



# Margin-Based Active Online Learning Techniques for Cooperative Spectrum Sharing in CR Networks

K. Praveen Kumar<sup>(✉)</sup>, Eva Lagunas, Shree Krishna Sharma, Satyanarayana Vuppala, Symeon Chatzinotas, and Björn Ottersten

Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability and Trust University of Luxembourg,  
Luxembourg City, Luxembourg  
praveen.korra@uni.lu

**Abstract.** In this paper, we consider a problem of acquiring accurate spectrum availability information in the Cooperative Spectrum Sensing (CSS) based Cognitive Radio Networks (CRNs), where a fusion center collects the sensing information from all the sensing nodes within the network, analyzes the information and determines the spectrum availability. Although Machine Learning (ML) techniques have been recently applied to enhance the cooperative sensing performance in CRNs, they are mostly supervised learning based techniques and need a significant amount of labeled data, which is difficult to acquire in practice. Towards relaxing this requirement of large labeled data of supervised learning, we focus on Active Learning (AL), where the fusion center can query the label of the most uncertain cooperative sensing measurements. This is particularly relevant in CRN environments where primary user behavior changes in a quick manner. In this regard, we briefly review the existing AL techniques and adapt them to the considered CSS based CRNs. More importantly, we propose a novel margin based active on-line learning algorithm that selects the instance to be queried and updates the classifier by using the Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) technique. In this approach, whenever an unlabeled instance is presented, the proposed AL algorithm compares the margin of instance with a threshold to decide whether it should query a label or not. Supporting results based on numerical simulations show that the proposed method has significant advantages on classification and detection performances, and time-complexity as compared to state-of-the-art techniques.

**Keywords:** Active learning · Cooperative spectrum sensing · Cognitive radio network

## 1 Introduction

Due to the extensive proliferation of wireless applications and services, the wireless data traffic increases at an alarming rate and it is estimated to increase 10

folds in the next few years as compared to the current data traffic [1,2]. Though this increasing demand for wireless services has made the usable radio frequency spectrum a scarce and expensive resource, field trials for channel measurements have illustrated that most of the time the radio spectrum is under-utilized by the licensed users, also known as primary users (PUs) [3]. In this context, Opportunistic Spectrum Access (OSA) is envisaged as a candidate technology to mitigate the spectrum scarcity by improving the utilization of spectrum [4]. In interweave CR networks (CRNs), the secondary users (SUs) can access the spectrum of the PUs opportunistically when the PU transmission is detected to be idle. Therefore, an efficient spectrum sensing mechanism is essential for the realization of efficient OSA.

In the above context, various spectrum sensing methods have been extensively discussed in the literature [5,6]. In this paper, we concentrate on energy detection (ED), which is one of the most widely accepted method as it is by far the cheapest and simplest option. However, due to the noise effect, the sensing efficiency of the ED method may be significantly degraded in the lower SNR regime [7]. Further, when the SUs are distributed in distinct locations, due to shadowing and severe multi-path fading, the hidden PU problem may occur. In this respect, the cooperative spectrum sensing (CSS) mechanism has been proposed to improve the sensing reliability by jointly processing the sensing information in a fusion center [8].

Machine learning techniques applied to CSS for CRNs have received significant research attention recently, e.g. [9–11]. In the context of interweave CR, the spectrum sensing problem reduces to a binary classification on the channel availability. Two major categories of learning methods to train the classifier are supervised and unsupervised learning methods. In supervised learning, first, the feature vectors (i.e., data) should be labeled prior to training. Next, the feature vectors and its corresponding labels are fed into the classifier for training. Support vector machine (SVM) is one of the most popular supervised learning methods. SVM was exploited for spectrum sensing in [9,10], showing its superior performance as compared with the conventional ED based spectrum sensing. In contrast to the supervised learning algorithms, unsupervised learning algorithms do not require training (i.e., no labeled data). Examples of unsupervised learning applied to CSS can be found in [10,11], where [11] has adopted a linear fusion rule for CSS and utilized the linear discriminant analysis to obtain linear coefficient weights, and [10] explored techniques such as Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) and K-means clustering.

