Review Of The Policy Implications Of Artificial Intelligence (Ai) In The Indonesian Government: Prospects And Challenges

Augustin Rina Herawati[1], Novieta H Sari[2], Azaria Eda Pradana[3]

{augustinrina@lecturer.undip.ac.id[1], novieta.sari@newcastle.ac.uk[2], azariaeda11@lecturer.undip.ac.id[3]}

Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia[1,3],
Newcastle University, Agriculture Building Newcastle Upon Tyne NE1 7RU United Kingdom[2]

Abstract. This review examines the policy implications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Indonesia, focusing on the regulatory landscape, opportunities, and challenges. In response to rapid technological advancements, particularly within the fourth industrial revolution, the Indonesian government has enacted several key laws, including the Electronic Information and Transactions Law and the Data Protection Law. In 2023, the Indonesian Ministry of Communication and Informatics issued Circular Letter Number 9 of 2023 concerning Artificial Intelligence Ethics, serving as a guideline for the ethical use of AI and assisting organizations in formulating internal policies for AI utilization. Despite these efforts, challenges persist, such as addressing ethical concerns—including privacy, misinformation, and algorithmic bias—and aligning with global regulatory standards. This review analyses Indonesia's current regulatory framework, evaluates the readiness to navigate ethical and practical challenges, and discusses the potential for developing a robust framework that supports innovation while ensuring the responsible use of AI.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Indonesia policy, technology advancements

1 Introduction

Indonesia, with the largest economy in Southeast Asia, possesses many of the essential components to become a digital leader [1]. Its robust technology start-up ecosystem, which ranks second only to Singapore within the region, highlights Indonesia's proactive stance on digital transformation. The implementation of AI is projected to add approximately $366 billion to Indonesia's GDP by 2030, underscoring the transformative economic potential of AI integration [2]. This potential is reinforced by the Indonesian government's ambitious digital transformation agenda. Spearheaded by the Ministry of Communication and Informatics, the Indonesia Digital Roadmap (2021-2024) focuses on four strategic domains: modernizing digital infrastructure, accelerating digital government, strengthening the digital economy—particularly for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs)—and fostering a digital society that supports innovation and skills development in areas like cloud computing and AI [1]. The Indonesian government's commitment to AI is evident in its pioneering National Strategy on Artificial Intelligence 2020-2045, which was published in August 2020, making Indonesia the second country in ASEAN, after Singapore, to adopt a national AI strategy [3,4]. This strategic

ICAS 2024, November 12, Bandung, Indonesia

DOI 10.4108/eai.12-11-2024.2358347

framework, which emphasizes education, research, health services, food security, mobility, and smart cities, includes 186 programs aimed at transforming Indonesia from a natural resource-based economy to one driven by innovation [5]. The AI strategy also emphasizes developing advanced technologies, including the Internet of Things (IoT), robotics, augmented reality, and 3D printing, to bolster existing state projects that already incorporate AI [6].

Further reflecting Indonesia's commitment to responsible AI deployment, the Ministry of Communication and Informatics issued Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023, which, alongside the Financial Services Authority's (OJK) Ethical Guidelines on AI for fintech, establishes ethical standards for AI application across sectors [7]. These regulations underscore Indonesia's dedication to ethical AI practices, promoting transparency, accountability, and fairness as part of the 2020-2045 National AI Strategy. This aligns with Indonesia's core values, such as Pancasila, and highlights the government's intention to build a trusted digital ecosystem that safeguards consumer rights and fosters AI innovation. However, Indonesia faces challenges that could impede its progress towards becoming a digital leader. Limited connectivity in rural areas, a shortage of skilled AI professionals, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities are persistent issues [8]. Although initiatives like the "Satu Data Indonesia" program support data sharing and digital collaboration, addressing infrastructure and talent gaps remains crucial. By investing in AI education, enhancing digital infrastructure, and encouraging public-private collaborations, Indonesia can ensure that its AI-driven transformation is inclusive, resilient, and aligned with global standards. So, this study aims to critically analyze the policy implications of AI within the Indonesian government, providing insights into how Indonesia can strengthen its AI governance framework to support innovation and ensure public trust.

