An Improved Medium Access Control Scheme for Multimedia Wireless Local Area Networks

Geyong Min and Mamun I. Abu-Tair

Department of Computing, School of Informatics, University of Bradford, Bradford, BD7 1DP, U.K. {q.min, m.i.a.abu-tair}@brad.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

The IEEE 802.11e Enhanced Distributed Channel Access (EDCA) protocol allocates multiple Access Categories (ACs) with different priorities, inter-frame spaces, and contention window sizes in order to support differentiated Quality-of-Service (QoS) in multimedia Wireless Local Area Networks (WLANs). This paper presents an improved Medium Access Control (MAC) scheme to enhance the QoS of WLANs based on the idea of using the different increasing functions for each AC to enlarge the size of contention window in the case of transmission collision. The performance of this improved scheme in the presence of wireless multimedia applications is extensively investigated and compared with the original EDCA using the well-known network simulator NS-2. The results reveal that this scheme is able to achieve higher throughput and medium utilization as well as lower access delay than the EDCA protocol. Moreover, it provides a good prioritization level between multiple ACs.

Keywords

Multimedia Applications, QoS, Heterogeneous Network Traffic, Performance Analysis and Evaluation

1. INTRODUCTION

The desirable properties, such as easy deployment, flexibility, and location-independent accessibility, make the Wireless Local Area Networks (WLANs) recognized as a general-purpose connectivity between computation and communication devices using waves rather than a cable infrastructure in a wide range of business organizations all over the world [10, 25]. To support the ever-growing wireless and mobile applications, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) ratified 802.11 standards that can operate at data rates up to 2 Mbps in the 2.4-GHz Industrial, Scientific and Medical (ISM) band. Such low data rates of the legacy IEEE 802.11 standard are unable to support the general requirements of business communication. Recognizing the critical need to support higher data-transmission rates, the IEEE

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. MOBIMEDIA 2007, August 27-29, Nafpaktos, Greece Copyright © 2007 ICST 978-963-06-2670-5 DOI 10.4108/ICST.MOBIMEDIA2007.1858 have further ratified both 802.11a and 802.11b standards with the rates up to 54 and 11 Mbps in 5 and 2.4-GHz ISM band, respectively [10]. Moreover, both standards specify the specification of the Medium Access Control (MAC) protocol, which is responsible for control access to the scarce transmission medium and for enabling its capacity to be fully utilized in an efficient manner by wireless network devices.

The dominating mechanism of the IEEE 802.11 MAC is called the Distributed Coordination Function (DCF), which relies on the Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) algorithm to access the shared medium. The DCF mechanism makes certain that the stations sense the medium prior to data transmission. Moreover, it applies a Collision Avoidance (CA) mechanism which can reduce the collision probability by virtue of an additional random binary exponential time named back-off time. The main objective of CSMA/CA is to avoid stations transmitting packets at the same time, which can lead to collisions and corresponding retransmissions [3, 10, 15, 25]. Moreover, the DCF further reduces the collision possibility and improves the system reliability by adding the acknowledgement frames and optional channel reservation frames (i.e., Request-To-Send and Clear-To-Send) to the exchange sequences of its data frames.

With the increasing demand of wireless services, the provisioning of differentiated Quality-of-Service (QoS) has become a critical issue of the future wireless multimedia communications. Therefore, it is important to develop the medium access schemes that can support real-time multimedia applications with the differentiated QoS constraints. As a result, the IEEE 802.11 working group has recently standardized an extended version (IEEE 802.11e) that defines the Enhanced Distributed Channel Access (EDCA) protocol for the support of QoS differentiation [26]. EDCA delivers the frames based on their differentiated Access Categories (ACs), which can be achieved by varying the amount of time when a station senses the channel to be idle before the start of the back-off procedure, or the length of the contention window, or the duration during which a station can transmit data after occupying the channel [26]. The stations with the lowerpriority AC must wait longer than those with the high-priority AC before they are able to access the medium.

