elsayed et al., 2023; Irai et al., 2018). To achieve meaningful advancements in innovative work behavior, organizations must also prioritize the establishment of performance feedback mechanisms that are constructive and iterative, as such systems encourage continuous improvement and open dialogue among team members, thereby reinforcing the principles of psychological safety and heightening the potential for sustainable innovation.

References

[1]   Adams, R., Jeanrenaud, S., Bessant, J., Denyer, D., & Overy, P. (2015). Sustainability-oriented Innovation: A Systematic Review. Wiley, 18(2), 180-205. doi:10.1111/ijmr.12068

[2]   Agarwal, P. (2016). Strategic Innovations in Tata Consultancy Services. SAGE Publishing, 5(1), 33-42. doi:10.1177/2455265820160104

[3]   Allen, M., Adomdza, G. K., & Meyer, M. H. (2015). Managing for innovation: Managerial control and employee level outcomes. Elsevier BV, 68(2), 371-379. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.06.021

[4]   Amar, A. D., & Juneja, J. (2008). A descriptive model of innovation and creativity in organizations: a synthesis of research and practice. Taylor & Francis, 6(4), 298-311. doi:10.1057/kmrp.2008.18

[5]   Andersson, M., Moen, Ø., & Brett, P. O. (2020). The organizational climate for psychological safety: Associations with SMEs' innovation capabilities and innovation performance. Elsevier BV, 55, 101554-101554. doi:10.1016/j.jengtecman.2020.101554

[6]   Blake, P., & Gano-an, J. C. (2020). Advancing Sustainability Innovation Within The Organizational Learning Sphere. 3(1), 23-32. doi:10.32770/jbfem.vol323-32

[7]   Damanpour, F., & Aravind, D. (2023). Organizational Structure and Innovation Revisited: From Organic To Ambidextrous Structure. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747143000197

[8]   Dombrowski, C., Kim, J. Y., Desouza, K. C., Braganza, A., Papagari, S. S., Baloh, P., & Jha, S. (2007). Elements of innovative cultures. Wiley, 14(3), 190-202. doi:10.1002/kpm.279

[9]   Duan, C. (2021). Transformational Leadership and Employee Innovation: A Moderated Mediating Effect Model. doi:10.2991/assehr.k.210121.159

[10] Echebiri, C. (2020). An Empirical Study into the Individual-Level Antecedents to Employee-Driven Innovation. Carleton University, 10(6), 42-52. doi:10.22215/timreview/1367

[11] Edmondson, A. C. (2003). Managing the Risk of Learning: Psychological Safety in Work Teams. 255-275. doi:10.1002/9780470696712.ch13

[12] Edmondson, A. C. (2018). The Fearless Organization: Creating Psychological Safety in the Workplace for Learning, Innovation, and Growth. Retrieved from https://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BB2750129X

[13] Edmondson, A. C., & Lei, Z. (2014). Psychological Safety: The History, Renaissance, and Future of an Interpersonal Construct. Annual Reviews, 1(1), 23-43. doi:10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091305

[14] Elsayed, A., Zhao, B., Goda, A. E.-M., & Elsetouhi, A. (2023). The role of error risk taking and perceived organizational innovation climate in the relationship between perceived psychological safety and innovative work behavior: A moderated mediation model. Frontiers Media, 14. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1042911

[15] Feroz, A. K., Zo, H., & Chiravuri, A. (2021). Digital Transformation and Environmental Sustainability: A Review and Research Agenda. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 13(3), 1530-1530. doi:10.3390/su13031530

[16] Fischer, C., Malycha, C. P., & Schafmann, E. (2019). The Influence of Intrinsic Motivation and Synergistic Extrinsic Motivators on Creativity and Innovation. Frontiers Media, 10. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00137

[17] Fontana, F., D'Alise, C., & Marzano, M. A. (2015). Incentives and Innovative Propensity. 4(2). doi:10.15640/rcbr.v4n2a4

[18] Gaudig, A., Ebersberger, B., & Kuckertz, A. (2021). Sustainability-Oriented Macro Trends and Innovation Types—Exploring Different Organization Types Tackling the Global Sustainability Megatrend. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 13(21), 11583-11583. doi:10.3390/su132111583

