# **Performance Comparison of a Typical Nonlinear Load Supplied by ac and dc Voltages**

Tiago J. C. Sousa<sup>1,\*</sup>, Vítor Monteiro<sup>1</sup>, J. G. Pinto<sup>1</sup> and João L. Afonso<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro ALGORITMI, University of Minho, Campus de Azurém, Guimarães, Portugal

# Abstract

This paper presents a performance comparison of a typical nonlinear load when supplied by ac or dc voltages with the same rms value. The performance of the nonlinear load towards its connection to ac and dc power grids is accomplished in terms of the waveforms and efficiency. A simulation model was developed using realistic database models of the power semiconductors comprising the load, and an experimental setup was assembled, so that the efficiency can be determined and compared for simulation and real operating conditions. Three distinct cases were considered for this study: (1) Load supplied by ac voltage; (2) Load supplied by dc voltage; and (3) Load without the input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage. The obtained results show that supplying nonlinear loads with dc power grids is advantageous in relation to the ac power grid, and therefore it can be beneficial to adapt nonlinear loads to be powered by dc power grids.

Keywords: ac Grids, dc Grids, dc Smart Homes, Nonlinear Loads, Efficiency.

Received on 11 April 2019, accepted on 11 November 2019, published on 21 November 2019

Copyright © 2019 Tiago J. C. Sousa *et al.*, licensed to EAI. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unlimited use, distribution and reproduction in any medium so long as the original work is properly cited.

doi: 10.4108/eai.13-7-2018.161748

\*Corresponding author. Email:tsousa@dei.uminho.pt

# **1. Introduction**

Dc power transmission and dc grids have gained attention over the past few years. In the last century, ac power transmission was preferred due to transformers, which allow the changing of voltage and current levels in a reliable and efficient manner. Despite being heavy and bulky, transformers were a more suitable solution than power electronics-based converters towards the advent of power transmission, more than one hundred years ago. However, power electronics has been undergoing a significant development since the second half of the last century. This led to the establishment of the high voltage dc (HVDC) transmission systems, which was not only a research target at that time [1]-[9], but with real applications in the recent years [10]-[17]. In HVDC transmission systems, skin effect and voltage drops due to the conductors' reactance are inexistent when compared to ac power transmission. Moreover, HVDC power transmission can reduce power transmission losses even further with the appliance of superconductivity [18]-[24].

Besides the advent of HVDC transmission systems, the development of power electronics contributed to the implementation of more efficient and lower power demanding electrical loads. These loads are named nonlinear loads, i.e., the relation between the supplied voltage and the consumed current is not linear. This phenomenon gave rise to the widely known harmonic issues [25]-[29], as well as the respective proposed compensation techniques [30]-[34].

From the power grid point of view, nonlinear loads are comprised by a diode full-bridge ac-dc converter in the input, therefore operating in dc power at the output. In fact, this type of connection is present in the vast majority of domestic appliances, such as computers, televisions, modern refrigerators and modern lighting equipment such as compact fluorescent and light emitting diode (LED) lamps. Accordingly, the operation of nonlinear loads, both from the power grid and from the load point of view, can be improved if the traditional ac voltage supply is





replaced by a dc voltage supply with equivalent rms value. Besides the electrical loads, the paradigm of dc grids is also more suitable than ac grids, which is proved by the dc systems based on photovoltaics, fuel cells and batteries. Dc microgrids are also an attractive asset for future power systems [35]-[36] and can also be used for wind and wave power generation [37]. With the dc approach, the power conversion stages can be reduced and the efficiency can be improved, whereby dc smart homes represent a viable alternative in the near future [38]-[41].

In this context, this paper presents a study about the performance of a typical nonlinear load connected to ac and dc power grids. The differences between the two types of power grids are analyzed in terms of consumed current and, consequently, power. A comparison is also made in terms of efficiency and a third case is considered, aiming to improve the efficiency of the type of nonlinear load under study in dc power grids. The analyses are based on simulation results using realistic database models of the power semiconductors comprising the load, in an initial step, and then based on experimental results obtained with a developed laboratorial setup.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the nonlinear load under analysis; Section 3 presents the developed simulation model and the obtained results in terms of waveforms and efficiency comparison; Section 4 presents the experimental setup and the obtained results, also in terms of waveforms and efficiency comparison; finally, Section 5 finalizes the paper with the conclusions.