The studies on design and evaluation of IEEE 802.11e MAC protocols have attracted numerous research interests and efforts [6, 7, 16, 24]. For instance, Gannoune [7] has proposed a non-linear dynamic scheme for tuning the minimum contention windows to enhance service differentiation. Mangold *et al.* [16] have evaluated the performance of IEEE 802.11e EDCA in the

single and overlapping Access Point (AP) environments using the traffic models with negative-exponentially distributed inter-arrival times. They have focused on the analysis of the effectiveness and limitations of EDCA in such environments. Zhu *et al.* [24] have introduced the dual-measurement technique to vary the size of contention window according to the traffic state of each AC, which can improve the throughput, mean access delay and medium utilization of wireless ad-hoc networks with the EDCA MAC protocol. Moreover, Frikha *et al.* [6] have proposed a slow decrease scheme to tune the minimum contention windows in the congested environments.

The key aim of these existing studies is to identify the appropriate contention window for each AC in order to achieve good system performance. Actually, the use of a large contention window may reduce the number of transmission collisions. On the other hand, it increases the back-off time dramatically. However, choosing a small contention window for the highest priority AC can increase the number of collisions, especially when the system works under the heavy loads. In order to enhance the QoS of WLANs, this paper presents an improved and cost-efficient MAC scheme where the different ACs are assigned with the identical minimal/maximal contention windows (cw_{\min} , cw_{\max}). However, the differentiated Arbitration Inter-Frame Space (AIFSs) and various increasing functions (i.e., linear, logarithmic, exponential and quadratic functions) are used to increase the contention windows of different ACs in the case of transmission collision. This scheme can alleviate the increasing number of collisions caused by the small contention window of the highest AC and improve the prioritization behaviour of the existing EDCA protocol.

Moreover, this study uses the network simulator NS-2 to investigate the performance of the proposed MAC scheme and compare it to the original EDCA under wireless multimedia applications. For this purpose, the non-bursty Poisson, bursty ON/OFF, and fractal-like self-similar processes with high variability are used to model and generate heterogeneous background, voice, and Variable-Bit-Rate (VBR) video traffic. The performance results reveal that the improved scheme performs better than the original EDCA in terms of throughput, access delay, and medium utilization. Additionally, it can support prioritization between different ACs at a good level.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the EDCA protocol of the IEEE 802.11e WLANs. Section 3 proposes the improved MAC scheme. Section 4 presents and analyses the performance results obtained from simulation experiments. Finally, Section 5 concludes this study.

2. ENHANCED DISTRIBUTED CHANNEL ACCESS (EDCA)

The original EDCA protocol has been proposed to provide differentiated and distributed channel accesses for four different Access Categories (ACs). Each AC has its own transmission queue and is labelled according to the category of wireless applications, i.e. AC_VO (voice), AC_VI (video), AC_BE (besteffort), and AC_BK (background). Like most of the other contention-based MAC protocols, EDCA relies on a Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) mechanism to access the shared medium. Each AC contends to access the medium and is differentiated by various AIFS values, Transmission Opportunity (TXOP) limits, and minimal/maximal

contention windows [16, 17]. An AC with the smaller AIFS has the advantage to access the wireless medium first. The station with packets ready for transmission senses whether or not the medium is busy. If it has been idle for longer than the AIFS, the station can start transmission immediately. Otherwise a back-off time is chosen randomly from the interval [0, cw], where cwrepresents the contention window [20]. The stations start downcounting its back-off counter by one only if the medium has been detected idle for at least an AIFS. If the medium is busy due to other ongoing transmissions, the back-off counter pauses downcounting and resumes when the medium has been sensed idle for AIFS again [11, 20]. Transmission may proceed when the backoff counter has reached zero. Upon detection of a collision, i.e., after the back-off counter of two or more stations reaches zero at the same time, the contention window is doubled according to $new_cw[AC] \ge ((old_cw[AC]+1)*Pf[AC])-1),$ where Pf[AC] is the Persistence Factor that determines the degree in increase of the contention window in the event of collisions. The higher priority AC has the smaller Pf[AC] value. When the destination station receives frame successfully, it sends an acknowledgment (ACK) frame back to the source station after a

3. THE IMPROVED MAC SCHEME

Short Inter frame Space (SIFS) duration.