In the CSS problem, labeling data means knowing whether the PU is active or not at a particular time instant. In such a case, unlabeled data is abundant (i.e., spectrum sensing measurements) but labeling is challenging, as it requires feedback from the PUs to the SUs. To address this problem, Active Learning (AL) have been recently proposed, allowing the learning algorithms to dynamically query instances for labeling. AL has been applied in [12,13] to learn the interference channel between the PU and SU in an underlay CRN. In addition, the PUs behavior is not static, i.e., it may change from active to inactive at any time and vice-versa. In order to react faster to PU activity changes, in this paper, we investigate and analyze the applicability of online AL in ED-based CSS.

First, we consider the perceptron based online AL technique [14] (also known as CBGZ algorithm), and we apply it to the proposed CSS problem. In this approach, when the classifier receives the energy measurements from the distributed SUs, it first predicts the availability of the channel from the energy instance, and then utilizes a random sampling approach to decide whether the label for such instance should be queried or not (i.e., if PU feedback is needed or not). If yes, the classifier acquires the true label from the system and follows the standard perceptron approach to update the classifier. Subsequently, the online passive aggressive AL (PAAL) algorithm [15] is considered for the proposed CSS problem. This algorithm follows the similar idea of CBGZ algorithm for querying, but utilizes an efficient PA learning strategy to update the classifier. However, the aforementioned AL algorithms depend on the uncertainty sampling strategy for querying, which is not accurate. To address this, we propose a margin-based online AL algorithm with reduced threshold and Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) update. In this algorithm, margin-based condition is utilized, which compares the margin of incoming instance with a threshold to decide whether it should query the class label or not. Finally, we compare and illustrate the performance of all the aforementioned algorithms through numerical simulations, and also we compare them with the conventional supervised and unsupervised counterparts.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the system model. We discuss the ML and AL based frameworks for the CSS, and propose a margin-based AL algorithm for CSS in Sect. 3. The numerical evaluations of proposed algorithms are discussed in Sect. 4. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5.

## 2 System Model

We consider an interweave CRN, consisting of a PU, and  $N$  number of SUs where each SU is indexed by  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ . The SUs collect energy measurements which are sent to a fusion center which jointly analyzes them and determines the channel availability. The PU is placed at the coordinate  $d^{PU}$  and the  $m^{th}$  SU is placed at the coordinate  $d_m^{SU}$  in the two-dimensional (2D) space. Further, all channels are considered to experience path loss, and independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Nakagami- $m$  fading. Therefore, the channel gain  $h_m$  between the PU and the  $m^{th}$  SU is expressed as

$$h_m = PL(|d^{PU} - d_m^{SU}|)F_m \quad (1)$$

where  $PL(r) = r^{-\beta}$  represents the path loss respective to distance between the PU and SU with the path loss exponent  $\beta$ , and  $F_m$  is the Nakagami- $m$  fading component.

We assume the PU activity to vary over time in a random fashion, with 0.5 of probability of being in active state. Let  $S$  denotes the state of PU. If the PU is in active state, then  $S = 1$ , and otherwise  $S = 0$ . Thus, the availability of channel at the  $t^{th}$  instant is written as

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } S = 1 \\ -1, & \text{if } S = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $Y_t = +1$  represents the unavailability of channel and  $Y_t = -1$  represents the availability of channel.

We assume the cooperative sensing approach as shown in Fig. 1, in which each SU first determines the energy level of the signal transmitted by PU and reports it to a fusion center or classifier. Further, based on the sensed energy levels reported by all SUs, the fusion center or classifier estimates the availability of spectrum.





