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Abstract

Usually the image obtained from a sensor is blurred by noise. Such noises are identified and eliminated by the procedure called denoising. The cross breed (Hybrid) algorithm is a popular technique that has been utilized recently. The Discrete Cosine Transformation (DCT) and Discrete Wave Transformation (DWT) are the generally utilized transform in which discrete cosine transformation requires less energy and computation resource and discrete wavelet transformation has multiple transformations. This Hybrid Algorithm combines the twin benefits of both the transformation and thus eliminates the negative contour and blocks the traces completely. The effectiveness of the proposed hybrid Algorithm is verified with different pictures by finding the average Squared Blunder, PSNR, Variance, Architectural resemblance Index and average architectural resemblance Index.
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1. Introduction

The clamors less pictures are essential in satellite application. MPEG-4 is the cutting edge visual coding calibers that give higher flexibility while evaluating mixed media for a visual item based application and redesign the visual force at least bit rate and can be utilized to get and estimate each item [Schafer, R..]. The discretionary formed visual item should save its size and surface. The current strategies incorporate square shaped picture and recordings and programming like DCT and DWT. In customary strategies, the bouncing box fixes the subjectively pictures, in the outside side of the item pixel location esteems are cushioned in the inner side, the object pixels are coded and cushioned in the bouncing box together, may be wasteful [3]-[7]. The issue of evacuating the commotion in visual items without deteriorating its properties (edges, surfaces,
hues, differentiate, and so forth) has been explored throughout the previous two decades and numerous different kinds of denoising systems have been created. The complete change based commotion expelling technique created by had a lot of impact in picture and motivate an enormous amount of definitions for evacuating the commotion of a picture. The Non Local Mean (NLM) calculation figures out in [Mitra, S.K] to accurately expel a large portion of the clamors but, they cannot appropriately recoup a portion of the picture subtleties. These techniques additionally manage added substance Gaussian commotion, though for some pictures the clamor model is obscure, such that there is plenty of opportunity available to get better performance [Zhijun, F.,] 

In this paper the Hybrid Algorithm is applied to denoise different satellite pictures. The present Transform utilized for denoising the pictures are discussed in section II. The Hybrid algorithm intended for lessening arty-craft is depicted in section III. The output of the different calculations and correlations with other coding strategies for various pictures are reported in section IV. The conclusion of the paper is presented in section V.

2. Literature Review

For mobile communication and broadband services, MPEG-4 was utilized for realizing interactive multimedia communication with a data rate of 5 Kbps-15 Mbps. A hybrid IICT compressor provided video compression. To efficiently identify the motions in all environments and random images of objects were coded by several tools [Schafer, R.,]. In [Hsiang, S.T.,] an efficient application specific EZB coder with context modelling and node tree splitting was proposed to improve the compression efficiency of existing coder. There exists strong interdependency with the modelling and splitting. To minimize the prediction mistake of the frames, a hybrid transformation with inter and intra frames were coded by DCT and DWT [Ezhilarasan, M.,]. This proposal selects the required frames from the intra frames. An object oriented hybrid image coding algorithm was proposed and is more efficient than SPIHT coding. This new scattering of the wave coefficient diminishes computational unpredictability. In the event of lower bit rate picture programming, one course of action go in the wavelet field was applied. The benefit of OB-HIC lies in the DWT maps with little coefficients in all sub-band picture information. A hybrid picture programming [Mohammed, U.S.,] which integrates embedded zero tree DWT with DCT block and found to be more efficient than EZW method since one scale wave rotting where one leading pass and one substitute pass were considered. The performance of the proposed method was greater than the JPEG consecutive coding and block influence with the recent method has minimum effect than with the JPEG consecutive coding method.

3. Existing Transforms for Denoising

Discrete Cosine Transformation (DCT) Source information focuses are demonstrated by DCT as a cos work with different wavering recurrence and magnitude. The two principle kinds of DCT plans are: discrete 1-D cos change and discrete 2-D cos change. Since a picture is converted into two 2 dimensional network, 2-D discrete cosine change is utilized. The 2-dimensional DCT for a input sequence of size x is described [Qi, H., Huang, Q.,] as

\[ D_{DCT}(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} B(i)B(j) \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} M(x,y) \cos \left[ \frac{2\pi}{2N} (i+1) \right] \cos \left[ \frac{2\pi}{2N} (j+1) \right] \]

Where,

\[ B(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } u=0 \\ 1 & \text{if } u>0 \end{cases} \]

\[ x, y \text{ is the input data size } M(x, y) \]

