Research of Capability Evaluation for Emergency Response and Risk Management on Urban Blackout Accidents

Tianyu Wang¹, Yiran Yan^{2,3}, Cheng Guan^{2,3}, Jie Feng^{2,3}*, Zhen Yu^{2,3}

{tianyu_wang@sgcc.com^{1st}; yanyiran3072@163.com^{2nd}; guancheng@163.com^{3rd}; fengjie@126.com^{4th}; yuzhen@163.com^{5th}}

¹State Grid Corperation of China, Beijing 100031, China; ²State Grid Smart Grid Research Institution Co., Ltd, Beijing 102209, China; ³State Grid Key Laboratory of Information & Network Security, Beijing 102209, China

Abstract. In order to evaluate accurately and reasonably the capability of emergency response and risk management aganist urban blackout accidents, this paper builds an evaluation model based on evaluation entropy weight method (EWM) and Pythagoras fuzzy set method. The elements of emergency response and risk management capability are analyzed on the basic of 20 blackout accidents and the evaluation indexes are selected. The EWM & Pythagoras fuzzy sets method is used to determine the index weight, and the evaluation results of emergency capacity and disposal capacity are calculated according to the expert evaluation model can objectively and accurately reflect the overall capability of emergency response and risk management on urban blackout accidents. This paper provides theoretical support for improving the emergency response capacity aganist the urban blackout accidents.

Keywords: urban blackout accidnet; EWM & Pythagorean fuzzy sets method; emergency response; risk management

1 Introduction

Electricity is a fundamental energy industry for a country and plays a crucial role in urban economic development and daily life^[1]. Once a power supply interruption occurs, it will have a huge impact on society, economic order, and the lives of the people^[2]. In recent years, various sudden disasters have posed a huge threat to urban power supply and social public safety^[3], easily triggering urban blackout accidents and disrupting normal production and social order^[4-6].

As an essential part of the response process to urban blackout accidents, the risk management and emergency capabilities of the power industry are related to whether urban blackout accidents can be resolved in a timely and effective manner. Risk management and emergency capacity evaluation are conducive to identifying weak links in emergency capacity construction, and thus targeted improvement and improvement can be carried out^[7]. Indicator selection is the key to emergency capability evaluation. Cai D *et al*^[8] proposed an indicator system for evaluating the emergency capability of power grids, including 5 first level indicators and 13 second level indicators; Wang D et al^[9] split the emergency capacity of the power grid based on the temporal and spatial dimensions of emergency management, and obtained an emergency capacity evaluation index system; Liang T et $al^{[10]}$ evaluated the emergency capability of distribution network engineering from four aspects: emergency prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery. The above research can provide more accurate and reasonable evaluation results, but the selection of indicators can be further refined based on actual event response measures. The selection of calculation models is also an important part of emergency capability evaluation, and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is widely adopted due to its simplicity and clarity ^[11-13]. Sun H^[14], Zhang C et $al^{[15]}$, and Men Y et $al^{[16]}$ used a combination of Analytic Hierarchy Process and Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation to evaluate the emergency capacity of power grid enterprises. However, the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) cannot effectively reflect subjective factors in the evaluation process, and it is necessary to seek appropriate methods to reduce the lack of information in the process of calculating indicator weights based on evaluation results. The impact of urban blackout accidents is wide, involving multiple departments, and the response steps are complex. It is necessary to choose a suitable calculation model to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results. Pythagoras Fuzzy set method considers both membership and non membership, which can better solve problems such as incomplete and imprecise information in practical problems^[17]. The response to urban blackout accident involves many factors, such as emergency preparedness, handling capacity, department coordination, logistics support, etc. This paper presents an evaluation model for the emergency response capability of urban blackout accidents based on entropy weight method Pythagoras Fuzzy set, which refines the response steps one by one to obtain the response elements and selects evaluation indicators accordingly. The research in this paper provides theoretical support for improving the ability of power grid enterprises to respond to urban blackout accidents.