The performance of the current contention-based MAC protocols suffers from two major factors: (1) the large number of transmission collisions and (2) the wasted time caused by the back-off procedure. The number of collisions is tightly coupled with the size of contention window. The use of a large contention window can reduce the number of collisions. On the other hand, it increases the back-off time dramatically. In the original IEEE 802.11e EDCA protocol, various sizes of contention window have been assigned to different ACs. In particular, when the network loads are low, the use of a large contention window for the low-priority ACs can significantly increase the back-off time and thus degrade the network performance.

In this study, we propose an improved MAC scheme where the different ACs are assigned with the identical minimal/maximal contention windows (cw_{min} , cw_{max}). However, the different AIFSs and increasing functions used to enlarge the contention windows of various ACs in the case of transmission collision are adopted to support differentiated QoS. As shown in Table 1, the slowest-increasing linear function is used for voice traffic (AC_VO) with the highest priority. The logarithmic, exponential, and quadratic increasing functions are adopted for video (AC_VI), best-effort (AC_BE), and background (AC_BK) traffic, respectively. Figure 1 depicts the increasing behaviour of these functions as the number of collisions increases. The algorithm of increasing the contention window of different ACs is described in Figure 2.

Table 1. The increasing functions used by the improved MAC scheme

AC	Increasing Function
AC_VO	$new_cw[AC] = (old_cw[AC]+10)$
AC_VI	$new_cw[AC] = (old_cw[AC]*log(old_cw[AC]))$
AC_BE	$new_cw[AC] = (old_cw[AC]*2)$
AC_BK	$new _cw[AC] = (old _cw[AC])^2$



Figure 1. Behavior of different increasing functions

Initialization:

Function CW_Initialization(AC) cw[AC] = cw_min; End Function

After a successful transmission:

Function CW_Rest(AC) cw[AC] = cw_min; End Function

After any collision :

```
Function CW_Increment (AC)
 Case (AC)
    case AC_VO:
        cw[AC] = cw[AC] + 10;
        break;
    case AC_VI:
        cw[AC] = cw[AC] * log(cw[AC]);
        break:
    case AC_BE:
        cw[AC] = cw[AC] * 2;
        break;
    case AC BK:
        cw[AC] = (cw[AC])^2;
        break:
  End Case
 IF cw[AC] > cw_max THEN
     cw[AC] = cw_max;
  End IF
End Function
```

Figure 2. Back-off algorithm of the improved MAC scheme

4. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND COMPARISON

4.1 Simulation Scenarios

The improved MAC scheme has been implemented using the well-known network simulator NS-2 and its wireless extensions developed by TKN [23]. The simulation scenarios were designed to investigate the performance of this scheme in the hot-spot areas of WLANs. As illustrated in Figure 3, the scenarios are composed of up to 20 mobile stations and an access point serving as a traffic sink. Each wireless station operates under the IEEE 802.11a standard at the data rate of 24Mbps [17].



Figure 3. AP with mobile stations.

Table 2. PHY parameters for simulation scenarios

SlotTime	9 µs
CCATime	3 µs
RxTxTurnaroundTime	2 µs
SIFSTime	16 µs
PreambleLength	96 bits
PLCPHeaderLength	40 bits
PLCPDataRate	6 Mbps

The setting of physical layer (PHY) parameters is shown in Table 2; these parameters have been widely used by other performance studies on WLANs [16, 17, 23]. All stations are located within a Basic Service Set (BSS) such that every station is able to detect the transmission from others.

4.2 Heterogeneous Traffic Models

Due to the multiple services supported in WLANs, we adopt the heterogeneous traffic models to capture the characteristics of wireless multimedia applications. Each station involves four ACs (i.e., voice, real-time video, best-effort video, and background). The priorities of voice, real-time video, best-effort video, and background traffic follow a descending order. Table 3 lists the parameters of cw_{\min} , cw_{\max} and *AIFS* of the four ACs used in the improved MAC scheme and the original EDCA protocol, respectively.

4.2.1 Poisson Traffic Model

Each station generates the background data packets according to a Poisson arrival process, which is characterized by the independent exponentially-distributed inter-arrival times with the rate of 160 Kbit/s and packet size of 200 bytes [16, 17].

