# The Face Object based HEVC System for Video Call

Xi Wang Key Lab of Intelligent Information Processing, Chinese Academy of Sciences(CAS) Institute of Computing Technology, CAS University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China xi.wang@vipl.ict.ac.cn	Chenggang Clarence Yan Department of Automation, Tsinghua University Key Lab of Intelligent Information Processing, CAS Institute of Computing Technology, CAS, Beijing, 100190, China andy.cg.yan@gmail.com	Qingming Huang Key Lab of Intelligent Information Processing, CAS Institute of Computing Technology, CAS University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China qingming.huang@vipl.ict.ac.cn
Li Su Key Lab of Intelligent Information Processing, CAS University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China	Shuqiang Jiang Key Lab of Intelligent Information Processing, CAS Institute of Computing Technology, CAS Beijing, 100190, China	Xianglin Huang Communication University of China (CUC), Beijing, 100024, China huangxl@cuc.edu.cn

shuqiang.jiang@vipl.ict.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

Guaranteing the quality of face object in video call is the key task for video coding systems under the constrained bandwidth of network. Conventionally, the face object is divided into disperse blocks under the hybrid coding framework. Therefore, the characteristics of the complete face object have not been fully used. Meanwhile, it is difficult to predict the complex affine transformations such as rotation and scaling of the face object in neighboring frames based on the current translation motion model.

li.su@vipl.ict.ac.cn

In this paper, we propose an improved video coding scheme for video call. The complex transformation of complete face object is used to improve the compression effect. Experimental results show that our proposed method has better performance compared with HM12.0, the bits rate saving of face region is up to 19.59% under the similar visual quality

### **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

1.4.2 [IMAGE PROCESSING AND COMPUTER VI-SION]: Compression (Coding); H.4 [INFORMATION SYS-TEMS APPLICATIONS]: Communications Applications—Computer conferencing, teleconferencing, and videoconferencing

# **General Terms**

Algorithms, Experimentation

#### Keywords

Video coding, video calls, referent picture set, face processing

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, video calls have been widely applied with the rapid development of internet and mobile communication technology. Meanwhile, more advanced displaying devices ask higher video quality for good visual effects. How to guarantee the visual quality of conversational videos under the limited bandwidth is a challenging topic.

Most of the improved methods of conversational video coding are based on the Region-Of-Interest (ROI) detection[7]. At the encoder side, the quantization parameter and the Rate Distortion Optimization (RDO) Lagrange multiplier[14] are adapted to make the face region corresponds to higher distortion sensitivity[18][8]. At the decoder side, the face region is taken as the most interested area to perform error recovery or error resilient[6][2]. Beyond that, a very low frame-rate video coding strategy for face-to-face teleconference proposed by *Wang* et al. [15]. To reduce the frame rate, only some selected high quality frames will be encoded. These methods just weighted the face region as a key area, the features of the complete face object e.g. rotation and scaling have not been fully take account for encoding.

In the latest High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)[13] standard, the rotation transformation has been utilized to the intra prediction[21][20]. It is effectively for reducing the bits rate. However, due to the coding cost, the complex affine transformation is hard to use to the inter prediction[19]. There are several methods dedicated to the complex motion prediction. Zhang et al.[10] proposed an additional motion candidate of merge mode. The additional complex motion is predict by using the motion information from nearest neighbors. Roman et al.[5] proposed an affine motion field prediction based on translational Motion Vectors (MVs) for better modeling complex motion, which is used as a postprocessing step after mode decision and Motion Estimation (ME). A parametric skip mode based on higher-order parametric motion model is presented in[3] for better prediction of complex motion. The methods described above estimated the rough complex affine transformation by translation motions of nearly units, but did not consider it as a the independent object. In our previous work[16], we established a personal face patch database for performing face distortion recovery of conversational videos at the decoder side, which gains high quality promotion by utilized the correlations of the face object such as complex affine transformation. This scheme is worked for the reconstructed conversational videos, and it is independent of the CODEC.