## 2. Load Analysis: Electrical Model

This section presents the electrical model of the load under analysis in this paper. As aforementioned, the typical loads used in domestic appliances are nonlinear loads. These loads are mainly comprised by an ac-dc converter, typically a diode full-bridge ac-dc converter with a filter capacitor, which converts the input ac voltage into an unregulated dc voltage. A dc-dc converter is connected downstream the filter capacitor in order to adjust the rectified voltage to the desired value, as well as to minimize its ripple. This load is basically a power supply that can be found in computers, televisions, modern refrigerators and battery chargers, for instance. Figure 1 depicts this type of load, where the aforementioned elements can be seen. The ac-dc converter is comprised by diodes  $D_1$  to  $D_4$  and contains a filter capacitor  $(C_{dc})$  in order to smooth the dc-link voltage  $(v_{dc})$  and an input inductive filter  $(L_g)$  in order to smooth



Figure 2. Considered cases for the analyzed nonlinear load: (a) Case 1 - Load supplied by ac voltage;
(b) Case 2 - Load supplied by dc voltage;
(c) Case 3 - Load without the input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage.

the absorbed grid current  $(i_g)$ . The dc-dc converter  $(S_1, D_5, L_o$  and  $C_o)$  is a buck converter, whose function is to step-down the dc-link voltage  $(v_{dc})$  into a controlled output voltage  $(v_o)$  with low ripple. Additionally, a resistor is connected in the output  $(R_o)$  to emulate the power consumption of the load.

## 3. Simulation Model and Results

This section presents the simulation model developed in the software PSIM v9.1 and the subsequent performed analysis for the nonlinear load described in the previous section when fed by ac and dc power. Three different cases are considered: (1) Load supplied by an ac voltage (Figure 2 (a)); (2) Load supplied by a dc voltage (Figure 2 (b)); and (3) Load without the input ac-dc converter supplied by a dc voltage (Figure 2 (c)). Case 1 represents the traditional connection of the considered nonlinear load to an ac power grid. Case 2 represents the same load connected to a dc power grid instead, meaning the case of a traditional nonlinear load connected in a possible dc home that can also be connected in a regular ac power grid. On the other hand, Case 3 represents a possible evolution suffered by the considered type of load, being possible to discard the diode full-bridge ac-dc converter since both the input and the output are dc. However, this type of load can operate only in a dc power grid, whereby this scenario is only feasible when dc smart homes and dc grids would be widespread. Furthermore, Case 3 is more prone to failure, as the input terminals of the load are polarized; an input voltage with a reverse polarity cannot supply the load properly and even can



Parameter	Value
ac Power Grid Voltage ( $V_{g_ac}$ )	24 V
ac Power Grid Frequency	50 Hz
dc Power Grid Voltage ( $V_{g\_dc}$ )	24 V
Output Voltage (V <sub>o</sub> )	12 V
Line Impedance (Z <sub>L</sub> )	1 mΩ, 50 μH
Input Inductor $(L_g)$	1 mH
dc-link Capacitor ( $C_{dc}$ )	1 mF
Output Inductor (L <sub>o</sub> )	2 mH
Output Capacitor (Co)	470 µF
Output Resistor ( $R_o$ )	10 <b>Ω</b>

Table 1. Simulation model parameters.

destroy the electronic components, while a diode full-bridge ac-dc converter assures a fixed polarity in the dc-link voltage. Nevertheless, this issue can be solved by adopting a polarized plug for this type of load, assuring that it is only connected in one possible way.

The parameters considered in the simulation model for the ac and dc power grids and the loads are listed in Table 1. It should be mentioned that the value of 24 V used in the ac power grid refers to the secondary side of a 230 V/24 V transformer, typically included in this type of loads, whereby the transformer is excluded from the analysis in order to compare the same load being supplied with ac and dc power.

In order to perform an efficiency evaluation for the three cases, realistic database models of diodes and MOSFETs were used. The diodes used in both ac-dc and dc-dc converters are ST Microelectronics STTA206S (600 V, 8 A), and the MOSFET used in the dc-dc converter is International Rectifier IRF1010EZ (60 V, 75 A) switched at 20 kHz. It should be referred that the focus of analysis is the efficiency comparison between the cases and not the efficiency values per se.

## 3.1. Waveform Comparison

This section compares the waveforms of the main voltage and current quantities of the system comprised by the power grid and the load. In this analysis, only Cases 1 and 2 are scrutinized so that a comparison of ac and dc voltage supply with the same rms value for the same connected load is performed.