Table 3. Parameters of the EDCA protocol and improved MAC scheme

	parameters	AC_VO	AC_VI	AC_BE	AC_BK
EDCA	AIFS	2	2	3	7
	<i>cw</i> _{min}	3	7	15	15
	<i>cw</i> _{max}	7	15	1023	1023
Improved	AIFS	2	2	7	7
MAC scheme	<i>cw</i> _{min}	15			
	<i>cw</i> _{max}	1023			



Figure 4. Throughput of the EDCA protocol

4.2.2 Bursty ON/OFF Traffic Model

The highest priority traffic (generated by voice sources) is modelled by the bursty ON/OFF process. In general, the talkspurt and silence durations of voice ON/OFF process follow an exponential distribution with the mean of 1s and 1.35s [5], respectively. During the talkspurts period, each source generates the packets with the size of 80 bytes, corresponding to a constant sending rate of 64 Kbit/s. Given that each station may involve multiple voice sources, we consider that there are five voice sources at each station.

4.2.3 Self-Similar Traffic Model

Traffic generated by the streaming applications such as real-time and best-effort non-real-time video is modelled by self-similar processes. According to the measurement results of Star Wars video trace [3, 4], each station generates real-time and best-effort video traffic with the rate of 360 Kbit/s and Hurst parameter of 0.7. Such traffic can be generated by the superposition of many ON/OFF sources in which the ON and OFF periods follow Pareto distributions (i.e., with high variability or infinite variance) [18]. The lengths of the ON and OFF periods are determined by $K(x^{-1/a} - 1)$ where x is a uniformly distributed random number between 0 and 1, a = 3 - 2H, K = m(a - 1) and m is the mean length of ON and OFF period, respectively [13]. In the simulation experiments, we used the superposition of five Pareto-distributed ON/OFF flows with the mean ON period of 10ms and OFF period of 100ms to generate video traffic.

4.3 Performance Results

The simulation experiments aim to investigate the performance of



Figure 6. Mean access delay of the EDCA protocol



Figure 5. Throughput of the improved MAC Scheme

the improved MAC scheme in the IEEE 802.11e WLANs in terms of throughput, access delay, and medium utilization in the presence of heterogeneous traffic. The average throughput is calculated as the amount of data actually delivered to the destination during each time unit. Many factors affect the throughput, including the efficiency of collision avoidance, medium utilization, latency, and control overhead. The access delay is defined as the time elapsed between the arrival of a packet from the higher layer to the MAC layer and the start of the successful transmission on wireless medium. We measure the access delay to find out how well the MAC scheme accommodates real-time traffic, especially the voice and video traffic. Medium utilization is referred to the percentage of time that is used for successful transmission.

4.3.1 Throughput

In this subsection, we evaluate the throughput of the improved MAC scheme and the original EDCA protocol. As shown in Figures 4 and 5, the throughput of the highest priority voice traffic with the improved scheme is higher than the original EDCA. This is because the contention window of the highest priority traffic with the EDCA protocol is relatively small, leading to the increasing number of transmission collisions and directly affects the throughput. As a result, choosing a small contention window could increase the number of collisions and degrade the system throughput.

Moreover, the throughput of the voice traffic with the improved MAC scheme is significantly higher than the original EDCA. For example, when there are 16 active stations the throughput of the voice traffic is around 1.9 Mbit/s and 1.0 Mbit/s when the improved scheme and the original EDCA are used, respectively.



Figure 7. Mean access delay of the improved MAC scheme



Figure 8. Medium utilization of the EDCA protocol

Compared to the original EDCA, the improved scheme achieves the better throughput of the real-time video as well. Figures 4 and 5 reveal that the throughput of the real-time video with the improved scheme is higher than that of the original EDCA under all cases. Although the same minimum contention window is used for the voice and real-time video traffic, the improved MAC scheme could still keep a good prioritization level between them. This is because this scheme adopts different increasing functions.

We can also observe from the figures that the improved scheme achieves the better throughput than the original EDCA for the best-effort video and background traffic. As a result, this new scheme can achieve the higher throughput than the original EDCA for all ACs, especially for the voice and real-time video traffic. In addition, it keeps the prioritization among all ACs at a good level. Moreover, by simply summing up the throughput of each traffic category, it is apparent that the improved MAC scheme has a much higher system throughput than the original EDCA.