In this paper, we introduce the complex affine transformation to prediction procedure for video calls. The face objects in the conversational videos are detected at first. Then, the exact affine transformation of face objects in adjacent frames are obtained and used to reset the referent picture set. The inter prediction of our scheme is based on the new referent picture which is much closer to the current frame.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: The procedure of our proposed scheme is given in Section 2. In this section, the details of face processing and the modified encoding procedures are also be presented . In Section 3, the experimental results are reported. Finally, the paper is concluded in Section 4.

# 2. THE FACE OBJECT BASED VIDEO COD-ING SYSTEM

The flowchart of the face object based encoding system for video call in show in Figure .1. Assume that the current input frame is  $I_0$ . First, a face detection program will be performed to locate the face region on  $I_0$ . The position of the face will be recorded when there is a face.

Then, we check the referent pictures set (RPS) of  $I_0$ . Support R is a referent picture of the current frame  $I_0$ . If R also have a face region, R should be aligned to  $I_0$  to make the face region of R maximum approximate to the one of  $I_0$ . The aligned picture R' will be added to the RPS of  $I_0$ .

Finally,  $I_0$  will be compressed by using the new RPS. And the alignment parameters need to transfer to the decoder side. Due to the new referent picture R' is used by the whole slice rather than a unit, we encode the alignment parameters in the slice header of  $I_0$ . After that, R' will be deleted from the RPS.

It should be noticed that the **I** type slice does not have RP-S, therefore, the additional referent picture doesn't work on the Intra prediction. However, the **I** frames can be used as referent pictures. For this reason, the face detection procedure should be also executed to the **I** frames. For each frame, the face detection procedure performs only once, and the detection results will be recorded.



Figure 1: The flowchart of the face object based coding system at encoder side



Figure 2: The obtained feature points and face region

# 2.1 Face Processing Procedures

The quality of face region is the most important thing in a video call. The proposed improved coding system is designed for the video call. Therefore, to process the face is one of the key steps in our work. It mainly contain two parts, 1) Face detection; 2) Face alignment.

# 2.1.1 Face Detection

The first goal of face detection is to check weather there is a big face in the current frame. If there is a face, the region of the face and the position of the eyes are needed. *Milborrow* et al.[9] proposed an effective algorithm to locate facial features with an extended active shape model  $(STASM)^1$ . The method in [9] is adopted to detect the face in our paper. A diagram of detection result is shown as Figure .2.

If the current frame contains a face, by performing the algorithm STASM, 76 facial feature points can be obtained,

<sup>1</sup>The source code of STASM can be downloaded from http://www.milbo.users.sonic.net/stasm.





Figure 3: A diagram of elements in function(3). The testing images are taken from the Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW) [4] dataset.

else the number of points return 0. 7 of these points are which we needed. The position of the 7 feature points are marked in Figure .2. Point 1 and point 3 are used to locate the left and right boundary of the face region, respectively. Point 2 is marked to get the bottom boundary. The upper boundary is defined by the toper one of point 4 and point 5. Point 6 and Point 7 describe the eyes position which are recorded for the face aligning step.

#### 2.1.2 Face Alignment

In this section, the goal is to minimal the difference between the face region of current frame  $I_0$  and the one of aligned referent picture  $R^{'}$ . After face detection, the face region and eyes position of  $I_0$  and original referent picture R can be obtained. The objective function of face alignment in our paper can be define as:

$$\min_{R',\tau} \|G_{I_0} - G_{R'}\|_2 \quad s.t. \quad R' = R \circ \tau \tag{1}$$

where  $G_x$  represents the face region of picture x.  $\tau$  is a image affine transformation matrix which is computed as:

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} S_u & 0 & 0\\ 0 & S_v & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ T_u & T_v & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

where  $\begin{bmatrix} S_u & 0 & 0\\ 0 & S_v & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is the image scaling transformation matrix,  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ T_u & T_v & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  describe

the image rotation and translation transformation matrix, respectively. Which means the aligning transformation contains scaling, rotation and translation but does not processes the distortion transformation and perspective transformation.