In DCT, every single data were obliging in a small number at minimum recurrence coefficients which are effectively influenced by commotion. The coefficient with low recurrence is called as DC segments and other coefficients are identified AC parts. Utilizing 8×8 quantization table, the DCT coefficients are quantized similar to the JPEG standard. The condition for 2-D opposite DCT change is given below (Figure 1).

\[ D_{DCT}(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \cdot 2N}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} B(i)B(j)D_{equant}(i,j) \cos \left[ \frac{2\pi}{2N} (i+1) \right] \cos \left[ \frac{2\pi}{2N} (j+1) \right] \]

Where,

\[ B(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } u=0 \\ 1 & \text{if } u>0 \end{cases} \]
The several constraints of DCT are artefact and negative Contour. The mutilation like artefact block happens because of high pressure rate similar to huge pixel block. The bogus contour occurs because of easily reviewed territory of visual items that are misshaped and shown up as a shape map for pictures. The overwhelming quantization of the change coefficients is the main reason for false contouring effect.

Discrete Wavelet Transformation (DWT) This transformation shows the visual data as the aggregate of wavelet, and called as wavelet with different area and various scales [Pearlman, W]. The high pass (details) coefficient and low pass (inexact) coefficients are the featured information. For moving the information, channel sets with low pass and high pass is used adequately. For this, channel kernel is \( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix} \). By 2 factor, yield of high and low pass channels are down examined. This procedure is 1-D DWT and the schematic is shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 2.** Block diagram of 1D forward DWT

In two dimensional DWT, low and high pass channel source information is sent in different direction line and list. The output is down sampled by 2 in all the direction. The overall step is given in Figure 3. The yield is received as 4 coefficients LL, LH, HL and HH. [Fan C., and Su, G]. Line transforms make the first alphabet and list transforms make the next alphabet. The word L indicates low pass signal and H represents high pass signal. In line, low pass signal is depicted as LH signal and in the list high pass signal is marked as HH. LH signal composed of parallel component, and HL and HH are the vertical and diagonal components.

**Figure 3.** Block diagram of 2D forward DWT

As given in Figure 4, when DWT is reconstructed, source information is received without integrating LL coefficients for level with several dissimilar resolutions [38]. To reanalyze the yield, minimized data is up sampled by 2. Then the data was directed via same high pass and low pass channels in line and list. The total recreation procedure is provided in Figure 5.

**Figure 4.** Multilevel Forward DWT

**Figure 5.** Block diagram of 2D Inverse DWT
This process continues for other levels. The coefficients are split by constant scaling factor to get the needed pressure proportion. Finally, the data is reconstructed by rescaling and padded with zero and sent to the wavelet filter. Figure 6 represents overall process of 2D DWT compression and reconstruction.

4. Proposed Hybrid Algorithms

The DCT and DWT were used for denoising of images. DCT consumes less energy and requires few computation resources, while DWT has multiple resolution transforms. The proposed (Hybrid) Algorithm enjoys the advantages of both (DCT & DWT) the algorithm and hence reduces the negative contour and blocks the artefact efficiently. The algorithm is developed such that the sub-samples are performed in DCT whereas the primary blocks are performed by DWT in Hybrid DWT-DCT as given in Figure 7 & 8.

Algorithm for Hybrid DWT-DCT:

Depending on DCT and DWT algorithm, the sub-sampling strategy discussed overhead, the steps involved in Hybrid algorithm for satellite images are given below.

1) Consider the input satellite image.
2) Estimate the noise in the image.
3) Process the 2D DWT with suitable sub-sampling method.
4) Select the coefficients of wavelet that need to be processed by 2D DWT and got the wavelet coefficients for next level low pass.
5) Process the 2D DCT with the received low pass coefficients.
6) Do the 2D opposite DCT and successively perform 2-D Inverse DWT for two times to reconstruct the denoised image.

Similar to this, the subsamples are deal with DWT whereas the primary blocks are operated by DCT in Hybrid DCT-DWT which is depicted in Figure 9 & 10.
5. Results and Discussion

The Algorithm is programmed and verified in MATLAB. The satellite pictures (Figure 11-15) taken to evaluate the performance are given below. The effectiveness of hybrid algorithm is contrasted with present algorithm with regards to MSE, PSNR, SSI and MSSI.
The equivalent noise estimates are measured for every filter as given in Figure 16, noises exist in the pictures.