4.3.2 Access Delay

Figures 6 and 7 show the mean access delay for all ACs as a function of the traffic loads for the improved MAC scheme and the original EDCA, respectively. The mean access delay of the voice traffic decreases significantly when the improved scheme is used. In general, this scheme maintains around 50% lower of the mean access delay than the original EDCA for the voice traffic. As shown in the figures, the mean access delay of the real-time video traffic with the improved scheme is lower than that of the EDCA when the number of active stations is less than 17. After this point, the improved scheme has the same access delay as the original EDCA for the real-time video traffic. This is due to the increasing bandwidth demand by the highest priority voice traffic. Moreover, the improved scheme can support 1 or 2 more stations for the background or best-effort video traffic, respectively, compared to the original EDCA protocol before the delay becomes infinity. To summarize, the improved scheme outperforms the original EDCA in terms of access delay because of the suitable contention window selected by this scheme.

4.3.3 Medium Utilization

In addition to throughput and access delay, Figures 8 and 9 compare the medium utilization of the improved MAC scheme and the original EDCA protocol. It is evident that the improved scheme usually has the higher medium utilization than the original EDCA. This is because the EDCA suffers from a large number of collisions due to the relatively small contention windows used for



Figure 9. Medium utilization of the Improved MAC scheme

the voice and real-time video. As a result, the improved scheme can reduce the number of collisions and maintain a higher medium utilization than the original EDCA. It is also clear that, under the heavy offered loads (i.e. when there are more than 12 active stations); the medium utilization of the voice traffic is 35% higher in the improved scheme than that of the EDCA protocol. Furthermore, the improved scheme can maintain the better utilization for other ACs. For example, the medium utilization of the real-time video is around 11% with the improved scheme while it is around 8% with the EDCA protocol when there are 12 active stations.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The provisioning of differentiated QoS in multimedia WLANs is a challenging research issue. The key contributions of this paper are twofold: (1) proposing an improved MAC scheme in order to enhance the performance and service differentiation of WLANs with multimedia applications; (2) conducting the extensive performance evaluation of the proposed scheme using the well-known network simulator NS-2. To capture the diverse nature of the multiple services, the WLANs are subject to the heterogeneous non-bursty Poisson, bursty ON/OFF, and self-similar traffic generated by wireless multimedia applications.

Performance results have shown that the improved MAC scheme outperforms the original EDCA in terms of throughput, access delay, and medium utilization. More specifically, under the high system loads, the throughput of the highest-priority voice traffic increases up to 36%, the medium utilization increases 49%, and the access delay decreases 50%. Moreover, this scheme also performs better for other ACs than the original EDCA protocol. Therefore, the proposed scheme can improve the system throughput and medium utilization as well as reduce the access delay. Moreover, this scheme keeps the prioritization between different ACs at a good level.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research was supported in part by the UK EPSRC Grant EP/C525027/1.

7. REFERENCES

 J. Beran, R. Sherman, M.S. Taqqu, and W. Willinger, Longrange dependence in variable-bit-rate video traffic, *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 43, no. 2-4, 1995, pp. 1566-1579.