In order to deal with occlusions,  $\min_{R',\tau} \|G_{I_0} - G_{R'}\|_2$  can be switched to the similar function  $\min_{R',\tau} rank([G_{I_0}G'_{R'}])$ 





Figure 4: A diagram of the effect of face alignment. (a) Frame  $I_0$  (b) Frame R (c) The minimum residuals error of face regions in  $I_0$  and R. (d) The aligned picture  $R^{'}, R^{'} = R \circ \tau$ . (e) The minimum residuals error of face regions in  $I_0$  and R'. The horizontal axis and vertical axis are painted in (d). It can be observed that,  $R^{'}$  is obtained through slight rotating and shrinking on R, and the residuals error shown in (e) is smaller than the one shown in (c).

in Equation(1), where  $G_{R'}^{'} = G_{R'} + E$ , E is a noise matrix. Then, the formulation (1) can be modified as follows:

$$\min_{R',\tau} rank([G_{I_0}G'_{R'}]) \ s.t. \ R' = R \circ \tau, \ \|E\|_0 \le k$$
(3)

where the  $\ell^0$  norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  computes the number of nonzero elements in the error matrix E, and k is a given constant that describes the maximum number of corrupted pixels of  $G_{R'}$ . A diagram of  $G_{I_0}$ ,  $G_{R'}$ ,  $G'_{R'}$  and E is shown in Figure .3. In our previous work[17], we designed a fast method based on the algorithm proposed by Yigang Peng et al.[11] to solve the function(3). The details are represented in [17]. After face alignment, the face region in new referent picture R' gets to be more similar to the one in current frame  $I_0$ . An example of face aligning results is shown as Figure .4. It can be observed that the residuals error is much smaller after doing face alignment.

#### **Encoding Procedures** 2.2

After getting the new referent picture R', it needs to add R'into the RPS and transfer the image affine transformation matrix  $\tau$  to the decoder.



Figure 5: The referent picture list

#### 2.2.1 Setting the Referent Picture Set

The RPS in HEVC decides how perviously decoded pictures are managed in a decoded picture buffer (DPB) in order to be used for reference[12]. Reference picture list initialization creates default **List 0** and **List 1**. Due to the low-delay requirement of video calls, we just adopt **I** type and **P** type slices for coding. Therefore, only **List 0** will be used.

As shown in Figure .5, each picture in the **List 0** has gone through the face detection procedure. A flag is defined to mark weather this picture has a face. A new picture will be created for the first facial picture in the list, and be added to follow the last referent picture. Of course, the index of referent pictures should not be bigger than the allowed maximum number of referent pictures.

#### 2.2.2 Encoding the Slice Header

If the aligned referent picture is added, it needs do the same step in the decoder side. Therefore, the image affine transformation matrix  $\tau$  should be coded into the slice header.  $\begin{bmatrix} S_u \cos \theta & S_u \sin \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\tau = \begin{bmatrix} S_u \cos \theta & S_u \sin \theta & 0 \\ -S_v \sin \theta & S_v \cos \theta & 0 \\ T_u & T_v & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ can be obtained from equa-

tion (2). 6 elements of  $\tau$  need to be encoded. In generally, the data type of  $\tau$  is double float type. It needs 64 bits for each element, it is too much to encoding. We divided one element into several parts to encode. The parameters are shown as Table .1. The encoding structures of  $\tau(0,0)$ ,  $\tau(0,1)$  and  $\tau(2,0)$  are given. And the structures of  $\tau(1,0)$ ,  $\tau(1,1)$  and  $\tau(2,1)$  are the same as  $\tau(0,1)$ 's,  $\tau(0,0)$ 's and  $\tau(2,0)$ 's, respectively.