2 Evaluation model for capability of emergency response and risk management aganist urban blackout accidents

Entropy Weight Method (EWM) is a commonly used weighting method that measures value dispersion in decision-making. The greater the degree of dispersion, the greater the degree of differentiation, and more information can be derived. Meanwhile, Pythagoras Fuzzy set is h is developed based on intuitionistic fuzzy set, which is more efficient in elaborating and disposing uncertainties in indeterminate situations. In this paper, The evaluation model for capability of emergency response and risk management aganist urban blackout accidents is built on the basic of EWM & Pythagoras Fuzzy set, as shown in Figure 1. Firstly, collect relevant information on urban blackout accidents, twenty cases of blackout events were selected and anaylzed, as shown in Table 1. Analyze the response measures for urban blackout accidents, and extract the response capability elements of each step as the basis for selecting evaluation indicators.

Secondly, for the evaluation index system, based on the relevant theories of emergency management^[18] and the emergency response process for urban blackout accidents, this paper selects the first level indicators as emergency prevention, emergency preparedness, emergency response, and post recovery. The second and third level indicators are determined based on the response elements for urban blackout accidents. The selection of evaluation indicators should follow the principles of representativeness, independence, evaluability, and differentiation^[19] to

ensure that the evaluation indicators can objectively reflect the emergency response capacity of urban blackout accidents.

The impact of each evaluation index on the response ability to urban blackout accidents is different. First, the entropy weight method Pythagoras Fuzzy set method is used to determine the weight of each index on the basis of expert evaluation. Finally, for the emergency capability of urban blackout accidents, the evaluation grade is fuzzified, experts are invited to score each three-level index item, and the evaluation result is obtained by using the fuzzy calculation method. Finally, the fuzzy result is de fuzzified to obtain the final accurate result.



Fig.1. Evaluation model for emergency response capability based on EWM & Pythagorean fuzzy sets

Table 1. Case	e list of	'urban b	olackout	accident
---------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------

	Blackout location	People affected (million)	Year
1	Southern Brazil	97	1999
2	India	230	2001
3	Luzon	35	2001
4	Luzon	40	2002
5	Italy	56	2003
6	Java–Bali	100	2005
7	Southern China	100	2008
8	Brazil and Paraguay	60	2009
9	India	620	2012
10	Bangladesh	150	2014
11	Pakistan	140	2015

12	Turkey	70	2015
13	Sri Lanka blackout	21	2016
14	Java	120	2019
15	Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay	48	2019
16	Venezuelan blackouts	30	2019
17	Sri Lankan blackouts	21	2020
18	Pakistan	200	2021
19	Bangladesh	140	2022
20	Pakistan	230	2023

3 Result and Discussion

In this paper, a city in south-east China was taken as an example, the capability evaluation for emergency response and risk management aganist urban blackout accident were carried out. Based on relevant documents such as emergency plans, emergency drill records, and accident handling records, the response measures of the power industry after a blackout event were refined. Then, response elements were extracted. Overall, response measures are divided into preparedness, monitoring & warning, response and recovery. Element of response measures were analyzed. As an example, the elements of measures within monitoring & warning are shown in Table 2. The measures are divided into risk monitoring and assessment, early warning release, and early warning actions. The measures are refined into various response steps, as shown in columns 2 and 3 of Table 2.

Based on the analysis of elements, 4 primary indicators, 12 secondary indicators and 45 tertiary indicators were obtained, as shown in Table 3. The emergency response team, material preparedness, information submission, and relevant plan revisions, work mechanisms, and recovery measures in each response step are all reflected in the " Preparedness (A) " and " Recovery (D)" series of indicators, and are no longer listed in the " Monitoring & Warning (B)" and "Response (C)".