The waveforms of the current consumed by the nonlinear load from the power grid point of view can be seen in **Figure 3**, where  $i_{g\_ac}$  relates to the ac power grid and  $i_{g\_dc}$  to the dc power grid. As expected, the input current of this type of load presents a distorted waveform when supplied by ac voltage, while presenting a constant value when supplied by dc voltage. Besides the difference in the waveforms, the current rms values also differ for the same rms supply voltage, being 1.1 A and 0.75 A for ac and dc voltage, respectively. This can be explained by





**Figure 5.** Output voltage of the load when supplied by ac voltage ( $v_{o\_ac}$ ) and when supplied by dc voltage ( $v_{o\_dc}$ ).

the consumption of reactive power in the ac case, which in dc does not exist.

Besides the differences in the grid current, the connection of this type of load to ac or dc power grids also results in differences in the dc-link voltage, i.e., the voltage rectified by the diode full-bridge ac-dc converter and the input voltage of the buck dc-dc converter. Figure 4 shows the waveforms of the dc-link voltage for the same load when supplied by an ac power grid ( $v_{dc ac}$ ) and when supplied by a dc power grid  $(v_{dc} dc)$ . As expected, the dc-link voltage in Case 1 exhibits a double grid frequency ripple (100 Hz) resultant from the ac-dc power conversion, while the ripple in Case 2 is negligible. Besides, the average value of the dc-link voltage is higher in the Case 1 (29.5 V) because the 24 V rms sinusoidal voltage has a peak value of 34 V. Nevertheless, in Case 2 occurs a voltage drop in the load input inductor  $(L_{\varrho})$ , which is inexistent in dc. The average value of the dc-link voltage in the Case 2 is 20.9 V, with the diodes voltage drops being the main source of voltage decrease with respect to the power grid voltage.

**Figure 5** shows the waveforms of the output voltage of the load for Case 1 ( $v_{o\_ac}$ ) and Case 2 ( $v_{o\_dc}$ ). The buck dc-dc converter is responsible for the synthetization of this voltage, in both cases controlling its value to 12 V. Although the ripple is small in both cases, it is even smaller in Case 2, since the constant dc-link voltage facilitates the control of the output voltage. Consequently, the ripple component of the output voltage in Case 2



Case	1 (ac voltage with ac-dc converter)	2 (dc voltage with ac-dc converter)	3 (dc voltage without ac-dc converter)
Input Active Power	17.9 W	18.1 W	15.0 W
Output Active Power	14.4 W	14.4 W	14.4 W
Efficiency	80.4%	79.6%	96.0%

Table 2. Power and efficiency comparison for the three cases obtained in simulation.

consists of switching ripple only. It should be noted that the same control strategy was applied in both cases.

# 3.2. Efficiency Comparison

The previous results compared the voltage and current waveforms of the power grid and the load for the same load being supplied with ac and dc voltages. In this section, Case 3 (load without input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage) is also analyzed and compared with the other two cases in terms of efficiency.

Table 2 presents a comparison in terms of input power, output power and efficiency for the three designed cases. Since the load output voltage is the same for the three cases (12 V), as well as the output resistor (10  $\Omega$ ), the output power is 14.4 W for all the cases. In terms of input active power, i.e., the active power absorbed from the power grid, it should be noted that Case 1 presents a lower value than Case 2 (17.9 W against 18.1 W) and, consequently, a higher efficiency (80.4% against 79.6%). This is justified by the fact that the power losses in the diodes of the ac-dc converter are 1.92 W in Case 1 and 2.32 W in Case 2. However, in Case 1 there is an apparent power of 26.7 VA, corresponding to a power factor of 0.67, which does not exist in Cases 2 and 3, since these are related to dc grids. Nonetheless, the highest efficiency is attained by removing the ac-dc converter (Case 3), resulting in a 96% efficiency for the same load supplied by a dc power grid.

# 4. Experimental Setup and Results

This section shows the developed experimental setup and the obtained results for the three cases, aiming to corroborate the simulation results. The experimental results were obtained in a similar way to the simulation results, i.e., the three cases were individually analyzed in terms of waveforms and efficiency, with the latter being compared among the cases. However, differently from the simulation results, three different load values were used, aiming to evaluate the three cases with different operating conditions.