2 Methodology

The methodology for this study involves a comprehensive literature review and policy analysis focusing on the current and emerging policies related to AI in Indonesia. This approach combines qualitative research techniques to gather, evaluate, and synthesize information from a range of primary and secondary sources, including government policy documents, academic literature, and international frameworks relevant to AI governance. By adopting a multi-source analysis method, this study aims to capture the nuances of Indonesia's AI regulatory framework, the ethical guidelines under development, and the national strategy's alignment with global standards. Initially, the study identifies and examines the primary legal instruments shaping Indonesia's AI policy landscape. These include key regulations, such as the National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence 2020-2045, the Ministry of Communication and Informatics Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023 on AI Ethics, and sector-specific guidelines issued by the Financial Services Authority for AI applications in financial technology. An in-depth document analysis of these policies allows for a clear understanding of the regulatory structure that governs AI deployment in Indonesia, covering aspects such as ethical standards, accountability measures, and specific sectoral applications.

A comparative analysis is conducted with AI policies from various jurisdictions, including the European Union, the United States, Singapore, Australia, and Finland. This comparison aims to contextualize Indonesia's approach to AI governance within the broader international landscape and highlight best practices that Indonesia could adopt or adapt. The comparative analysis is guided by ethical values, regulatory structures, and the maturity of AI policies in each of these jurisdictions. A SWOT analysis is also employed to evaluate the strengths,

weaknesses, opportunities, and threats related to AI implementation in Indonesia. This part of the methodology assesses Indonesia's current readiness for AI integration, with a focus on infrastructural and human resource capacity, policy gaps, and potential areas of improvement. The SWOT analysis is structured according to parameters established by the World Economic Forum's framework for national AI development, covering digital infrastructure, workforce skills, and regulatory maturity. Finally, insights and recommendations are formulated based on findings from the literature review, document analysis, and SWOT evaluation. These recommendations aim to guide policymakers in enhancing Indonesia's AI governance framework, ensuring it supports both innovation and ethical considerations, while promoting alignment with international standards for trustworthy AI practices.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Ethical guidelines for the implementation of AI in Indonesia

In 2023, Indonesia established ethical guidelines for the application of AI through the Ministry of Communication and Informatics (MOCI) Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023 and the Financial Services Authority Ethical Guidelines on Responsible and Trustworthy AI in the Financial Technology (Fintech) Industry [7,9]. These guidelines reflect Indonesia's growing commitment to responsible AI use and provide structured approaches for ethical AI practices across various sectors, setting an important foundation for further AI governance in Indonesia. The MOCI AI Circular Letter, issued on December 19, 2023, is a key policy instrument aimed at both public and private electronic system operators involved in AI programming activities. It provides ethical standards not only for businesses classified under the Indonesian Business Classification (KBLI) Code 62015, specific to AI programming, but also extends to any entity using AI-based programming. These guidelines align with MOCI Regulation No. 3 of 2021, which mandates internal AI ethics policies for businesses within this classification, promoting principles of transparency, accountability, and fairness [7]. The guidelines emphasize AI's potential benefits in enhancing productivity, improving customer services, and optimizing operations, particularly in the creative, health, and educational sectors. By issuing this circular, MOCI underscores the importance of incorporating ethical considerations into AI practices, signaling Indonesia's commitment to responsible AI governance as part of the 2020-2045 National AI Strategy [3].

In the financial technology sector, the OJK issued ethical guidelines for AI in fintech, establishing a code of conduct for fintech players to follow. The guidelines are based on values such as Pancasila, which emphasizes alignment with national interests and ethical responsibilities, promoting AI applications that are beneficial, fair, accountable, transparent, and secure. These principles advocate for a "human-on-the-loop" approach to ensure that operators can maintain control and explain AI processes to consumers, supporting consumer protection by requiring fintech entities to implement strong recovery mechanisms against cyber-attacks. OJK's guidelines were inspired by international frameworks, including the OECD and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), reflecting a global perspective on ethical AI use in fintech. Indonesia's approach to ethical AI governance has been proactive, yet it diverges from the frameworks seen in jurisdictions such as the European Union (EU), Australia, and Singapore. The EU is working on the draft EU AI Act, a regulatory framework classifying AI applications by risk tiers, including stringent regulations for high-risk applications like credit scoring (SETKAB). While Australia does not have specific AI

regulations for fintech, industry-led initiatives, such as the Australian Human Rights Commission's guidance on AI and discrimination in insurance, complement existing technology-neutral laws to address AI ethics. Similarly, Singapore's Veritas Initiative supports AI ethics in finance by evaluating AI solutions against principles of fairness, ethics, accountability, and transparency (FEAT), integrated within Singapore's National AI Strategy alongside the Monetary Authority of Singapore [7] (See Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of Ethical Values across Different Jurisdictions