Measures	Elements
(1) Monitor and analyze the status of operating equipment	(1) Accuracy of equipment risk monitoring
(2) Monitoring and risk assessment of electricity consumption	(2) Accurate monitoring of
risks for important users	electricity risk for important users
 (3.1) Arrange the operation mode of power grid, conduct risk assessment of power grid operation, monitoring of special operation modes, and professional management of power grid security control (3.2) Balance power grid load, timely grasp the power production and supply situation, and analyze the impact on substations and lines 	(3) Accuracy of power grid operation risk monitoring
(4.1) Conduct targeted equipment inspections; Organize the emergency team to gather the repair team in advance and be on standby to ensure that they can depart within 1 hour after receiving the repair instructions.	(4.1) Duty system

Table 2. Analysis of response steps and elements (monitoring & warning)

	F1
Measures	Elements
(4.2) Properly allocate emergency equipment for power	(4.2) Allocation of power
supply, and maintain spare parts, communication and	emergency equipment, special
communication tools, and accident handling tools related to	inspection and protection of key
various emergency repairs in good condition.	support equipment
(5.1) Check that the backup scheduling system is operating	
normally; Check whether the remote control function of the	(5.1) Operation of backup power
control duty site is intact and available, and notify the	grid dispatch system and
automation duty personnel to do a good job in system	communication system
security work; Check the configuration of emergency	
(5.2) Collect information on a statellite phone.	
(5.2) Collect information on power grid operation, power	
generation, and coal supply, and promptly report to the	
company's large-scale power outage emergency leading	(5.2) Time large data of a second and
group. At the same time, report to the leaders in charge and	(5.2) Timely update of power grid
in the relevant areas as much as possible, answing the full	operation plan
in the relevant areas as much as possible, ensuring the full	
much as possible	
(6) Sort out and count potential lifeline angineering users	
important and key support users, and large and medium sized	(6) Important users information
residential areas that may be affected	(b) important users information
residential areas that may be affected.	(7.1) Emergency supplies
(7.1) Verify emergency material inventory information	preparation
(7.2) Prepare in advance for emergency support, catering.	(7.2) Emergency support catering
accommodation, and medical preparations for the renair of	accommodation, and medical
electricity and logistics	preparation

 Table 3 Evaluation index and weight

Primary Indicators	Weight	Secondary Indicators	Weight	Tertiary Indicators	Weight
		Emergency	0.253	Special plan, departmental support plan, and onsite handling plan (A ₁₁)	0.333
		(A ₁)		Mechanism related to emergency (A ₁₂)	0.322
				Training and Exercise (A13)	0.345
		Emergency team 0.2 (A ₂)		Team Organization (A ₂₁)	0.425
Preparedness 0.14: (A)			0.255	Skills and Rapid Response Ability (A ₂₂)	0.575
	0.145	Emergency supplies	0.258	Allocation of emergency power car (A ₃₁)	0.323
				Reserve, transportation, and management of emergency equipment and spare parts (A ₃₂)	0.366
		(A ₃)		Reserve, transport and management of transportation vehicles, medical supplies, daily necessities (A ₃₃)	0.311
		Communication (A ₄)	0.234	Timeliness and completeness of information submission (a ₄₁)	0.505

Primary Indicators	Weight	Secondary Indicators	Weight	Tertiary Indicators	Weight
				Information submission channels and methods (A ₄₂)	0.495
		D' 1		Accuracy of equipment risk monitoring (B11)	0.333
		KISK Monitoring and Analysis (B1)	0.357	Accuracy of electricity risk Monitoring for important users (B ₁₂)	0.333
		, ()		Accuracy of power grid operation Risk Monitoring (B13)	0.334
		Warning Release (B ₂)	0272	Communication system reliability (B ₂₁)	1.000
				Emergency duty system and inventory update (B ₃₁) Implementation and supervision	0.008
Monitoring				of risk warning and control measures for power grid	0.014
& Warning (B)	0.325			Special inspection and protection of key support equipment (B ₃₃)	0.016
(-)				Operation status of backup dispatch system and	0.012
		Measures (B ₃)	0.371	communication system (B ₃₄) Power grid operation plan undated in time (B ₂₅)	0.014
				Important Users Information	0.009
				Important Users Communication (B ₃₇)	0.010
				Public opinion monitoring and information release preparation	0.008
				(B38) Mechanism of Liaison and Consultation (B39)	0.009
				Establish an emergency command center to organize and carry out emergency rescue (Cu)	0.241
Response (C)		Farly disposal		Collection of user electricity demand and sensitive demands	0.243
	0.395	(C ₁)	0.335	(C ₁₂) Organize experts to guide on-site work (C ₁₃)	0.255
				Adjustment of power grid operation mode & ensure power supply for users (C ₁₄)	0.261
		F		Safety supervision (C ₂₁)	0.011
		Emergency repair (C ₂)	0.374	Equipment inspection and on-site repair (C ₂₂)	0.015
				Emergency Electricity and	0.014