The experimental setup used for this study can be seen in **Figure 6**. In order to step-down the power grid voltage, a 230 V / 30 V transformer was used, and the grid side inductor ( $L_g$ ) present in **Figure 1** was not used, since the



Figure 6. Laboratory workbench used for obtaining the experimental results.

Table 3. Experimental setup parameters.

Parameter	Value
ac Power Grid Voltage $(V_{g\_ac})$	30 V
ac Power Grid Frequency	50 Hz
dc Power Grid Voltage ( $V_{g\_dc}$ )	30 V
Output Voltage (V <sub>o</sub> )	20 V
dc-link Capacitor (C <sub>dc</sub> )	3.28 mF
Output Inductor (L <sub>o</sub> )	5 mH
Output Capacitor (C <sub>o</sub> )	10 mF
Output Resistor (R <sub>o</sub> )	26 $\Omega$ or 13 $\Omega$ or 8.7 $\Omega$
Switching Frequency	20 kHz

leakage inductance of the transformer is high enough to smooth the high di/dt of the load current, which is characteristic from the considered type of nonlinear load. Regarding the components that comprise the nonlinear load, the figure shows a diode full-bridge ac-dc converter (Comchip model GBPC5010), a buck dc-dc converter, which is comprised by IGBTs FGA25N120ANTD from the company ON Semiconductor and the respective internal antiparallel diodes, an inductor and a set of capacitors, and, finally, a resistive load. A dc power supply ISO-TECH model IPS2303 was used to emulate the dc power grid. In terms of measurement equipment, a precision wattmeter from the company Zimmer, model LMG95, was used to measure the power values both at the input and at the output of the load. A digital signal generator from the company GWInstek, model SFG-1013, was used to generate the gate signals of the buck dc-dc converter, which in turn was connected to an Avago HCPL3120 driver board in order to drive the IGBT gate. The waveform results were obtained through a Tektronix TPS 2024 digital oscilloscope, and the currents were measured by current probes Fluke i400 s and Prosys CP 35.









**Figure 8.** Obtained experimental results for Case 2 – load supplied by dc voltage: (a)  $R_o = 26 \Omega$ ; (b)  $R_o = 13 \Omega$ ; (c)  $R_o = 8.7 \Omega$ .



**Figure 9.** Obtained experimental results for Case 3 – load without the input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage: (a)  $R_o = 26 \Omega$ ; (b)  $R_o = 13 \Omega$ ; (c)  $R_o = 8.7 \Omega$ .

## 4.1. Waveform Comparison

This section shows the obtained results in terms of waveforms for the three cases, using three load values for each case. Each of these results shows the power grid voltage  $(v_g)$ , the input current  $(i_g)$ , the output voltage  $(v_o)$  and the output current  $(i_o)$ . Each of these variables is represented with the subscript \_ac for Case 1 and \_dc for Cases 2 and 3. The output resistive load  $(R_o)$  is 26  $\Omega$  for the figures listed with (**a**), 13  $\Omega$  for (**b**) and 8.7  $\Omega$  for (**c**), resulting in output powers of approximately 15 W, 30 W and 45 W, respectively, with a 20 V dc load voltage  $(V_o)$ .

Figure 7 shows the obtained results for Case 1 (load supplied by an ac voltage). As referred, a 230 V / 30 V transformer was employed to step-down the power grid voltage in order to emulate a low power rating nonlinear

load. It can be seen that the current  $i_{g_ac}$  is distorted, as expected, and its di/dt is similar to the one obtained in the simulation results, evidencing that the leakage inductance of the transformer is high enough to limit the di/dt of the load current. The output voltage  $(v_{o_ac})$  and current  $(i_{o_ac})$ present a slight 100 Hz ripple component due to the open loop control of the buck dc-dc converter, whereby the 5 mH inductor  $(L_o)$  and the 10 mF capacitor  $(C_o)$  are the only responsible parts for the ripple reduction.

**Figure 8** shows the obtained results for Case 2 (load supplied by a dc voltage). As referred, the dc power grid was emulated by a dc power supply. It can be seen that the current  $i_{g\_dc}$  has a 20 kHz ripple component, which is due to nature of the buck dc-dc converter. In fact, this ripple is largely attenuated by the 3.28 mF capacitor ( $C_{dc}$ ), otherwise the input current of the buck dc-dc converter would be pulsed. As it can be seen, no visible ripple is presented in the output quantities  $v_{o\_dc}$  and  $i_{o\_dc}$ .