Jurisdiction	Key Ethical Values	References
Indonesia (MOCI Circular Letter)	Emphasizes values such as fairness, transparency, accountability, and inclusiveness in AI applications, ensuring that AI does not replace human-centered decision-making in critical areas and avoids discriminatory practices. Specific sectors, including healthcare, education, and creative industries, are highlighted for AI's role in enhancing productivity and personalization.	[7]
Indonesia (OJK Fintech Guidelines)	Sets forth ethical principles based on national values like Pancasila, stressing the importance of ethical responsibility, consumer benefit, transparency, fairness, and security. AI in fintech is encouraged to include mechanisms to allow human oversight, uphold fairness, avoid discrimination, and ensure robustness against cyber threats.	[7]
European Union (EU)	Focuses on a risk-based framework with a tiered approach, classifying AI applications by potential risk level. High-risk applications, such as those involving credit scoring, would be subject to strict regulatory requirements to ensure fairness, transparency, and accountability, particularly in consumer-facing scenarios.	[10]
Australia	Promotes industry-led guidelines on ethical AI, emphasizing principles of fairness, non-discrimination, and human rights. Though not specific to AI, existing laws address general technology ethics. Initiatives also focus on AI's fair use, particularly in sectors like insurance and financial services.	[11]
Singapore (Veritas Initiative)	The Veritas Initiative supports ethical AI in finance by evaluating AI solutions using the principles of fairness, ethics, accountability, and transparency (FEAT). Part of the National AI Strategy, this initiative includes guidelines for financial institutions to manage AI applications responsibly and align them with governance standards.	[12]

3.2 Regulations related to the implementation of AI in Indonesia

Indonesia's regulatory framework for AI reflects a forward-thinking approach, emphasizing ethical standards, legal responsibilities, and targeted sectoral applications. This structure supports the country's ambition to harness AI for socio-economic growth while addressing the challenges associated with AI technology. The National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence 2020-2045 serves as Indonesia's foundational framework for AI, establishing goals and directions for AI integration across various sectors. The strategy prioritizes key areas such as healthcare, bureaucratic reform, education, food security, and smart city development, envisioning AI as a driver of innovation and efficiency within these fields. Prepared by the Indonesian Agency for the Assessment and Application of Technology (BPPT), Stranas KA aligns with Indonesia's broader vision for sustainable growth and technological advancement

[3]. In addition to strategic goals, Indonesia has also set ethical guidelines to address the responsible use of AI. The Ministry of Communication and Informatics Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023 introduces ethical standards that emphasize fairness, transparency, and accountability in AI applications. This policy aims to mitigate potential biases and enhance public trust in AI, ensuring that the technology serves society's best interests. The guidelines underline Indonesia's commitment to ethical AI practices, particularly as technology becomes more deeply integrated into daily life and decision-making processes [7].

The financial sector, which is rapidly adopting AI, has specific ethical standards laid out by the Financial Services Authority (OJK). The OJK's Ethical Guidelines on AI in Fintech focus on responsible AI use within financial technology, addressing issues such as data privacy, fairness, and accountability. These guidelines ensure that fintech companies operate within an ethical framework, protecting consumers and promoting trust in AI-powered financial services [7]. In terms of legal responsibilities, Government Regulation No. 71 of 2019 (GR 71/2019) defines the role and obligations of electronic agents, including AI systems, particularly concerning electronic transactions. The regulation addresses liability issues, clarifying the responsibilities of AI-driven systems in cases of transactional discrepancies or errors, thereby enhancing legal certainty in the digital landscape [13]. Law No. 11 of 2008 on Electronic Information and Transactions (EIT Law) also provides a broader legal framework for electronic transactions in Indonesia, encompassing AI applications and electronic agents. This law offers foundational guidelines for digital interactions and data exchange, establishing legal standards that support secure and trustworthy AI-powered transactions in Indonesia [14] (See Table 2).