Fig. 1. Learning based cooperative spectrum sensing model

In order to perform the energy detection, SUs require to compute the energy level of primary transmitted signal for a certain period of time. The frame structure of the SU is depicted in Fig. 2. Each frame consists the deciding time  $\tau$  (i.e., a combination of sensing time ( $\tau_s$ ), classification time ( $\tau_c$ ), and reporting time ( $\tau_r$ )) and a transmission duration ( $T - \tau$ ). Let  $W$  be the assigned bandwidth for the signal transmission and  $\tau_s$  be the spectrum sensing duration; then, the energy detector senses  $W\tau_s$  complex samples during the sensing time. Therefore, the  $i^{th}$  signal sample sensed by the  $m^{th}$  SU can be expressed as

$$X_m(i) = Sh_my(i) + Z_m(i) \quad (3)$$



**Fig. 2.** Frame structure of SU transmission

where  $y(i)$  denotes the transmitted signal by the PU, and  $Z_m(i)$  is the thermal noise at the  $m^{\text{th}}$  SU. Thus, at the output of energy detector of  $m^{\text{th}}$  SU, the total estimated energy of received signal samples normalized by noise spectral density can be expressed as [8]

$$e_{m,l} = \frac{2}{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{W\tau_s} |X_m(i)|^2, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N, \text{ and } l = 1, 2, \dots, L \quad (4)$$

where  $\eta = \mathbb{E}\{|Z_m(i)|^2\}$  is the power spectral density of noise. The complete set of sensed energies from  $N$  SUs at a given time  $t$  can be written in vector form as follows,

$$\mathcal{E}_t = [e_{1,t} \ e_{2,t} \ \dots \ e_{N,t}]^T \quad (5)$$

where  $e_{m,t}$  is equivalent to (4) assuming that we collect energy measurements at each  $t^{\text{th}}$  frame. The learning based classifier or fusion center receives  $\mathcal{E}_t$  sequentially and has to make a decision on the channel availability after each reception of energy measurements. In order to classify the incoming energy instance properly, using the AL strategies, the classifier sometimes queries the PU for true label, where the probing output provides a one bit feedback to the classifier given by (2). After this classification process, if the channel is identified as idle, the information is transmitted for the remaining time of the frame.

### 3 Learning Based Frameworks for Spectrum Sensing

ML based frameworks have already been adapted for CSS applications in the literature [8] and it has been shown that supervised learning algorithms are efficient for these applications. However, in supervised learning tasks, a huge data set with labels is required to train the ML model. The gathering of data and labeling for it is very time-consuming and costly in these specific applications. To address this problem, in this section, we develop online AL algorithms based CSS schemes to predict the channel availability. First, we exploit the margin based online AL algorithms such as CBGZ [14] and PAAL [15] and we adapt them to fit in the proposed CSS problem. Next, we propose a reduced threshold and SGD update based online AL algorithm for CSS.

### 3.1 The CBGZ Algorithm for CSS

In an attempt to minimize the number of requested labeled queries and so the feedback from the PU, the CBGZ algorithm makes use of an improved Perceptron-type of algorithm, which considers a margin-based filtering rule. The application of CBGZ in the problem at hand is as follows. After receiving the energy measurement vector  $\mathcal{E}_t$ , the algorithm queries the PU for the label with a probability of  $d/(d + |\hat{b}|)$  [14], where  $d$  is a constant and  $\hat{b}$  represents the uncertainty of the received instance, and it is computed as a margin of the received measurement instance with respect to the present hypothesis. Next, the algorithm's prediction is compared with the feedback received by the PU and if does not match, the standard Perceptron update process is invoked. The summary of the CBGZ algorithm for CSS is presented in Algorithm 1.

---

#### Algorithm 1. The CBGZ Algorithm for CSS

---

**Input:**  $d$  (Smoothing parameter)  
**Initialization:**  $w_t = 0$ ;  
**for**  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$  **do**  
  Receive  $\mathcal{E}_t$   
  Set  $f_t = w^T \mathcal{E}_t$   
  Predict  $\hat{y} = \text{sign}(f_t)$   
  Flip a coin with  $P(\text{Heads}) = \frac{d}{d+|\hat{b}|}$   
  **if** Heads **then**  
    Receive  $Y_t$   
    **if**  $Y_t \neq \hat{y}$  **then**  
       $w_{t+1} = w_t + \eta Y_t \mathcal{E}_t^T$   
       $w_{t+1} = w_t$   
    **end if**  
  **end if**  
**end for**

---

### 3.2 Passive Aggressive AL for CSS

In this section, we consider the PAAL algorithm for the proposed CSS problem. As in CBGZ, this algorithm consists of two stages: (i) querying process and (ii) classifier updating process. In the first stage, the algorithm follows the same approach of margin-based AL.