[19] Geissdoerfer, M., Vladimirova, D., & Evans, S. (2018). Sustainable business model innovation: A review. Elsevier BV, 198, 401-416. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.240

[20] Hassan, N. H., Rhee, J., & Dedahanov, A. T. (2019). Organizational Culture Influences on Creativity and Innovation: A Review. IV(II), 33-45. doi:10.31703/gpr.2019(iv-ii).04

[21] Hoffmann, B., & Hanisch, D. (2021). Bedeutung der psychologischen Sicherheit für die Innovationsfähigkeit von Organisationen. Springer Science+Business Media, 3(1), 1-7. doi:10.1365/s42681-021-00019-4

[22] Irai, P., Cheng, A., & Lu, C. (2018). Exploring the relationship among psychological safety, knowledge sharing, and innovation. 4(3). doi:10.20474/jabs-4.3.1

[23] Jada, U. R., Mukhopadhyay, S., & Titiyal, R. (2019). Empowering leadership and innovative work behavior: a moderated mediation examination. Emerald Publishing Limited, 23(5), 915-930. doi:10.1108/jkm-08-2018-0533

[24] Jose, S., & Mampilly, S. R. (2016). Innovative Work Behaviour: Propositions on Influence from Within and Without an Individual in the Organisational Context. SAGE Publishing, 12(3-4), 232-237. doi:10.1177/2319510x17696649

[25] Karani, S. R. (2005). Building the organization's long-term success through strategic values. doi:10.1109/iemc.2004.1407143

[26] Khan, A. M., Jantan, A. H., Salleh, L. B. M., Mansor, Z. D., Islam, M. A., & Hosen, S. (2019). The Impact of Transformational Leadership Effects on Innovative Work Behavior by the Moderating Role of Psychological Empowerment. 8, 925-938. doi:10.6000/1929-7092.2019.08.79

[27] Khorakian, A., Shahroodi, H. M., Jahangir, M., & Farkhani, Z. N. (2019). Innovative Work Behavior in Public Organizations: The Roles of Ethical and Knowledge Sharing Behaviors. Taylor & Francis, 31(2), 164-173. doi:10.1080/10400419.2019.1607444

[28] Kim, S., Lee, H., & Connerton, T. P. (2020). How Psychological Safety Affects Team Performance: Mediating Role of Efficacy and Learning Behavior. Frontiers Media, 11. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01581

[29] Leandro, J. D. T., & Umana, M. V. (2021). The Impact of Transformational Leadership on the Self-Creative Efficacy and Intrinsic Motivation in FINTECH Organizations. 9(4), 448-456. doi:10.37391/ijbmr.090408

[30] Liboni, L. B., Jabbour, C. J. C., de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., & Kannan, D. (2017). Sustainability as a dynamic organizational capability: a systematic review and a future agenda toward a sustainable transition. Elsevier BV, 142, 308-322. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.103

[31] Maier, D., Maier, A., Aşchilean, I., Anastasiu, L., & Gavriş, O. (2020). The Relationship between Innovation and Sustainability: A Bibliometric Review of the Literature. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 12(10), 4083-4083. doi:10.3390/su12104083

[32] Masood, M., & Afsar, B. (2017). Transformational leadership and innovative work behavior among nursing staff. Wiley, 24(4). doi:10.1111/nin.12188

[33] Messmann, G., & Mulder, R. H. (2012). Development of a measurement instrument for innovative work behaviour as a dynamic and context-bound construct. Taylor & Francis, 15(1), 43-59. doi:10.1080/13678868.2011.646894

[34] Musneh, S. N. H., Ambad, S. N. A., & Roslin, R. M. (2021). A review on Innovation and its Strategic Importance to the Growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). 6(2), 275-281. doi:10.47405/mjssh.v6i2.664

[35] Naranjo-Valencia, J., & Calderón-Hernández, G. (2023). Model of Culture for Innovation. Retrieved from https://www.intechopen.com/citation-pdf-url/63886