Table 2. Regulations related to the Implementation of AI in Indonesia

Policy	Description	References
National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence 2020-2045	Framework setting Indonesia's AI development goals focusing on health, bureaucracy, education, food security, and smart cities.	[3]
Ministry of Communication and Informatics Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023	Ethical guidelines for AI, emphasizing fairness, transparency, and accountability.	[7]
Financial Services Authority (OJK) Ethical Guidelines on AI in Fintech	Guidelines promoting responsible AI practices in the financial technology industry.	[7]
Government Regulation No. 71 of 2019 (GR 71/2019)	Regulation addressing electronic agents and AI, particularly regarding legal responsibilities in electronic transactions.	[13]
Law No. 11 of 2008 on Electronic Information and Transactions (EIT Law)	Legal framework for electronic transactions, including provisions related to AI and electronic agents.	[14]

3.3 Comparison of AI Regulations in other Countries

Countries around the world are actively developing national AI strategies to guide stakeholders in applying AI technology in alignment with national policies and ethical standards. A clear national strategy for AI is essential, as it enables a country to build AI advancements fully, ensuring that AI is used responsibly and in alignment with core national values [3].

3.3.1 EU

In the EU, regulations such as the GDPR and the proposed AI Act emphasize a risk-based approach, wherein explainability of AI decisions is mandated primarily for high-risk AI systems to safeguard fundamental rights [15]. However, the lack of specific, technical guidance on what constitutes a sufficient explanation and how it should be implemented introduces complexities that may limit the regulation's effectiveness in practical applications [15]. Furthermore, debates over GDPR's "right to an explanation" highlight the ambiguities around enforceable explanations in automated decision-making, potentially limiting its impact on AI transparency [16].

3.3.2 USA

The USA has taken a contrasting approach, focusing more on self-regulation and innovation rather than stringent legal mandates. While the AI Bill of Rights blueprint offers guidelines for protecting civil rights, these measures are largely voluntary, with the notable exception of sector-specific guidelines from agencies like the NIST, which offer principles for explainable AI [15]. In addition, the proposed Algorithmic Accountability Act aims to introduce requirements for transparency and accountability, particularly for high-impact AI systems, yet its effectiveness will depend on the robustness of future implementation [17].

3.3.3 UK

The UK's approach has similarly favored providing guidance over strict regulations, particularly in the context of AI's role within public services. The collaboration between the ICO and the Alan Turing Institute has produced practical tools for organizations to ensure AI decisions are explainable and compliant with data protection laws. These measures, although comprehensive in scope, emphasize best practices rather than legally binding standards, which could create discrepancies in enforcement and effectiveness [18].

3.3.4 G20

The G20 AI Principles, established at the 2019 Osaka Summit, set international standards for AI development, focusing on inclusive growth, human-centered values, transparency, robustness, and accountability [19]. These principles highlight the need for AI policies that support sustainable development and ethical governance. For Indonesia, aligning with the G20's five recommendations—investment in AI R&D, fostering a digital AI ecosystem, supportive policies, human capacity building, and international cooperation—will be essential in establishing a trustworthy AI framework [3]. By integrating these principles with Pancasila values, Indonesia can ensure AI development that is both competitive and ethically responsible.

3.3.5 Singapore

Singapore's National AI Strategy (NAIS) is a model for rapid and effective AI deployment, with a dedicated National AI Office (NAIO) to coordinate AI efforts across sectors. Initially launched with four key initiatives, NAIS focuses on fundamental AI research, multidisciplinary "Grand Challenges" in health, urban solutions, and finance, scalable industry-based AI experiments, and a nine-month apprenticeship to develop AI talent [3]. In 2018, Singapore introduced additional measures to ensure ethical AI use, forming an advisory council and establishing frameworks for AI governance and data ethics. These initiatives underscore Singapore's strategic approach to creating a smart nation, while also presenting collaboration opportunities with Indonesia in research and industry innovation [20].