First, the algorithm estimates the uncertainty or margin of the incoming energy instance using the current classifier i.e.,  $p_t = w_t \cdot \mathcal{E}_t$ . Next, it draws a Bernoulli random variable  $Z_t \in \{0, 1\}$  with probability  $C/(C + |p_t|)$  [15] to decide whether the label should be requested or not. If  $Z_t = 0$ , the class label is not queried and the classifier is not updated. Otherwise, the class label of the incoming energy instance is queried at the PU, and unlike the previous margin-based AL algorithm that updates the classifier only whenever a misclassification

occurs, the PAAL algorithm updates the classifier whenever the value of loss function is not zero. Then, the classifier updates as  $w_{t+1} = w_t + \xi_t Y_t \mathcal{E}_t$ , where  $\xi_t$  is the step-size estimated as [15]

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} l_t(w_t)/(\|\mathcal{E}_t\|^2 + 1/(2A)) & ; \text{PAA-3} \\ \min(C, l_t(w_t)/(\|\mathcal{E}_t\|^2)) & ; \text{PAA-2} \\ l_t(w_t)/(\|\mathcal{E}_t\|^2) & ; \text{PAA-1} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The summary of the PAAL algorithm for CSS is provided in Algorithm 2.

---

**Algorithm 2.** PAA algorithm for CSS

---

**Input:**  $A > 0$  (Penalty Parameter);  $C > 1$  (Smoothing Parameter)

**Initialization:**  $w_t = 0$

**for**  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$  **do**

Receive  $\mathcal{E}_t$

Predict  $\hat{y}_t = \text{sign}(w^T \mathcal{E}_t)$

Draw a Bernoulli random variable  $Z_t \in \{0, 1\}$  w.p  $\frac{C}{C+|p_t|}$

**if**  $Z_t = 1$  **then**

Query the label  $Y_t \in \{-1, +1\}$

compute the loss  $l_t(w_t) = \max(0, 1 - Y_t w_t^T \mathcal{E}_t)$

$w_{t+1} = w_t + \xi_t Y_t \mathcal{E}_t$

**else**

$w_{t+1} = w_t$

**end if**

**end for**

---

### 3.3 Proposed Margin-Based Method with Reduced Threshold and SGD Update

Both PAAL and CBGZ algorithms utilize a computationally complex uncertain sampling strategy for querying. Hence, in order to avoid the uncertainty sampling step, in this section, we propose a threshold based querying strategy algorithm for CSS. The proposed algorithm comprises of two steps: (i) selection of instance, and (ii) Update process of the classifier. The margin of  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is computed as  $W_t^T \mathcal{E}_t$ , and that is compared with the existing threshold  $C_t$ . If the margin is lower than the existing threshold, then the classifier  $w_t$  is updated using the SGD step. Finally, we determine the upper bound of estimation error, which is used in the update of threshold  $C_t$ . The complete procedure is summarized as Algorithm 3.

**Computation of Threshold ( $C_t$ ):** Assume  $w_*$  is an optimal classifier with  $v$  error rate. Here, our objective is to find the condition that for a given energy instance, the classifier  $w_t$  should provide the same prediction as the optimal one  $w_*$ . This condition reveals if a certain energy instance is not useful to our classifier, and thus if it can be excluded by the threshold.

For each iteration  $t$ , consider  $\phi_t$  is the angle of  $w_t$  and  $w_*$ . For every energy instance  $\mathcal{E}_t$ ,  $w_*(\mathcal{E}_t)$  and  $w_t(\mathcal{E}_t)$  predict the same sign if the margin of the instance  $|w_t^T \mathcal{E}_t|$  is greater than the angle of classifier  $\phi_t$ . If  $|w_t^T \mathcal{E}_t| \leq \phi_t$ , for instance  $\{\mathcal{E}_t : \text{sign}(h_t(\mathcal{E}_t)) \neq \text{sign}(h_*(\mathcal{E}_t))\}$ . Therefore, we have to make  $C_t \geq \phi_t$ .