[36] Nasution, N. R., Siregar, Z. M. E., & Pristiyono, P. (2021). The Effect of Job Autonomy on Employee Innovative Behavior: The Role of Job Satisfaction as Intervening Variable. 4(2), 2846-2853. doi:10.33258/birci.v4i2.1994

[37] Ng, T. W. H., & Lucianetti, L. (2016). Within-individual increases in innovative behavior and creative, persuasion, and change self-efficacy over time: A social–cognitive theory perspective. American Psychological Association, 101(1), 14-34. doi:10.1037/apl0000029

[38] Oe, H., Yamaoka, Y., & Duda, K. (2022). How to Sustain Businesses in the Post-COVID-19 Era: A Focus on Innovation, Sustainability and Leadership. Sumy State University, 6(4), 1-9. doi:10.21272/bel.6(4).1-9.2022

[39] Patterson, F., Kerrin, M., & Zibarras, L. (2013). Employee innovation. Edward Elgar Publishing. doi:10.4337/9781781005873.00013

[40] Purc, E., & Łaguna, M. (2019). Personal Values and Innovative Behavior of Employees. Frontiers Media, 10. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00865

[41] Sauermann, H., & Cohen, W. M. (2010). What Makes Them Tick? Employee Motives and Firm Innovation. Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences, 56(12), 2134-2153. doi:10.1287/mnsc.1100.1241

[42] Savetpanuvong, P., Tanlamai, U., & Lursinsap, C. (2011). Sustaining Innovation in Information Technology Entrepreneurship with a Sufficiency Economy Philosophy. Emerald Publishing Limited, 3(2), 69-82. doi:10.1260/1757-2223.3.2.69

[43] Seebode, D., Jeanrenaud, S., & Bessant, J. (2012). Managing innovation for sustainability. Wiley, 42(3), 195-206. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9310.2012.00678.x

[44] Silvestre, B. S., & Pociovălişteanu, D.-M. (2019). Innovations for sustainable development: Moving toward a sustainable future. Elsevier BV, 208, 325-332. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.244

[45] Soken, N., & Barnes, B. K. (2014). What kills innovation? Your role as a leader in supporting an innovative culture. Emerald Publishing Limited, 46(1), 7-15. doi:10.1108/ict-09-2013-0057

[46] Soputan, G. J., & Sumual, T. (2023). Employee' Innovative Work Behavior. Retrieved from https://www.shs-conferences.org/articles/shsconf/pdf/2022/19/shsconf_icss2022_02014.pdf

[47] Syifa, R. H. A., & Ahman, E. (2022). The Design of Organizational Culture and Knowledge Sharing in Creating Innovation Behavior and Its Impact on Organizational Performance in Family Companies. Atlantis Press. doi:10.2991/aebmr.k.220701.079

[48] Thawabieh, F. A. (2019). The Role of Human Resource System in Developing a Culture of Innovation. (511), 161-169. doi:10.32861/bmer.511.161.169

[49] Tuffaha, M. (2020). The Determinants of Employee's Performance: A Literature Review. 3(3), 14-p14. doi:10.30560/jems.v3n3p14

[50] Xu, Z., & Suntrayuth, S. (2022). Innovative work behavior in high-tech enterprises: Chain intermediary effect of psychological safety and knowledge sharing. Frontiers Media, 13. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1017121

[51] Yu, M., Mai, Q., Tsai, S. b., & Dai, Y. (2018). An Empirical Study on the Organizational Trust, Employee-Organization Relationship and Innovative Behavior from the Integrated Perspective of Social Exchange and Organizational Sustainability. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 10(3), 864-864. doi:10.3390/su10030864

[52] Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., Forest, J., & Chen, C. (2018). The Negative and Positive Aspects of Employees' Innovative Behavior: Role of Goals of Employees and Supervisors. Frontiers Media, 9. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01871

[53] Zhu, J., Lv, H., & Yan, F. (2022). The Effect of Psychological Safety on Innovation Behavior: A Meta-Analysis. Atlantis Press. doi:10.2991/aebmr.k.220307.503