I U 4 interaction 4 inter	0.50 s Senc I Active		0.50 s <b>isync</b> Active Norml Local	I ∢ hadaaa:⇒ + 4 hada	0.50 s Sync I Active Norm1 Local	I U V hadaan 🗠 🕨 🕯 hada			0.50 s Sync I Active	I (Internet ) + (Inter	0.50 s Hsync Active
Itrms	0.87912 A	Itrms	0.74910 A	Itrms	1.65754 A	Itrms	1.47469 8	Itrms	2.24249 A	Itrms	2.16791 A
Utrms	30.3701 U	Utrms	20.0356 U	Utrms	30.1293 U	Utrms	19.9264 U	Utrms	29.7868 V	Utrms	19.8958 U
Р	17.474 W	Р	15.0329 W	Р	34.953 W	Р	29.3852 W	Р	52.554 ₩	Р	43.1305 W
S	26.699 VA	S	15.0517 UA	S	49.941 UA	S	29.3853 UA	S	66.796 VA	S	43.1305 VA
0	20.186 var	Q	0.0589 var	0	35.670 var	0	0.0757 var	0	41.229 var	0	0.0827 var
PF	0.65449	PF	0.99999	PF	0.69989	PF	1.00000	PF	0.78678	PF	1.00000
f	49.9797 Hz	f		f	50.0059 Hz	f		f	49.9918 Hz	f	
Z	34.5459 0	Z	26.7859 0	Z	18.1771 9	Z	13.5123 0	Z	13.2829 0	Z	9.17700 0
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)
Figur	e 10. Powers.	voltad	es and currents	s obtai	ned for Case 1	- load	d supplied by a	c volt	age: (a) Input a	and (b)	Output values

**igure 10.** Powers, voltages and currents obtained for Case 1 – load supplied by ac voltage: (a) Input and (b) Output values for  $R_o = 26 \ \Omega$ ; (c) Input and (d) Output values for  $R_o = 13 \ \Omega$ ; (e) Input and (f) Output values for  $R_o = 8.7 \ \Omega$ .

( SUS Hayne Hetty I U.SUS Hayne Hetty I U.SUS Hayne Hetty I Horen I Local	A manual + 4 minute + 1 minute +	0.50 s Norma Active	HE ROLLING ROLLING	I U 0.50 s Assand Acti ( ⊐)( ⇔) → Norml Loca
Itrms         0.63899         A         Itrms         0.75774         A           Utrms         30.0653         U         Utrms         20.2679         U           P         19.1831         U         P         15.3577         U           S         19.2115         UA         S         15.3577         UA           O         1.08436         Uar         Q         0.8327         Uar           PF         0.99852         PF         1.08080         Uar         Q           f          PF         1.08080         Z         Z         26.7478         0		1.46913 A Itrr	IS 1.78450 A	Itrms 2.12723 A Utrms 19.5166 U P 61.5188 W S 61.5186 UA Q 8.8563 Var PF 1.80808 f
(a) (b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)

**Figure 11.** Powers, voltages and currents obtained for Case 2 – load supplied by dc voltage: (a) Input and (b) Output values for  $R_o = 26 \Omega$ ; (c) Input and (d) Output values for  $R_o = 13 \Omega$ ; (e) Input and (f) Output values for  $R_o = 8.7 \Omega$ .

IU ∢internated ≽∢inte	0.50 s Hsync Active		0.50 s Hayne Activ		0.50 s Async Act.		0.50 s Heyne Acti	ve I U I thataar==>that	0.50 s isync Active		0.50 s Saync Active
Itrms	565.966 mA		0.76062 A		1.09462 A		1.47513 A		1.58096 A		2.13739 A
Utrms	30.0327 V	Utrms	20.3375 U	Utrms	29.9622 U	Utrms	19.9188 V	Utrms	29.9147 U	Utrms	19.6080 U
P	16.9435 W	P	15.4691 W	Р	32.6401 W	Р	29.3829 W	Р	47.093 W	P	41.9099 W
S	16.9955 UA	S	15.4691 VA	S	32.7973 VA	S	29.3829 VA	S	47.294 UA	S	41.9099 VA
Q	1.3168 var	0	0.0308 var	Q	3.2070 var	Q	0.0494 var	Q	4.352 var	Q	0.0625 var
PF	0.99700	PF	1.00000	PF	0.99521	PF	1.00000	PF	0.99576	PF	1.00000
f		F		f		f		F		f	
Z	53.0242 0	Z	26.7381 9	Z	27.3722 0	Z	13.5031 0	Z	18.9219 ft	Z	9.17380 N
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)

**Figure 12.** Powers, voltages and currents obtained for Case 3 – load without input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage: (a) Input and (b) Output values for  $R_o = 26 \Omega$ ; (c) Input and (d) Output values for  $R_o = 13 \Omega$ ; (e) Input and (f) Output values for  $R_o = 8.7 \Omega$ .