The upper bound for  $\phi_t$  can be expressed as

$$P\{|w_t^T \mathcal{E}_t| \leq \phi_t\} \leq b_a P\{\mathcal{E}_t : \text{sign}(h_t(\mathcal{E}_t)) \neq \text{sign}(h_*(\mathcal{E}_t))\} \quad (7)$$

where  $b_a$  is a constant and  $P$  is the instances distribution. We have  $P\{E_t : \text{sign}(h_t(\mathcal{E}_t)) \neq \text{sign}(h_*(\mathcal{E}_t))\} \leq 2v + Er_t$  and  $\phi_t \leq b_c P\{|w_t^T \mathcal{E}_t| \leq \phi_t\}$ . Finally, by using equations, we get  $\phi_t \leq B(2v + Er_t)$ . where  $B = b_a b_c$ . Therefore, we set the threshold to be  $C_t = B(2v + Er_t)$ .

**Classifier Update using SGD:** SGD updates the classifier as

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \epsilon_t \frac{\partial}{\partial w} l(w^T \mathcal{E}_t, Y_t) \quad (8)$$

where  $l(w^T \mathcal{E}_t)$  is the hinge loss. After some mathematical manipulations, we obtain the following SGD update policy,

$$w_{t+1} = \begin{cases} w_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_t \mathcal{E}_t, & \text{if } Y_t w^T \mathcal{E}_t < 1/\sqrt{t}, \\ w_t & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

---

**Algorithm 3.** Reduced Threshold with SGD update for CSS

---

**Input:**  $B; v$  (Error)  
**Initialization:**  $w_t = 0; C_t = 1;$   
**for**  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$  **do**  
  Receive  $\mathcal{E}_t$   
  Predict  $\text{sign}(w^T \mathcal{E}_t)$   
  Receive  $Y_t$   
  **if**  $|w^T \mathcal{E}_t| < C_t$  **then**  
    **if**  $Y_t w^T \mathcal{E}_t < \gamma$  **then**  
       $w_{t+1} = w_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_t \mathcal{E}_t$   
    **end if**  
  **end if**  
   $Er_t = \frac{\log(t)}{\sqrt{t}}$   
   $C_{t+1} = B(2v + Er_t)$   
**end for**

---

## 4 Numerical Evaluations

To show the benefits of the proposed scheme, in this section, we evaluate the empirical performance of the considered online AL algorithms for CSS tasks in CRNs and we compare them with state-of-the art ML algorithms such as SVM and K-means in terms of miss-classification rate and time-complexity.



#### 4.1 Simulation Parameters

We consider a wireless network with a PU that is located at coordinates (500 m, 0) and nine SUs involving in CSS that are located in a 3-by-3 grid topology in the area of  $2000\text{ m} \times 2000\text{ m}$  as depicted in Fig. 3. Further, we assign 5 MHz bandwidth  $W$  for each transmission, each frame duration is 100 ms, sensing duration  $\tau_s$  is assumed as 10 ms, the PL exponent is 3, and the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is considered.




Fig. 3. Example of network model with  $N = 9$  SUs

In the online AL algorithms, there are some other parameters such as optimal error  $v$  and constant  $B$  in Algorithm 3, smoothing parameter  $C$  and penalty parameter  $A$  in Algorithm 2, learning parameter  $\eta$  and constant  $d$  to compute the probability of sampling in Algorithm 1, which are all estimated through the cross validation procedure. We ran the experiment over a total of 1024 frames. All the experiments were performed and averaged over 5 independent Monte-Carlo runs, each with a random PUs behavior resulting in a different permutation of the energy dataset within the 1024 instances.