 Table 1: Parameters and Descriptions

Parameter	Type	Description
add_ref_flag	Bool	Weather add a new referent pic-
		ture
add_ref_no	UInt	The index of original $R$ in RPS
$sc_u_flag$	Bool	The sign of $\tau(0,0)$
I_sc_u	UInt	The integer part of $\tau(0,0)$
D_sc_u	UInt	The decimal part of $\tau(0,0)$
ss_u_flag	Bool	The sign of $\tau(0,1)$
I_ss_u	UInt	The integer part of $\tau(0,1)$
D_ss_u	UInt	The decimal part of $\tau(0,1)$
$t_u_flag$	Bool	The sign of $\tau(2,0)$
I_t_u	UInt	The integer part of $\tau(2,0)$
D_t_u	UInt	The decimal part of $\tau(2,0)$

The decimal parts of  $\tau(0,0)$  and  $\tau(0,1)$  accurate to 6 decimal places to ensure that for the 4096p sequences the error can be limited within 0.1 pixels. It costs 3 bits to encode the decimal part of  $\tau(2,0)$  to make the translation transformation is accurate to  $\frac{1}{8}$  pixels. And  $\tau(0,0)$  are recorded as  $(\tau(0,0)-1)$  because that  $\tau(0,0)$  is closely to 1 in most time.

At the decoder side,  $\tau$  is reconstructed by the above rules. And the procedure of setting RPS is the same as encoder side.

# **3. VALIDATIONS**

To validate the proposed scheme, we integrate it into HEVC reference software HM12.0 [1]. All test video sequences are in YCbCr 4:2:0 format. The common coding configurations are set as Table .2. Evaluations are performed to compare the proposed method with HM12.0.

Table 2:	The value	of configure	parameters
----------	-----------	--------------	------------

Option	Value
cfg file	encoder_lowdelay_P_main.cfg
Profile	main
MaxCUWidth	32
MaxCUHeight	32
MaxPartitionDepth	2
$QuadtreeTU_{-}$	2
MaxDepthInter	
IntraPeriod	-1
GOPSize	1
QPoffset	0
InternalBitDepth	8

In our paper, 4 YUV sequences (Johnny, KristenAndSara, suzie, GreenCloth) are used to test. Johnny (1280x720), KristenAndSara (1280x720) and suzie (720x480) are the s-tandard YUV sequences. Due to the goal of our method is focus on the face object, and the face regions of Johnny and KristenAndSara are small, therefore, we resize this 2 sequences to 480x480 by cutting a part of background in the frames. The new frames of them are shown in Figure. 7. Sequence GreenCloth (640x640) is created by our own. It can be obtained by contacting authors.

All test YUV sequences are encoded with three different sets of QPs:  $QP_1 = \{25, 26, 27, 28\}, QP_2 = \{30, 31, 32, 33\}$  and  $QP_3 = \{35, 36, 37, 38\}$  which represent {high, middle, low} bit-rate coding configurations, respectively. Due to that our proposed method is designed for face object, firstly, we analyze the R-D performance of the face region in each frame (The simulation face region is shown in Figure .2). The R-D curve of the simulation results of face region for each sequences are shown in Figure .6. We can see that for all sequences with different QPs, our method gains better performance. The comparisons are shown in Table.3. The proposed scheme achieves average rate reduction of 13.59% for  $QP_1$ , 9.25% for  $QP_2$  and 9.26% for  $QP_3$  and 10.7% for all QPs. The maximum coding gains 19.59% rate saving for Johnny. And the average  $\Delta PSNR$  and  $\Delta Rate$  of face region of all test sequences are calculated for various QPs. The results are shown in Table.4. It can be observed that our method gets better performance on high video quality.

The subjective quality evaluation is given in Figure .7. Consecutive 6 frames of each sequence encoded by HM12.0 and the proposed method are shown. The records on the bottom of a picture represent the PSNR and Bits of a face region, respectively. Pictures (a)(c)(e)(g) are encoded by HM, and pictures (b)(d)(f)(h) are encoded by the proposed method.



(a) Johnny encoded by HM



(b) Johnny encoded by Proposed



(c) Sara encoded by HM



(d) Sara encoded by Proposed



(e) *suzie* encoded by HM



(f) suzie encoded by Proposed



(h)  ${\it GreenCloth}$  encoded by Proposed

Figure 7: Consecutive 6 frames of each sequence encoded by HM and the proposed method.