Figure 16. Noise Estimate for Test Images

The proportion of the maximum signal with corrupted noise which affects the normal peak signal to noise proportion is

\[
\text{PSNR} = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{MAX}}{\text{MSE}} \right) \tag{3}
\]

where, \( \text{MSE} \) is mean square error and said to be the average squared difference between the estimated values or the average of square of errors and is given by

\[
\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ||f(i,j) - g(i,j)||^2 \tag{4}
\]

The PSNR should be as more as possible. The average squared blunder should be as less as possible.

The variance coefficient is a measure of corresponding variation among pictures and is given by

\[
\%CV = \frac{100}{N} \sum^{N-1}_{X=1} \frac{(\bar{X} - X)^2}{N - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \tag{5}
\]

\( \%CV \) = percentage of variance coefficient  
\( \bar{X} \) = aggregate of the assay esteems  
\( N \) = quantity of assay esteems  
\( \bar{X} \) = aggregate of square of total values  
\( (\bar{X})^2 \) = square of the sum of all assay values  
\( \bar{X} \) = AM of all assay esteems

The minimum value of the variance coefficient guarantees maximum accurate value.

The similarity estimating method between two pictures is called Structural Similarity index (SSI). It is also called as an estimation of visual object quality and is expressed as

\[
S(x,y) = f \left( (l(x,y), c(x,y), s(x,y)) \right) \tag{6}
\]

\[
\text{SSIM}(x,y) = \left[ l(x,y) \right]^{\alpha} \cdot \left[ c(x,y) \right]^{\beta} \cdot \left[ s(x,y) \right]^{\gamma} \tag{7}
\]

\[
\text{SSIM}(x,y) = \frac{(2\mu_{x}\mu_{y} + C_1)(2\sigma_{xy} + C_2)}{(\mu_{x}^2 + \mu_{y}^2 + C_1)(\sigma_{x}^2 + \sigma_{y}^2 + C_2)} \tag{8}
\]

Structure \( s(x, y) \) was compared against normalized signal \( (x- \mu_x)/\sigma_x \) and \( (y- \mu_y)/\sigma_y \) where the parameters \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are utilized to change the comparative parameters of three components.

The quantitative analysis of average structural similarity for high resolution picture based on window size is expressed as

\[
\text{MSSIM}(x,y) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \text{SSIM}(x_j,y_j) \tag{9}
\]

The processed and reconstructed images for Hybrid DWT-DCT are shown in Figure 17.
The Table 1 contains parameters involved in comparing the denoising algorithm. From the table, it is visualized that our Hybrid algorithm has the best possible attributes among all the algorithms.

Table 1. Comparison of Denoising Algorithms based on various parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Test Image – 1</th>
<th>Test Image – 2</th>
<th>Test Image – 3</th>
<th>Test Image – 4</th>
<th>Test Image – 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>4.6172e+03</td>
<td>11.4869</td>
<td>45.4874</td>
<td>4.0672e-04</td>
<td>5.1406e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>751.0471</td>
<td>28.2299</td>
<td>47.0400</td>
<td>0.3054</td>
<td>0.1627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWT-DCT</td>
<td>506.3219</td>
<td>29.8954</td>
<td>45.6364</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
<td>0.3229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT-DWT</td>
<td>5.1052e+03</td>
<td>25.8299</td>
<td>60.9139</td>
<td>0.4682</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>3.7023e+03</td>
<td>12.4461</td>
<td>76.9491</td>
<td>2.6988e-04</td>
<td>7.9426e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>1.9393e+03</td>
<td>27.3432</td>
<td>76.5332</td>
<td>0.2397</td>
<td>0.1347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWT-DCT</td>
<td>569.2167</td>
<td>29.6713</td>
<td>68.9416</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
<td>0.3385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT-DWT</td>
<td>2.9860e+03</td>
<td>26.7816</td>
<td>69.5503</td>
<td>0.2397</td>
<td>0.0988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum peak signal to noise ratio for Hybrid Algorithm is given in Figure 18.

The variance coefficient for Hybrid Algorithm is given in Figure 19.
The maximum Structural Similarity Index of Hybrid Algorithm is given in Figure 20.

Figure 19. Comparison of Denoising Algorithm using variance coefficient

Figure 20. Comparison of Denoising Algorithms based on Structural Similarity Index

5. Conclusion

The hybrid algorithms based denoising technique is discussed in this paper. The proposed algorithms utilize the advantages of both DCT and DWT transformation effectively for denoising the images obtained from satellite. Thus, the algorithm minimizes the negative contour and blocks the artefacts efficiently. Among several denoising technique, the hybrid algorithm is a best option as its PSNR is increased by 15% with minimum MSE, Variance Coefficient, and SSI and MSSI are also enhanced. Hence, the denoised pictures prove to have low noises in the satellite image.
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