**Figure 9** shows the obtained results for Case 3 (load without input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage). The waveforms are very similar to those of **Figure 8**, but the registered mean values differ essentially in the input current  $(i_{g\_dc})$ . For the same output power, it can be seen that this current is higher for Case 2 than Case 3, which reflects in a lower input power for the same output power and, hence, a higher efficiency for Case 3, as it can be analyzed in the next section.

# 4.2. Efficiency Comparison

This section presents the obtained results in terms of efficiency for the operating conditions of the previous sections. For such purpose, a precision wattmeter was used, as aforementioned, measuring values of voltage, current, active power and apparent power, among others. For each case, and for each load, these values were measured both at the input (grid side) and at the output (load side), allowing to determine the efficiency for each situation.

The values registered by the wattmeter for both input and output are shown in **Figure 10**, **Figure 11** and **Figure 12** for Cases 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Based on these figures, Table 4 shows the calculated efficiency for each case and load, similarly to Table 2 for the simulation results. Comparatively to these results, the experimental efficiency values are slightly lower. Nevertheless, as referred for the simulation results, the focus is to compare the efficiency values along the different cases, and, similarly to the simulation, the highest efficiency is obtained in Case 3. Also in accordance with the simulation are the higher values obtained for Case 1 with respect to Case 2. However, it can be seen that the decrease in efficiency with the increasing output power is more significant for Case 1 than for Case 2 (3.9% efficiency decrease against 2.5%), suggesting that, for higher power values, Case 2 would be more efficient than Case 1. Nonetheless, Case 1 presents a power factor of 0.65 for the  $R_o = 26 \Omega$  and 0.79 for the  $R_o = 8.7 \Omega$ , while the lowest value of power factor registered for Cases 2 and 3 is 0.995, which is a consequence of the input current ripple of the buck dc-dc converter. Nonetheless, the efficiency values obtained with the experimental setup show a proper match with the efficiency values obtained in the simulation, validating the higher efficiency of Case 3 and, therefore, the convenience of adapt this type of electric load to be supplied only by dc voltages.

# 5. Conclusions

This paper presented an analysis of a typical nonlinear load used in domestic appliances and its behavior when connected to an ac power grid and to a dc power grid, both with the same rms voltage value. The considered load was a diode full-bridge ac-dc converter followed by a buck dc-dc converter, representing a typical power supply that can be found in computers and battery chargers, among other electronic domestic appliances. Three distinct cases were considered, namely: (1) Load supplied by ac voltage; (2) Load supplied by dc voltage; (3) Load without the input ac-dc converter supplied by dc voltage. Simulation models were developed considering realistic database models of the power semiconductors used in this



Output Power	1 (ac voltage with ac-dc converter)	2 (dc voltage with ac-dc converter)	3 (dc voltage without ac-dc converter)
15 W	86.0%	80.1%	91.3%
30 W	84.1%	78.9%	90.0%
45 W	82.1%	77.6%	89.0%

 Table 4. Power and efficiency comparison for the three cases obtained with the experimental setup.

type of load, and the attained simulation results aimed to perform a comparison in terms of voltage and current waveforms, and efficiency. This comparison based in simulations was feasible due to the use of the database models of the power semiconductors. An experimental setup was assembled in order to perform the comparison with real operating conditions, and the obtained results matched the simulation results. It was seen that efficiency can be significantly improved (from 80% to around 90%) in a dc power grid by simply removing the input ac-dc converter of the analyzed type of nonlinear load (Case 3). This fact corroborates the feasibility of dc smart homes and dc grids, making them more suitable, not only from the low and medium power renewable energy generation and from energy storage systems point of view, but also from the perspective of the vast majority of domestic appliances.