3.3.6 Finland

Finland's AI strategy centers on the First Artificial Intelligence Accelerator (FAIA), which supports AI deployment across public organizations in Nordic countries, reflecting a collaborative approach. Finland's Silo.Ai laboratory, now one of the largest in the Nordic region, emphasizes innovation in smart society and industry, having produced over 200 AI programs by 2023 [20]. This focus on creating a broad AI ecosystem exemplifies Finland's strategy for long-term, scalable AI integration, benefiting both public and private sectors. Indonesia could draw insights from Finland's model, particularly in building local AI ecosystems and fostering regional cooperation.

3.4 SWOT analysis of the AI implementation in Indonesia

The national SWOT analysis for AI in Indonesia is structured according to strategic parameters established by the World Economic Forum's framework for national AI development. For evaluating Strengths and Weaknesses, key parameters include (1) Workforce, (2) Digitalization/Infrastructure, (3) Industry-University/Research Collaboration, (4) Training Capacity, and (5) Regulations. To assess Opportunities and Threats, the parameters focus on (1) Innovation Ecosystem, (2) Industry Adoption, (3) Public Sector Adoption, and (4) International Collaboration [3].

Table 3. SWOT Analysis of the AI Implementation in Indonesia

Strengths	Weaknesses
- Demographic Advantage: Indonesia has a young population with increasing interest in AI-related fields, including IT, Computer Science, and other sciences, providing a strong foundation for AI workforce development.	- Skill Gap: Graduates lack adequate preparation in AI-related fields, and there are relatively few AI experts and professors, limiting capacity for advanced AI work.
- Linguistic Diversity: The national language, Bahasa Indonesia, and various regional languages are valuable assets for AI language modeling and localized applications.	- Educational & Infrastructure Limitations: Limited resources and infrastructure in educational institutions and research centers, hindering comprehensive AI research, experimentation, and skills development.

Strengths	Weaknesses
- Growing Digital Infrastructure: Expanding national connectivity and internet services enable cloud computing, which is essential for AI. - Economic Scale: Indonesia is the largest digital economy in Southeast Asia, with projected growth fourfold by 2025, offering a significant market for AI deployment. - Government Support for Local Innovation: Initiatives like "Making Indonesia 4.0" promote AI industry and research collaborations, fostering a supportive innovation ecosystem. - Regulatory Foundation: Indonesia has ethical guidelines for AI (MOCI Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023, OJK's guidelines), emphasizing transparency, accountability, and fairness.	- Data Sharing Barriers: A lack of open datasets and a siloed data-sharing culture across sectors reduce the availability of data for AI training and innovation. - Regulatory Gaps: There are gaps in AI-specific ethics and policy frameworks, including the absence of a national AI standard and regulatory oversight body, limiting responsible AI governance. - Data & Cybersecurity Risks: Network security vulnerabilities, limited data regulations, and fragmented digital infrastructure increase the risk of data breaches and reduce public trust. - Institutional Silos: A lack of coordination between the public and private sectors, along with limited AI partnerships, restricts the full-scale adoption and innovation of AI in local industries.

Opportunities	Threats
- Conducive Environment for Innovation: Supported by "Satu Data Indonesia" and Vision 2045, with a focus on human development, sustainable growth, and strengthened governance through digital transformation. - Strategic Initiatives: National initiatives, including Making Indonesia 4.0 and the National Research Master Plan, prioritize AI in sectors like health, food security, smart cities, and education. - Smart City Development: Plans for a new smart, sustainable capital city offer a unique opportunity for integrating AI into urban planning, governance, and public service delivery. - Startup Ecosystem and International Collaboration: A conducive startup environment, along with partnerships with global institutions, enhances AI talent and research development. - High Demand for AI Expertise in Industry 4.0: Industrial sectors increasingly require AI skills, aligning with Indonesia's industry 4.0 goals and creating a talent development imperative. - Data-Driven Ecosystem: Programs like Satu Data Indonesia support data sharing, fostering a collaborative environment for AI development across government, academia, and industry.	- Dependence on Imported Technology: High reliance on foreign technology could limit domestic control, jeopardize data sovereignty, and hinder the development of a local AI ecosystem. - Dominance of Foreign Companies: Multinational corporations dominate key projects, overshadowing local startups and potentially diminishing public confidence in domestic AI solutions. - Risk of AI Misuse: Weak regulatory enforcement could lead to privacy violations and misuse of AI, reducing public trust and increasing risk of harmful outcomes. - Brain Drain: Skilled talent may leave for better opportunities abroad, limiting the pool of AI experts available domestically to support local initiatives. - Job Displacement Risks: AI disruption could lead to workforce displacement, necessitating comprehensive reskilling programs and legislative action to manage workforce transition and safeguard jobs. - Legislative Inconsistencies: Inconsistent enforcement of AI-related laws could hinder progress and innovation, affecting investor confidence and the ability to build a cohesive AI governance framework.