Primary Indicators	Weight	Secondary Indicators	Weight	Tertiary Indicators	Weight
				Lighting (C ₂₃) Maintenance follow-up and important user comfort (C ₂₄)	0.010
				Fault Equipment Isolation (C ₂₅)	0.012
				Organize parallel resumption of	0.012
				power transmission work between the main and regional power grids (C ₂₆) Main and backup dispatchers on synchronous duty, switching if necessary (C ₂₇)	0.012
				Logistics support work at each emergency repair station (C ₂₈)	0.014
		T'1 1		Collaborates with other emergency forces (C ₃₁)	0.255
		collaboration	0.291	Information exchange and communication (C ₃₂)	0.248
		(C ₃)		Materials supply (C ₃₃)	0.252
				News Promotion (C ₃₄)	0.244
Recovery (D)		Accident Investigation (D ₁)	0.482	Accident Investigation Procedure (D11)	1.000
	0.135	Aftermath and recovery (D ₂)	0.518	Emergency Response Summary and Evaluation (D ₂₁)	0.487
			0.318	Rectification and improvement (D ₂₂)	0.513

4 Conclusion Remarks

This paper proposes an evaluation model for the emergency capability of urban blackout accidents, which establishes an evaluation index system from the perspectives of emergency preparedness, monitoring & warning, response, and recovery. Measures for urban blackout accidents are analyzed, the elements are extracted, and evaluation indicators are determined. EWM & Pythagoras Fuzzy set method is introduced to determine the weight of indicators, which improves the tolerance for uncertain information and makes the evaluation more objective and comprehensive. In urban blackout accidents, the power industry, as a part of event handling, undertakes the task of power restoration in risk managment and social emergency rescue. Otherwise, this paper has not further refined the specific steps of government enterprise linkage and departmental collaboration. In future research, it is necessary to analyze the coordination and cooperation between the power industry and social emergency forces, study the steps and elements of response measures, and evaluate the emergency response capacity for urban blackout accidents more comprehensively, reasonably, and accurately.

Acknowledgments. This paper is supported by SGCC Project "Research and Practice on the Integration of Power Grid Safety Production Prevention and Emergency Defense" (SGGR0000DWJS2310536).

References

[1] Liu X, Yang X, Zhang D. (2018) Study on protection solutions and measures of railway power cables outside railway safety protection. China Safety Sci. J., 28 (S2): 74-78. DOI: 10.16265/j.cnki.issn1003-3033.2018.S2.013

[2] Lan Q, Ying X, Wu L, *et al.* (2016) Risk assessment of the geological disasters likely to be suffered by the power grid in Yuxi City. J. Safety & Environment, 2016, 16 (2): 25-30. DOI: 10.13637/j.issn.1009-6094.2016.02.005

[3] Zhu J, Chen H, Wang G, *et al.* (2020) Power grid emergency repair responses to the multimission assignments of the multiple teams and multiple areas. J. Safety & Environment, 20 (5): 1803-1810. DOI: 10.13637/j.issn.1009-6094.2019.0383

[4] Yu H, Li F, Huo Y, *et al.* (2021) Research on Network Security Situation Assessment Method of Power Information System. Sci. Tech. & Eng., 21 (9): 3642-3648. DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1671-1815.2021.09.031.