#### Acknowledgements.

This work has been supported by COMPETE: POCI-01-0145– FEDER–007043 and FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia within the Project Scope: UID/CEC/00319/2013. This work is financed by the ERDF – European Regional Development Fund through the Operational Programme for Competitiveness and Internationalisation – COMPETE 2020 Programme, and by National Funds through the Portuguese funding agency, FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, within project SAICTPAC/0004/2015 – POCI – 01–0145– FEDER–016434. Mr. Tiago Sousa is supported by the doctoral scholarship SFRH/BD/134353/2017 granted by the Portuguese FCT agency.

## References

- R. Foerst, G. Heyner, K. Kanngiesser, and H. Waldmann, "Multiterminal Operation of HVDC Converter Stations," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-88, no. 7, pp. 1042–1052, Jul. 1969.
- [2] C. Dewey, F. Ellert, T. Lee, and C. TITUS, "Development of Experimental 20-kY, 36-MW Solid-State Converters for HVDC Systems," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-87, no. 4, pp. 1058–1066, Apr. 1968.
- [3] F. Hirsch and E. Schafer, "Progress Report on the HVDC Test Line of the 400 kV-Forschungsgemeinschaft: Corona Losses and Radio Interference," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-88, no. 7, pp. 1061–1069, Jul. 1969.

- [4] N. Hingorani, "Transient Overvoltage on a Bipolar HVDC Overhead Line Caused by DC Line Faults," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-89, no. 4, pp. 592–610, Apr. 1970.
- [5] J. Reeve, J. Baron, and P. Krishnayya, "A General Approach to Harmonic Current Generation by HVDC Converters," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-88, no. 7, pp. 989–995, Jul. 1969.
- [6] J. S. Hess and L. R. Rice, "Three Megawatt HVDC Transmission Simulator," IEEE Transactions on Industry and General Applications, vol. IGA-3, no. 6, pp. 531–537, Nov. 1967.
- [7] A. Ekstrom and G. Liss, "A Refined HVDC Control System," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-89, no. 5, pp. 723–732, May 1970.
- [8] T. Horigome, K. Kurokawa, K. Kishi, and K. Ozu, "A 100kV thyristor converter for high-voltage dc transmission," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 809–815, Sep. 1970.
- [9] C. Heising and R. Ringlee, "Prediction of Reliability and Availability of HVDC Valve and HVDC Terminal," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. PAS-89, no. 4, pp. 619–624, Apr. 1970.
- [10] N. G. Hingorani, "High-voltage DC transmission: a power electronics workhorse," IEEE Spectrum, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 63–72, Apr. 1996.
- [11] T. J. Hammons et al., "Role of HVDC transmission in future energy development," IEEE Power Engineering Review, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 10–25, Feb. 2000.
- [12] N. A. Belda, C. A. Plet, and R. P. P. Smeets, "Analysis of Faults in Multiterminal HVDC Grid for Definition of Test Requirements of HVDC Circuit Breakers," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 403– 411, Feb. 2018.
- [13] N. Flourentzou, V. G. Agelidis, and G. D. Demetriades, "VSC-Based HVDC Power Transmission Systems: An Overview," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 592–602, Mar. 2009.
- [14] C. M. Franck, "HVDC Circuit Breakers: A Review Identifying Future Research Needs," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 998–1007, Apr. 2011.
- [15] C. Guo, Y. Zhang, A. M. Gole, and C. Zhao, "Analysis of Dual-Infeed HVDC With LCC–HVDC and VSC–HVDC," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1529–1537, Jul. 2012.
- [16] G. Liu, F. Xu, Z. Xu, Z. Zhang, and G. Tang, "Assembly HVDC Breaker for HVDC Grids With Modular Multilevel Converters," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 931–941, Feb. 2017.
- [17] Y. Liu and Z. Chen, "A Flexible Power Control Method of VSC-HVDC Link for the Enhancement of Effective Short-Circuit Ratio in a Hybrid Multi-Infeed HVDC System," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 1568–1581, May 2013.
- [18] S.-M. Baek, H.-J. Kim, J.-W. Cho, and H.-S. Ryoo, "Cryogenic Electrical Insulation Characteristics of Solid Insulator for the HVDC HTS Cable," IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 1–4, Jun. 2018.
- [19] Taesik Nam, Jae Woong Shim, and Kyeon Hur, "Design and Operation of Double SMES Coils for Variable Power System Through VSC-HVDC Connections," IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 5701004–5701004, Jun. 2013.
- [20] J. G. Kim et al., "Loss characteristic analysis of HTS DC power cable using LCC based DC transmission system,"



IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 3–6, 2012.