3.5 Prospects and Challenges

The policy implications of AI in the Indonesian government present both promising prospects and notable challenges, as explained by CSET (2021) [8]. Indonesia's AI strategy, driven by initiatives such as the National Strategy on Artificial Intelligence (2020-2045), has positioned the country as a potential leader in Southeast Asia's digital economy. With programs emphasizing healthcare, food security, and smart cities, Indonesia aims to transition from a resource-based economy to an innovation-driven one. The success of tech giants like Gojek and Tokopedia, which implement AI for service optimization, demonstrates the potential for AI to drive economic growth and enhance public services. Moreover, Indonesia's large and growing internet user base, alongside increasing smartphone penetration, provides a fertile foundation for AI integration across sectors. The government has also collaborated with private enterprises to establish AI research centers, such as the Tokopedia-UI AI Center of Excellence, to address talent development and innovation. These efforts underscore Indonesia's commitment to fostering a vibrant AI ecosystem that could position it competitively on the global stage.

However, Indonesia's AI policy landscape faces significant challenges, especially in terms of infrastructure, talent development, and cybersecurity. Indonesia's internet infrastructure remains underdeveloped, with digital connectivity still limited in many rural and remote areas. Although public-private collaborations have started to address this, the country remains behind regional competitors in network readiness. Furthermore, the shortage of domestic AI talent poses a major barrier. With relatively few graduates in AI-related fields, the demand for skilled workers is outstripping supply, leading companies to seek talent from limited sources, resulting in high turnover rates Additionally, cybersecurity presents another critical challenge. Cyber-attacks targeting Indonesia's key public and private sectors highlight the vulnerabilities in its digital infrastructure. This threat underscores the importance of developing robust cybersecurity policies and partnerships to protect data and AI systems. Without significant improvements in these areas, Indonesia may struggle to fully realize its AI ambitions while ensuring data security and public trust.

3.6 Indonesia Future AI Based on OECD AI Policy Observatory and Best Practices

Based on insights from the OECD AI Policy Observatory and best practices, Indonesia can develop future strategies to strengthen its AI policies in alignment with global standards. Here are potential strategies across critical areas [21]:

3.6.1 Establish a Coordinated AI Governance Body

Countries such as the UK and the US have established specialized AI governance bodies within their government structure, like the UK's Government Office for AI. Indonesia could benefit from a similar body to coordinate its AI policy across sectors, ensuring consistent adherence to ethical and operational standards. This body could oversee cross-sectoral initiatives, regulatory compliance, and public outreach to foster AI awareness.

3.6.2 Implement Robust Ethical and Accountability Standards

To enhance AI trustworthiness, Indonesia can draw on OECD's principles of transparency, security, and accountability by creating sector-specific AI ethical guidelines and accountability frameworks. Following the model of Canada's proposed Artificial Intelligence and Data Act (AIDA) and the EU's upcoming AI Act, Indonesia can develop a comprehensive AI regulatory framework that requires documentation and regular audits for AI systems to ensure compliance with ethical standards throughout their lifecycle.

3.6.3 Develop National AI Standards and Promote Regulatory Sandboxes

Indonesia currently lacks standardized guidelines for AI systems. By adopting national AI standards similar to ISO's ISO/IEC 23053 for AI systems and risk management frameworks, Indonesia can establish clear parameters for AI development and application. Moreover, creating regulatory sandboxes, like Spain's AI regulatory sandbox, would allow Indonesian firms to test AI solutions in controlled environments. This approach can foster innovation while allowing regulators to address legal uncertainties as AI applications evolve.