For the experiments related to the passive learning SVM algorithm, we used 1024 energy instances for the training and 1024 energy instances for the testing. For the experiments related to the passive learning K-means algorithm, we computed the classifier assuming 1024 non-labeled energy instances and next we proceeded to the testing phase with 1024 different energy instances.

In the testing of online AL algorithms, all energy instances come one by one in a sequential manner. If the incoming energy instance is identified as a most informative, then the querying process is executed to obtain the true class label. The latter is assumed to be provided by the PUs in the form of 1-bit feedback. After receiving the true channel availability, that energy instance is added to training set. The same process is continued till to the end of the experiment.

#### 4.2 Results and Discussions

Figure 4 illustrates the performance of SVM, K-means and online AL based CSS schemes in terms of mis-classification rate. From the results, it is observed

that the CSS schemes based online AL algorithms outperform the conventional SVM and K-means based CSS schemes. This is due to fact that whenever the misclassification occurs, the considered online AL algorithms update the classifier according to the margin of the incoming energy instance. This classifier updating process helps to reduce the further classification errors. Also, we notice from the results in Fig. 4 that the CSS scheme based on the proposed online AL algorithm with reduced threshold and SGD update outperforms the online PAAL algorithms and the state-of-the art CBGZ AL algorithm based CSS schemes. The proposed algorithm constantly shows almost 10% of lower misclassification rate compared to PAAL algorithms and more than 15% of lower misclassification rate compared to CBGZ algorithm.



**Fig. 4.** Evaluation of CSS schemes based on on-line AL methods and conventional ML methods.

We also provide the detailed comparison of the supervised, unsupervised and online AL algorithms in Tables 1 and 2. As can be seen from Table 1, both the supervised and unsupervised algorithms SVM and K-means are interestingly illustrating the almost same performance using the size of 1024 data samples for training and for the classifier computation, respectively. However, the time-complexity of K-means algorithm is very high as compared to the SVM algorithm. Also, from Table 2, it is observed that the proposed RT+SGD AL algorithm shows better performance compared to other AL algorithms in terms of both misclassification and run time.

In Fig. 5, we show the performance of the proposed online AL based CSS schemes in terms of probability of detection when  $2 \times 2$  SUs (i.e., 4 SUs) and

**Table 1.** Percentage of misclassifications and time complexity

AL algorithms	Percentage of misclassifications	Run time (s)
SVM	25.9	0.0531
K-means	27.1	0.0953

**Table 2.** Percentage of misclassifications and time complexity of online AL algorithms

AL algorithms	Percentage of misclassifications	Run time (s)
CBGZ	27.4	0.0423
PAA-3	25.7	0.0311
PAA-2	25.2	0.0301
PAA-1	24.1	0.0295
SGD+RT	7.5	0.0046

$3 \times 3$  SUs (i.e., 9 SUs) cooperate in CSS. From the obtained results, we observe that the proposed online AL algorithm with reduced threshold and SGD update (SGD+RT) algorithm outperforms the other AL algorithms with almost 3% of detection rate. Also, in Table 3, we provide the percentage of misclassification and the time duration to identify the busy or idle PU channel state using the AL classifiers with 4 and 9 SUs in cooperation for CSS. We also observe from the results that with 9 cooperating SUs, the detection rate of the AL based algorithms improves by increasing the number of instances, as expected. For example, after 200 energy instances the proposed CSS scheme based on the SGD+RT algorithm achieves almost +7% of detection rate with 9 number of SUs cooperation as compared to 4 number of SUs cooperation.

**Table 3.** Percentage of misclassifications and time complexity of  $2 \times 2$  and  $3 \times 3$  SUs cooperation

AL algorithms	Percentage of misclassifications		Run time (s)	
	$2 \times 2$	$3 \times 3$	$2 \times 2$	$3 \times 3$
CBGZ	32.7	27.4	0.0411	0.0423
PAA-1	26.4	24.1	0.0290	0.0295
SGD+RT	13.3	7.5	0.0038	0.0046

We show the performance comparison of AL algorithms based CSS schemes in terms of probability of false alarm ( $P_{fa}$ ) in Fig. 6. From the results, it is observed that the proposed RT+SGD algorithm shows the less false alarm rate as compared to the other two algorithms.