- [21] B. Malek and B. K. Johnson, "Branch Current Control on a Superconducting DC Grid," IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 5401005–5401005, Jun. 2013.
- [22] Q. Yang, S. Le Blond, F. Liang, W. Yuan, M. Zhang, and J. Li, "Design and Application of Superconducting Fault Current Limiter in a Multiterminal HVDC System," IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1–5, Jun. 2017.
- [23] Bin Xiang, Zhiyuan Liu, Yingsan Geng, and S. Yanabu, "DC Circuit Breaker Using Superconductor for Current Limiting," IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 1–7, Apr. 2015.
- [24] A. Marian, S. Holé, F. Lesur, M. Tropeano, and C. E. Bruzek, "Validation of the superconducting and insulating components of a high-power HVDC cable," IEEE Electrical Insulation Magazine, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 26–36, Jan. 2018.
- [25] IEEE Standards Association, "IEEE Recommended Practice and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems," in IEEE Std 519-2014 (Revision of IEEE Std 519-1992), vol. 2014, pp. 1–29, 2014.
- [26] J. H. R. Enslin and P. J. M. Heskes, "Harmonic Interaction Between a Large Number of Distributed Power Inverters and the Distribution Network," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1586–1593, Nov. 2004.
- [27] W. K. A. Gonçalves, J. C. De Oliveira, and V. L. S. Franco, "Harmonics Produced by Advanced Static Var Compensator under Electric Power Supply Conditions with Loss of Quality," International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies Proceedings, pp. 660–665, 2000.
- [28] A. M. Blanco, R. Stiegler, and J. Meyer, "Power quality disturbances caused by modern lighting equipment (CFL and LED)," in 2013 IEEE Grenoble Conference, 2013, pp. 1–6.
- [29] R. C. Dugan, M. F. McGranaghan, S. Santoso, and H. W. Beaty, Electrical Power Systems Quality, 3rd Editio. 2004.
- [30] W. M. Grady, M. J. Samotyj, and A. H. Noyola, "Survey of active power line conditioning methodologies," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1536– 1542, Jul. 1990.
- [31] G. A. Taylor, "Power quality hardware solutions for distribution systems: Custom Power," in IEE North Eastern Centre Power Section Symposium on the Reliability, Security and Power Quality of Distribution Systems, 1995, vol. 1995, pp. 1–9.
- [32] B. Singh, K. Al-Haddad, and A. Chandra, "A review of active filters for power quality improvement," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 960–971, 1999.
- [33] M. M. Morcos and J. C. Gomez, "Electric power qualitythe strong connection with power electronics," IEEE Power and Energy Magazine, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 18–25, 2003.
- [34] V. Khadkikar, "Enhancing Electric Power Quality Using UPQC: A Comprehensive Overview," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 2284–2297, May 2012.
- [35] T. Dragicevic, J. C. Vasquez, J. M. Guerrero, and D. Skrlec, "Advanced LVDC Electrical Power Architectures and Microgrids: A step toward a new generation of power

distribution networks.," IEEE Electrification Magazine, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 54–65, Mar. 2014.

- [36] A. Kwasinski, "Quantitative Evaluation of DC Microgrids Availability: Effects of System Architecture and Converter Topology Design Choices," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 835–851, Mar. 2011.
- [37] S. Lu, L. Wang, T.-M. Lo, and A. V. Prokhorov, "Integration of Wind Power and Wave Power Generation Systems Using a DC Microgrid," IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 2753–2761, Jul. 2015.
- [38] B. T. Patterson, "DC, Come Home: DC Microgrids and the Birth of the 'Enernet," IEEE Power and Energy Magazine, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 60–69, 2012.
- [39] E. Rodriguez-Diaz, J. C. Vasquez, and J. M. Guerrero, "Intelligent DC Homes in Future Sustainable Energy Systems: When efficiency and intelligence work together," IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 74–80, Jan. 2016.
- [40] A. Ghazanfari and Y. A.-R. I. Mohamed, "Decentralized Cooperative Control for Smart DC Home with DC Fault Handling Capability," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1–1, 2017.
- [41] P. Fairley, "DC Versus AC: The Second War of Currents Has Already Begun [In My View]," IEEE Power and Energy Magazine, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 104–103, Nov. 2012.