Sequence	$QP_1 = \{25, 26, 27, 28\}$		$QP_2 = \{30, 31, 32, 33\}$		$QP_3 = \{35, 36, 37, 38\}$	
	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$
Johnny	+0.42	-19.59%	+0.34	-10.59%	+0.30	-7.29%
Sara	+0.45	-17.28%	+0.44	-11.29%	+0.47	-13.03%
suzie	+0.21	-7.69%	+0.18	-6.57%	+0.17	-6.01%
GreenCloth	+0.18	-9.80%	+0.18	-8.56%	+0.33	-10.72%
Average	+0.31	-13.59%	+0.29	-9.25%	+0.32	-9.26%

 Table 3: RD performance of the proposed method (on face region)



Figure 6: The RD curve of test YUV sequences (on face region).

We can observe that most of frames encoded by our method consumes fewer bits and obtains higher quality.

Some encoding information are analyzed in Table.5. The extra bits of slice header are given in the second column. The average additional header bits using by our method is 115. Column 4 and column 5 represent the average motion vector of the face region encoded by HM and our method, respectively. It shows that the motion vectors get much smaller while using our method. In the third column, the usage of the additional referent picture is given. On average, 57.29% units in face region are encoded by using the new referent pictures. The distribution of usage is shown in Figure. 8. The x axis represents that how many percent of the units in a face region referent our picture. The usages on new referent picture of most face regions are more 90%. It shall be notice that some face regions have none unit using the new referent picture. For these frames, the motion vector is 0, which means the 2 adjacent frames are almost the same.

Finally, the R-D performance of the whole frames are given in Table.6. For  $QP_1$ , our method achieves 8.94% rate reduction or 0.22 increase in PSNR. For  $QP_2$  and  $QP_3$ , our method also gets improvement. The performance of  $QP_3$ promotes small because that under the condition of low bitsrate, the total coding bits get greatly decreased and the saving bits by our method also decreased, but the additional bits of slice header remain unchanged. Therefore, the total bits-rate reduction get much smaller, although the bits-rate reduction of the face region is still significant.

Table 4: Results by averaging four sequences (on face region)

QP	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$
25	+0.40	-16.30%
26	+0.30	-16.13%
27	+0.26	-12.40%
28	+0.30	-9.51%
30	+0.27	-9.65%
31	+0.28	-9.73%
32	+0.30	-9.71%
33	+0.28	-7.92%
35	+0.19	-8.64%
36	+0.35	-9.29%
37	+0.35	-8.49%
38	+0.39	-10.63%

Table 5: Coding information ( $\Delta$	B: additional bits
of slice header; Usage: usage of a	dditional referent
pictures)	

Sequence	$\Delta B$	Usage	$\overline{mv}$ HM	$\overline{mv}$ Proposed
Johnny	111	65.29%	2.21	0.22
Sara	108	57.52%	1.23	0.15
suzie	139	44.32%	7.47	0.71
GreenCloth	103	62.02%	8.40	0.29
Average	115	57.29%	4.83	0.35

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed an improved video coding system based on HEVC for video calls. The goal of the method is to predict the face object more accurately by precessing the face region as a complete object. The complex affine transformations such as rotation and scaling of the face regions in nearly frames are obtained at first. Then, we create a new referent picture of the current frame and put it to the referent picture list. To use the new referent picture at decoder side, the transformation matrix needs to be writed to the bit streams. We design the procedure to keep a minimum modifications to the decoder. In this paper, the improvement of the R-D performance of the proposed method is validated. In the future work, the time cost by encoder should be further optimized.

# 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program): 2015CB351802, in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China: 61472389, 61025011, 61472203.

Sequence	$QP_1 = \{25, 26, 27, 28\}$		$QP_2 = \{30, 31, 32, 33\}$		$QP_3 = \{35, 36, 37, 38\}$	
	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$	$\Delta PSNR$	$\Delta Rate$
Johnny	+0.29	-12.98%	+0.19	-5.73%	+0.02	-0.47%
Sara	+0.22	-9.63%	+0.07	-1.58%	-0.35	+4.58%
suzie	+0.18	-7.17%	+0.12	-4.96%	+0.06	-2.34%
GreenCloth	+0.18	-5.98%	+0.14	-4.69%	+0.08	-1.88%
Average	+0.22	-8.94%	+0.13	-4.24%	-0.04	-0.02%

 Table 6: RD performance of the proposed method



Figure 8: The probability distribution of usage of additional referent pictures (on face region).