Fig. 5. Probability of detection of CSS schemes based on online AL methods




Fig. 6. Probability of false alarm of CSS schemes based on online AL methods

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have formulated online AL algorithms for CSS. In particular, first, we have utilized the state-of-the-art CBGZ algorithm and the PAAL algorithm, wherein uncertainty sampling with some probability was utilized as a query strategy. To overcome the complexity of the aforementioned sampling strategy, we proposed a margin-based online AL algorithm with reduced threshold and SGD update for CSS, wherein the margin of incoming energy instance was compared with a threshold to decide whether the class label needs to be queried or not. After querying the sample, SGD was used to update the classifier. Finally, we have provided a comparison and analysis of the performance of different online AL algorithms in terms of probability of detection, mis-classifications, and time complexity. The proposed online AL algorithm with reduced threshold and SGD update has achieved the highest detection rate, the lowest misclassification and run time as compared to the state-of-the art CBGZ AL algorithm and PAAL algorithms.

**Acknowledgment.** This work has received partial funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon H2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 742648), and from the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR) in the framework of the AFR research grant entitled “Learning-Assisted Cross-Layer Optimization of Cognitive Communication Networks”.

## References

1. Zhang, L., Liang, Y., Xiao, M.: Spectrum sharing for internet of things: a survey. *IEEE Wirel. Commun.* **99**, 1–8 (2018)
2. Wang, Y.E., et al.: A primer on 3GPP narrowband internet of things. *IEEE Commun. Mag.* **55**(3), 117–123 (2017)
3. Lopez-Benitez, M., Casadevall, F.: Spectrum occupancy in realistic scenarios and duty cycle model for cognitive radio. *Adv. Electron. Telecommun.* **1**(1), 26–34 (2010)
4. Lee, Y.: Opportunistic spectrum access in cognitive networks. *Electron. Lett.* **44**(17), 1022–1024 (2008)
5. Yucek, T., Arslan, H.: A survey of spectrum sensing algorithms for cognitive radio applications. *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.* **11**(1), 116–130 (2009)
6. Sharma, S.K., Bogale, T.K., Chatzinotas, S., Ottersten, B., Le, L.B., Wang, X.: Cognitive radio techniques under practical imperfections: a survey. *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.* **17**(4), 1858–1884 (2015)
7. Axell, E., Leus, G., Larsson, E.G., Poor, H.V.: Spectrum sensing for cognitive radio: state-of-the-art and recent advances. *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.* **29**(3), 101–116 (2012)
8. Saifan, R., Jafar, I., Al-Sukkar, G.: Optimized cooperative spectrum sensing algorithms in cognitive radio networks. *Comput. J.* **60**(6), 835–849 (2017)
9. Zhang, D., Zhai, X.: SVM-based spectrum sensing in cognitive radio. In: *International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing (WICOM)*, Wuhan, China (2011)

10. Thilina, K.M., Choi, K.W., Saquib, N., Hossain, E.: Machine learning techniques for cooperative spectrum sensing in cognitive radio networks. *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.* **31**(11), 2209–2221 (2013)
11. Choi, K.W., Hossain, E., Kim, D.I.: Cooperative spectrum sensing under a random geometric primary user network model. *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.* **10**(6), 1932–1944 (2011)
12. Tsakmalis, A., Chatzinotas, S., Ottersten, B.: Interference constraint active learning with uncertain feedback for cognitive radio networks. *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.* **16**(7), 4654–4668 (2017)
13. Tsakmalis, A., Chatzinotas, S., Ottersten, B.: Constrained Bayesian active learning of interference channels in cognitive radio networks. *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.* **12**(1), 6–19 (2018)
14. Cesa-Bianchi, N., Gentile, C., Zaniboni, L.: Worst-case analysis of selective sampling for linear-threshold algorithms. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems Conference* (2004)
15. Lu, J., Zhao, P., Hoi, S.C.H.: Online passive-aggressive active learning. *Mach. Learn.* **103**(2), 141–183 (2016)