[5] Espinoza S, Poulos A, Rudnick H, *et al.* (2020) Risk and Resilience Assessment With Component Criticality Ranking of Electric Power Systems Subject to Earthquakes. IEEE Systems J., 99: 1-12. DOI:10.1109/JSYST.2019.2961356.

[6] Tang S, Xu X, Yang Y, *et al.* (2020) Research on the damage class prediction method in typhoon disaster for power system. In 2020 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Information Systems and Computer Aided Education (ICISCAE), Shenzhen. DOI:10.1109/ICISCAE51034.2020.9236927.

[7] Peng H, Wang T. (2020) Study on evaluation of emergency capacity for urban waterlogging disaster based on Z-numbers. J. Safety Sci. & Tech., 16 (5): 115-121. DOI:10.11731/j.issn.1673-193x.2020.05.018.

[8] Cai D, Wang D, Fang X, *et al.* (2019) Power grid emergency management capability evaluation method based on subjective and objective weighted VIKOR method. Water Resource & Power, 37 (7): 180-182, 61. DOI:CNKI:SUN:SDNY.0.2019-07-045.

[9] Wang D, Fang X, Chen X, *et al.* (2021) Dynamic comprehensive evaluation of power grid emergency capability based on fuzzy-two-stage super efficiency SBM. Control & Decision, 36 (6): 1333-1341. DOI:10.11731/j.issn.1673-193x.2020.02.029.

[10] Liang T, Xu K, Gu Q, *et al.* (2019) Emergency capability evaluation of distribution network engineering based on improved two-tuple linguistic information. Safety & Environmental Eng., 26 (4): 135-139. DOI:10.13578/j.cnki.issn.1671-1556.2019.04.021.

[11] Sun L, Zhu M, Zhang X, *et al.* (2020) Critical Technological Element Recognition Based on Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process. Sci. Tech. & Eng., 20 (24): 9816-9821. DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1671-1815.2020.24.018.

[12] Akgun I, Erdal H. (2019) Solving an Ammunition Distribution Network Design Problem Using Multi-Objective Mathematical Modeling, Combined AHP-TOPSIS, and GIS. Computers & Industrial Eng., 129: 512-528. DOI:10.1016/j.cie.2019.02.004.

[13] Luo W. (2020) Stability analysis and fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of soft surrounding rock in zijing tunnel of new railway. Liaoning Technology University.

DOI:10.27210/d.cnki.glnju.2020.000282

[14] Sun H. (2019) Urban blackout accidentsblackout based on scenario construction study by emergency capacity assessment. Capital University of Economics and Business. DOI:10.27338/d.cnki.gsjmu.2019.000774 [15] Men Y, Zhu C, Yu Z, *et al.* (2014) On the evaluation of the emergency response capability of the power grid infrastructure facing the unexpected accidents. J. Safety & Environment, 14 (3): 90-93. DOI:10.13637/j.issn.1009-6094.2014.03.020

[16] Zhang C, Chen T, Ni S. (2020) Evaluation on emergency capability of power grid system based on AHP and FCE. J Safety Sci. & Tech., 16 (2): 180-186. DOI:10.11731/j.issn.1673-193x.2020.02.029.
[17] Yuan R, Wang X. (2020) Comprehensive Evaluation and Analysis of Water Resources Carrying Capacity in Yangtze River Delta Based on Pythagoras-TOPSIS Method. Sci. & Tech. Management Research, 40 (15): 71-79. DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1000-7695.2020.15.010.

[18] Wang W. (2010) The study on comprehensive evaluation about capacities of emergency management in chinese cities. Harbin Engineering University. DOI:10.7666/d.y1809258.

[19] Ma T. (2007) The management of accident emergency and its maturity assessment. Tianjing University. DOI:10.7666/d.y1359729.