

Differences Improvement of Mathematical Communication Ability and Learning Independence Between PBL and STAD Learning Models Assisted by Geogebra

Lia Anggun Karina Samosir¹, Pardomuan Sitompul², Mukhtar³

{anggunsamosir73@gmail.com¹}

^{1,2,3}Postgraduate Mathematical Education, State University of Medan, Indonesia

Abstract. This study to: (1) To analyze the effect of KAM on mathematical communication skills and students independence between the PBL and STAD models with the help of geogebra- (2) To analyze the difference between the improvement of mathematical communication skills and student independence between PBL and STAD models assisted by geogebra (3) to analyze the interaction between learning models and early mathematical abilities on students' mathematical communication skills and independence. This research is categorized into the type of quasi-experimental research (quasi-experimental). The population of this study were all students of class VIII SMP Muhammadiyah 16 Lubuk Pakam and the samples were class VIII-1 (Experiment 1) and VIII-2 (Experiment 2). The results of the study are: (1) obtained Fcount is greater than Ftable ($79.968 > 4.042$) and with a probability value (sig) of learning factor of 0.000 so that there is an effect of KAM on students mathematical communication and Ftable ($21.007 > 4.042$) and with a probability value (sig) of learning factor of 0.000 so that there is an effect of KAM on independence- (2) Fcount is greater than Ftable ($43.451 > 4.042$) and with a probability value (sig) of 0.000 so that there is a difference in the increase in mathematical communication skills

Keywords: Mathematical Communication, Learning Independence, PBL Learning Model, STAD Learning Model, Geogebra

1. Introduction

Changes and developments in education, significance in the field of mathematics, need for thinking skills, including the kind of rigorous, logical, and critical thinking that can be taught via studying mathematics. Many issues or pieces of knowledge are portrayed in mathematical terms, such as when issues are presented as mathematical models, which can take the shape of diagrams, equations, graphs, or tables. Mathematical expression of ideas is more practical, systematic, and effective. example: The 30 3 notation specifies, among other things: (1) A home's surface area that is 30 meters long and 3 meters wide; (2) 30 rickshaws or bemo with several wheels.; (three) The number of pencils in 30 containers, every box containing 3 pencils. In light of something like this, mathematics can be utilized to improve mathematical speech skills in both mathematics and technology. Based on the researcher's interaction with the teaching assistant, it was determined that the students lacked reading independence. Many college students, grumble

tutors, are like "nails," only moving when pounded with a hammer. This signifies that brand-new university students do their work as instructed by the teacher. Most college students are ego and have the initiative to research on their own, thus their liability for their academic obligations is still modest. As of now, instructors remain in control of how students learn mathematics in schools. Low verbal exchange and classroom instruction of independence are produced by this. There are various learning styles that we can use to enhance all mathematical abilities in reading. The hassle-based entirely educational model dan STAD model is one of the learning models that is expected to improve students' language skills and independence and is also an expectation of the curriculum.

2. Review Of Literature

2.1 Mathematical Communication Ability

Greenes & Greenes & Schulman (in Ansari, 2009:10) additionally stated that mathematical communicate talents can arise when students (1) explicit mathematical ideas through speech, writing, demonstration, and visually describe them in differing types; (2) understand, interpret, and examine ideas supplied in writing, verbally, or in visible shape; (three) constructing, interpreting and connecting various representations of thoughts and their dating

2.2 Learning Independence

According to Yamin (2013) states that unbiased mastering does now not mean self-take a look at, the maximum critical aspect is to boom the willingness and talents of college students inside the getting to know process by not depending on instructors or buddies. pupil learning independence emphasizes the initiative or choice of students to apply their mind, techniques and conduct to obtain studying goals.

2.3 Problem Based Learning

According to Trianto (2013), PBM is a theoretical model based on a variety of problems that actually require actual investigations, particularly investigations that necessitate real solutions to real problems. The climate provides input to students in the form of assistance and problems, and the mind's worried system has the ability to analyze the support efficiently so that the received a complaint can be properly investigated, assessed, analyzed, and resolved. Problem-based learning is an effective way of learning where to use better order thinking. This learning allows students to process prepared data in their minds and build their own knowledge of social world as well as its surroundings.

2.4 Student Team Achievement Division

Cooperative getting to know is gaining knowledge of where students in a set paintings collectively to acquire a not unusual goal. STAD turned into advanced by Robert Slavin et al. at Johns Hopkin college. This learning model makes use of small businesses with 4-6 students heterogrammed. starting with the transport of material, group sports and group awards. in keeping with Slavin (Isjoni, 2011: fifty one) STAD goes thru five tiers: 1) cloth presentation

degree, 2) institution pastime degree, three) person check degree, four) man or woman development score calculation level, 5) institution award level.