3.6.4 Expand Data Access and Digital Infrastructure

To support data-driven AI innovation, Indonesia should enhance data-sharing frameworks through initiatives like the "Satu Data Indonesia" policy. Emulating countries like France with its Health Data Hub, Indonesia could create centralized, open data resources that enable the development and testing of AI applications. Strengthening infrastructure for high-performance computing and data storage will further ensure that Indonesia can handle the demands of modern AI.

3.6.5 Enhance AI Talent Development and Workforce Readiness

Following the OECD's emphasis on AI skills and labor market transformation, Indonesia should invest in AI education and vocational training to bridge its skill gap. Programs similar to Singapore's AI for Industry initiative and Japan's Practical Guidebook for AI and Data Science can equip the workforce with AI-specific skills. Indonesia could also establish grants or incentives to retain talent domestically and develop educational frameworks that incorporate AI ethics, technical knowledge, and applied AI skills across levels.

3.6.6 Build International Collaboration and Innovation Ecosystems

Indonesia can foster international partnerships, as seen in collaborations like the Singapore-Australia Digital Economy Agreement. Joining international AI coalitions such as the Global Partnership on AI (GPAI) could give Indonesia access to global best practices and advanced

research opportunities. By creating a collaborative ecosystem involving government, academia, industry, and civil society, Indonesia can stimulate AI research and innovation that is both locally relevant and globally aligned.

4 Conclusion

The policy implications of AI in Indonesia reflect the country's growing commitment to becoming a digital leader in Southeast Asia. Through strategic initiatives like the National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence 2020-2045 and ethical guidelines issued by the Ministry of Communication and Informatics, Indonesia has laid a strong foundation for responsible AI deployment. These policies underscore the government's focus on aligning AI integration with ethical values, such as transparency, accountability, and inclusivity, while rooting them in foundational values like Pancasila. This approach highlights Indonesia's intent to foster AI innovation that serves societal interests while supporting economic growth. However, Indonesia faces considerable challenges that may limit its AI potential. Key issues include limited infrastructure, especially in rural areas, a shortage of skilled AI professionals, and persistent cybersecurity vulnerabilities. These barriers pose significant hurdles to achieving widespread, responsible AI adoption across all sectors and regions. Addressing these challenges requires strategic investments in digital infrastructure, comprehensive AI education programs, and strengthened cybersecurity frameworks. Without addressing these foundational gaps, Indonesia's digital transformation efforts risk being uneven, potentially leaving underserved regions and communities behind. A comparative analysis with AI policies in other countries, such as the European Union, the United States, Singapore, and Australia, reveals valuable insights for enhancing Indonesia's AI governance. By learning from best practices, including establishing specialized governance bodies, adopting sector-specific ethical standards, and promoting regulatory sandboxes, Indonesia can build a resilient, inclusive AI ecosystem that supports innovation while ensuring public trust. International collaboration and fostering a cohesive domestic innovation ecosystem will further bolster Indonesia's AI development, helping it align with global standards and stay competitive in the digital economy.

4.1 Recommendations

1. To fully realize the potential of AI in Indonesia, it is recommended that policymakers continue strengthening the AI governance framework. Establishing a coordinated AI governance body within the government could ensure that AI policies are effectively implemented across sectors and that ethical standards are consistently maintained. Additionally, developing sector-specific ethical standards would help address the unique challenges of AI in areas such as healthcare, finance, and education, promoting responsible AI use tailored to each industry's needs.
2. Investment in digital infrastructure, particularly in underserved rural regions, should be prioritized to ensure that AI-driven benefits reach all communities. Expanding connectivity and providing high-performance computing resources will support AI applications that rely on large-scale data processing. To address the talent gap, Indonesia should increase funding for AI education and vocational training programs. Initiatives

that develop AI skills across all educational levels, paired with incentives to retain talent domestically, can build a robust workforce ready to support AI innovation.

3. Finally, establishing regulatory sandboxes and facilitating international partnerships can foster a supportive environment for AI experimentation and cross-border innovation. Regulatory sandboxes allow companies to test AI solutions in controlled environments, which is essential for innovation in high-stakes sectors like finance and healthcare. By partnering with international AI initiatives and aligning with global best practices, Indonesia can ensure that its AI governance framework is resilient, ethically grounded, and capable of supporting sustainable AI growth for the benefit of society.