## 6. **REFERENCES**

- [1] Joint video team reference software HM12.0 [online]. http://hevc.hhi.fraunhofer.de/svn/svn\_HEVCSoftware.
- [2] J. Chon, S. Whittle, E. Riskin, and R. Ladner. Compressed video sign language conversations in the presence of data loss. *Data Compression Conference*, 2011.
- [3] A. Glantz, M. Tok, A. Krutz, and T. Sikora. A blockadaptive skip mode for inter prediction based on parametric motion models. *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, 2011.
- [4] G. B. Huang, M. Ramesh, T. Berg, and E. Learned-Miller. Labeled faces in the wild: A database for studying face recognition in unconstrained environments. *Tech. Report.*, U. Mass. Amherst, pages 07–49, 2007.
- [5] R. C. Kordasiewicz, M. D. Gallant, and S. Shirani. Affine motion prediction based on translational motion vectors. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* for Video Technology, 17(10):1388–1394, 2007.
- [6] F. Li and Y. Gao. Roi-based error resilient coding of H.264 for conversational video communication. International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference, 2011.
- [7] H. Liu, D. Xu, Q. Huang, W. Li, M. Xu, and S. Lin. Semantically-based human scanpath estimation with HMMs. *International Conference on Computer* Vision, 2013.
- [8] Y. Liu, Z. Li, and Y. Soh. Region-of-interest based resource allocation for conversational video communication of H.264/AVC. *IEEE Trans on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 18(1):134–139, 2008.
- [9] S. Milborrow and F. Nicolls. Locating facial features with an extended active shape model. *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2008.

- [10] D. Z. W. G. Na Zhang, Xiaopeng Fan. Motion vector derivation of deformable block. *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, 2012.
- [11] Y. Peng, A. Ganesh, J. Wright, W. Xu, and Y. Ma. Rasl: Robust alignment by sparse and low-rank decomposition for linearly correlated images. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 34(11):2233–2246, 2012.
- [12] R. Sjöerg, Y. Chen, A. Fujibayashi, M. M. Hannuksela, J. Samuelsson, T. K. Tan, Y.-K. Wang, and S. Wenger. Overview of HEVC high-level syntax and reference picture management. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 22(12):1858–1870, 2012.
- [13] G. Sullivan, J. Ohm, W.-J. Han, and T. Wiegand. Overview of the high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* for Video Technology, 22(12):1649–1668, 2012.
- [14] G. Sullivan and T. Wiegand. Rate-distortion optimization for video compression. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 15(6):74–90, 1998.
- [15] J. Wang and M. Cohen. Very low frame-rate video streaming for face-to-face teleconference. Data Compression Conference, 2005.
- [16] X. Wang, L. Su, Q. Huang, G. Li, and H. Qi. Online learning based face distortion recovery for conversational video coding. *Data Compression Conference*, 2013.
- [17] X. Wang, L. Su, H. Qi, Q. Huang, and G. Li. Face distortion recovery based on online learning database for conversational video. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 16(8):2130–2040, 2014.
- [18] B. Xiong, X. Fan, and C. Zhu. Face region based conversational video coding. *IEEE Trans on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology, 21(7):917–931, 2011.
- [19] C. Yan, Y. Zhang, F. Dai, and L. Li. Highly parallel framework for HEVC motion estimation on many-core platform. *Data Compression Conference*, 2013.
- [20] C. Yan, Y. Zhang, F. Dai, X. Wang, L. Li, and Q. Dai. Parallel deblocking filter for HEVC on many-core processor. *Electronics Letters*, 50(5):367–368, 2014.
- [21] C. C. Yan, Y. Zhang, J. Xu, F. Dai, J. Zhang, Q. Dai, and F. Wu. Efficient parallel framework for HEVC motion estimation on many-core processors. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 24(23):2077–2089, 2014.