- [2] S. Byun, S. Lee, and S. Park, Performance compensation of IEEE 802.11e QoS stations with coexistence of legacy 802.11 stations, *Proc. 9th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT'2007)*, vol.1, 2007, pp. 18-22.
- [3] S. Choi, J. D. Prado, S. Shankar, and S. Mangold, IEEE 802.11e Contention-based channel access (EDCF) performance evaluation, *Proc. IEEE Information and Communication Conference (ICC'2003)*, vol. 2, 2003, pp. 1151-1156.
- [4] B. Chen, Z. Lucic, and L. Trajkovic, Simulation and wavelet analysis of packet traffic, http://www.ensc.sfu.ca/people/ faculty/ljilja/cnl/pdf/bruce_asi2002.pdf, 2005.
- [5] R. Cox, Three new speech coder from the ITU cover a range of applications, *IEEE Communication Magazine*, vol. 35, no. 9, 1997, pp. 40-47.
- [6] M. Frikha, F.B. Said, L. Maalej, and F. Tabbana, Enhancing IEEE 802.11e standard in congested environments, *Proc. Advanced International Conference on Telecommunications and International Conference on Internet and Web Applications and Services (AICT-ICIW'2006)*, 2006, pp. 78-82.
- [7] I. Gannoune, A non-linear dynamic tuning of the minimum contention window (CWmin) for enhanced service differentiation in IEEE 802.11 ad-hoc networks, *Proc. Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC'2006)*, 2006, pp. 1266-1271.
- [8] D. Gao, J. Cai, P. Bao, and Z. He, MPEG-4 video streaming quality evaluation in IEEE 802.11e WLANs, *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP'2005)*, vol. 1, 2005, pp. 197-200.
- [9] M. Garrett, and W. Willinger, Analysis, modelling and generation of self-similar VBR video traffic, Proc. Conference on Communications Architectures, Protocols and Applications, (SIGCOMM'1994), 1994, pp. 269-280.
- [10] M. Gast, 802.11 wireless networks: the definitive guide, vol.1, O'Reilly, 2002.
- [11] A. Grilo, and M. Nunes, Performance evaluation of IEEE 802.11e Proc. 13th IEEE International Symposium, Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC'2002), vol. 1, 2002, pp. 511-517.
- [12] A. Guchhait, R. Muralidhar, and A. Bakre, Performance of Real-Time Traffic in EDCA-BASED IEEE 802.11 b/g WLANS, Proc. First International Conference on Communication System Software and Middleware (COMSWARE'2006), 2006, pp. 1-7.
- [13] M. Hassan, and R. Jain, High performance TCP/IP networking concepts, issues and solutions. Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004.

- [14] C. Huang, and W. Liao, Throughput and delay performance of IEEE 802.11e enhanced distributed channel access (EDCA) under saturation condition, *IEEE Trans. Wireless Communications*, vol. 6, no.1, 2007, pp. 136-145.
- [15] A. Lindgren, A. Almquist, and O. Schelen, Evaluation of quality of service schemes for IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs, *Proc. 26th Annual IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN'2001)*, 2001, pp. 348 – 351.
- [16] S. Mangold, S. Choi, G. Hiertz, O. Klein, and B. Walke, Analysis of IEEE 802.11e for QoS support in wireless LANs, *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 6, 2003, pp. 40–50.
- [17] S. Mangold, S. Choi, Peter May, O. Klein, G. Hiertz, and L. Stibor, IEEE 802.11e wireless LAN for quality of service, *Proc. European Wireless Conference (EW'2002)*, Italy, 2002.
- [18] V. Paxson, and S. Floyd, Wide-area traffic: the failure of poisson modeling, *IEEE/ACM transaction on networking*, vol. 3, no. 3, 1995, pp. 226-244.
- [19] N. Qiang, Performance analysis and enhancements for IEEE 802.11e wireless networks, *IEEE Network Magazine*, vol. 19, no. 4, 2005, pp. 21-27.
- [20] Z. Tao, and S. Panwar, Throughput and delay analysis for the IEEE 802.11e enhanced distributed channel access, *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 54, no. 4, 2006, pp. 596-603.
- [21] O. Tickoo, and B. Sikdar, On the impact of IEEE 802.11 MAC on traffic characteristics, *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 21, no. 2, 2003, pp. 189-203.
- [22] H. L. Truong, and G. Vannuccini, Performance evaluation of the QoS enhanced IEEE 802.11e MAC layer, Proc. 57th IEEE Semi-annual, Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC'2003), vol.2, 2003, pp. 940-944.
- [23] S. Wietholter, and C. Hoene, Design and verification of an IEEE 802.11e EDCF simulation model in NS-2.26, technical report TKN-03-019, Telecommunication Networks Group, Technical University Berlin, November, 2003.
- [24] H. Zhu, G. Cao, A. Yener, and A.D. Mathias, EDCF-DM: a novel enhanced distributed coordination function for wireless ad hoc networks, *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC'2004)*, vol.7, 2004, pp. 3886- 3890.
- [25] IEEE WG 802.11, Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications, *ISO/IEC* 8802-11:1999(E), IEEE Standards 802.11, 1999.
- [26] IEEE WG 802.11, Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical layer (PHY) specifications, Amendment 8: Medium Access Control (MAC) Quality of Service Enhancements, IEEE Standards 802.11e, 2005.
- [27] Executive Briefing: Wireless Network Security, www.docs.hp.com, 2006.