References

1. Desouza KC, Picavet MEB. Realising Trustworthy and Inclusive Artificial Intelligence for Indonesia. 2024.
2. Eloksari EA. AI to bring in $366b to Indonesia's GDP by 2030 [Internet]. The Jakarta Post. 2020. Available from: https://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2020/10/09/ai-to-bring-in-366b-to-indonesias-gdp-by-2030.html
3. BPPT. Strategi Nasional Kecerdasan Artifisial Indonesia 2020-2045. 2020.
4. Azhar M. How Indonesia's new national strategy aims to accelerate the growth of Southeast Asia's largest AI ecosystem [Internet]. Gov Insider. 2023. Available from: https://govinsider.asia/intl-en/article/how-indonesias-new-national-strategy-aims-to-accelerate-the-growth-southeast-asias-largest-ai-ecosystem
5. Hunt M. Indonesia publishes AI strategy [Internet]. Global Government Forum. 2020. Available from: https://www.globalgovernmentforum.com/indonesia-publishes-ai-strategy/
6. Iswara MA. Indonesia sets sights on artificial intelligence in new national strategy [Internet]. The Jakarta Post. 2020. Available from: https://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2020/08/13/indonesia-sets-sights-on-artificial-intelligence-in-new-national-strategy.html
7. Freehills HS. Ethical guidelines on use of artificial intelligence (AI) in Indonesia [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/notes/tmt/2024-02/ethical-guidelines-on-use-of-artificial-intelligence-ai-in-indonesia
8. CSET. Indonesia ' s AI Promise in Perspective. 2021.
9. Habib FH. Menakar Prospek Pengaturan Artificial Intelligence di Indonesia [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://www.hukumonline.com/berita/a/menakar-prospek-pengaturan-artificial-intelligence-di-indonesia-lt6613c94285e9b/
10. EPRS. EU Guidelines on Ethics in Artificial Intelligence: Context and implementation [Internet]. Vol. PE 640.163, European Parliamentary Research Service. 2019. Available from: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2019/640163/EPRS_BRI(2019)640163_EN.pdf
11. Australia Government. Australia's Artificial Intelligence Ethics Principles [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://www.industry.gov.au/publications/australias-artificial-intelligence-ethics-principles
12. PDPC. Singapore's Approach to AI Governance [Internet]. 2024. Available from:

https://www.pdpc.gov.sg/help-and-resources/2020/01/model-ai-governance-framework
13. PwC. Digital Trust Newsflash. Price Waterhouse Coopers Indonesia. 2019.
14. Kominfo. Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 tentang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik [Internet]. 2008. Available from: https://jdih.kominfo.go.id/produk_hukum/view/id/167/t/undangundang+nomor+11+tahun+2008
15. Nannini L, Balayn A, Smith AL. Explainability in AI Policies: A Critical Review of Communications, Reports, Regulations, and Standards in the EU, US, and UK. ACM Int Conf Proceeding Ser. 2023;1198–212.
16. Brkan M, Bonnet G. Legal and Technical Feasibility of the GDPR's Quest for Explanation of Algorithmic Decisions: Of Black Boxes, White Boxes and Fata Morganas. Eur J Risk Regul. 2020;11(1):18–50.
17. Kaye K. This Senate bill would force companies to audit AI used for housing and loans [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://www.bsa.org/news-events/media/this-senate-bill-would-force-companies-to-audit-ai-used-for-housing-and-loans
18. ICO-UK and The Alan Turing Institute. Explaining decisions made with AI [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/uk-gdpr-guidance-and-resources/artificial-intelligence/explaining-decisions-made-with-artificial-intelligence/
19. OECD. Artificial Intelligence in Society [Internet]. Doklady Mathematics. Paris; 2019. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1787/eedfee77-en
20. Aryanto E, Mabruk H, Narendroputro W. Artificial Intelligence Implementation Strategy to Make It Happen Smart Government Indonesia Gold 2045. Int J Sci Soc. 2023;5(5):172–97.
21. OECD. How countries are implementing the OECD Principles for Trustworthy AI [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://oecd.ai/en/wonk/national-policies-2