2.5 Geogebra Software

Setiawati (2014:348) discovered that with the assist of software, a few mathematical ideas such as quantity of a rotating item, the concept of limits, and geometry can without problems be explained and mathematical proofs are presented more interestingly. Geogebra software is a mathematical software program that combines geometry, algebra and calculus. Geogebra turned into first evolved by Markus Hohenwarter in 2001 in his master's dissertation in arithmetic education and laptop science on the university of Salzburg Austria.

3. Method

This type of research is development research using a geogebra. This research focuses on the development of mathematics communication based on the PBL and STAD learning model. This research was conducted at SMP Muhammadiyah 16 Lubuk Pakam which is located at Jl. RA. Kartini No.1 Lubuk Pakam. Time in This research was conducted in the odd semester from March to April 2022 at Muhammadiyah 16 Lubuk Pakam school for the 2021/2022 academic year.

4. Research result

The steps are the study's findings: Covariate analysis for the learning rendition indicated that F_{count} is higher than F_{table} ($79.968 > 4.042$) and has a possibility value (sig) of zero.000, according to SPSS. H_0 is rejected since the opportunity fee (sig) is less than 0.05. As a result, KAM has the potential to have an effect on students' numerical vocabulary knowledge.

The results of the covariate analysis for the learning model show that F_{count} is bigger than F_{table} ($21.007 > 4.042$) and that the learning factor has a likely hood worth (sig) of 0.000. H_0 is rejected because the probability value (sig) falls below 0.05. As a result, the covariate analysis shows that for the learning factor and KAM, F_{count} exceeds F_{table} ($43.451 > 4.042$) and has a sig of 0.000. H_0 is rejected because the probability value (sig) is less than 0.05. In this case, students who receive PBL learning have greater mathematical communication ability than children who got STAD learning. This demonstrates.

Then it may be seen that 1) there are differences in student gaining knowledge of independence with the PBL and STAD studying fashions, this could be seen from the sig value. that is received is zero.000 that is smaller than the opportunity fee of sig ($0.000 < 0.05$). 2) there are differences in student studying independence between students who've high, medium and low KAM scores, this could be seen from the sig value. which is acquired is 0.004 that's smaller than the opportunity fee of sig ($0.004 < 0.05$). 3) there's an interplay between the PBL and STAD models on scholar gaining knowledge of independence, this will be visible from the sig cost acquired at 0.000 that is smaller than the opportunity value sig ($0.000 < 0.05$)

5. Discussion

Numerous findings have been obtained, namely the success of the study objectives that have been set, based entirely on the statistics assessment of the research outcomes and the talk that

has been described in this examine. This research is concerned with the differences in the development of students' mathematical communication abilities and independent mastering via the PBL and STAD mastering models. Several conclusions have been reached, including: (1). The effect of KAM on the mathematical communication study of students whose are given PBL do is greater than that of students who are given STAD learning. (2) KAM has a greater influence on students' mathematical learning independence in PBL learning than in STAD learning. (3) Students' mathematical communication abilities are improving. in the numerical comms skills of children who receive PBL learning, making it superior to STAD learning. (4) Students who receive PBL learning have greater mathematical learning independence than students who receive STAD learning. (5) Learning models interact with KAM to influence students' mathematical communication skills. (6) Learning models interact with KAM to impact pupils' mathematical learning independence

6. Conclusion

Based on the results of this study's analysis and discussion, it is possible to conclude that Differences in Arithmetic Communication Ability and Learning Independence The positive benefits for PBL and STAD Learning Models Assisted by Geogebra have already been met, and basic math strategies for solving and student self-efficacy have increased, without no differences on this experiment.

References

- [1] Ansari, B.I. 2009. *Komunikasi Matematik*. Banda Aceh : Yayasan Pena.
- [2] Setiawati, N. 2014 *Kedudukan dan Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi dalam Pembelajaran Matematika sebagai Implementasi Kurikulum 2013*. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Matematika Program Pascasarjana STKIP Siliwangi Bandung Vol 1, ISSN 2355-0473
- [3] Suhartini, I., Syahputra, E., dan Surya, E. 2016. *Pengaruh Pembelajaran Kontekstual Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematik*. Paradigma. Vol. 9, No. 3, pp 62 – 71
- [4] Trianto. 2009. *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif Progresif*. Surabaya: Kencana Prenada Media Group
- [5] Trianto. 2013. *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif Progresif*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group
- [6] Yamin, M. 2013. *Strategi & Metode dalam Model Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Referensi (GP Press Group).