ABSTRACT

US Healthcare leaders are confronted with a nexus of healthcare forces that is transforming the industry with new models of care delivery and value-based payments. The health ecosystem is changing swiftly from provider-centric to patient/customer-centric. The changing healthcare marketplace, transformational new healthcare models, and healthcare reform uncertainties require healthcare organizations to build new capabilities and explore innovative strategies to survive and thrive in these difficult economic times and the volatile healthcare environment. The dynamic capabilities (DC) - a powerful strategic management framework considered most relevant for businesses in highly competitive and volatile sectors - can help healthcare organizations win sustainable competitive advantage at the enterprise level by maximizing value for patients: that is, achieving the best outcomes at the lowest cost. This research paper examines the dynamic capability (DC) literature in the context of healthcare industry by exploring the relationships between the dynamic capabilities (learning, coordinating and integrating) with different types of innovations (product, process, and structure) and proposes a framework to map the innovation space and operationalize innovation across the continuum of care in the hospitals.

1 INTRODUCTION

This is an era of transformation for US healthcare system. A 2015 survey of 19 CEOs of large hospitals and health systems by the Deloitte Center for Health Solutions found that CEOs believe that “Value Based Care (VBC) will reshape the future of health care” and that it will “drive further consolidation (acquisition and affiliations) among hospitals and physicians.” However, the CEOs noted they were “not sure when to shift the business model and whom to partner with to be successful in VBC.”

Innovation is seen as a key means by which healthcare organizations can accomplish this transformation. Though most of the hospitals sensed the market forces and decided to invest in innovations, only some are succeeding in developing the capabilities that are required to seize this opportunity. At most academic medical centers innovations remains more of a future vision than a daily reality, and for smaller or community-based providers, this gap is even wider. The key questions the healthcare leaders are confronted with are:

- What type of innovations should we pursue and why?
- What are the capabilities that are required to deliver these innovations?
- How fast we can harness the innovations – through external partnerships or developing capabilities in house?
- What will help/hinder the dynamic capabilities from successfully becoming a part of everyday practices in my hospital?

While prior research recognizes the importance of dynamic capabilities in innovation on a conceptual level, very little research has empirically identified the role of dynamic capabilities related to different types of innovations in healthcare industry. The objective of this paper is to address this knowledge gap and to explore the relationships between dynamic capabilities and different types of innovations by taking the holistic view of the dynamic capabilities and innovation theory in tandem. This study investigates what kind of capability innovation combinations are to be found, and in whether differences in dynamic capabilities explain the adoption of specific types of innovations in healthcare setting. Such knowledge has considerable relevance to business practitioners since it may be able to indicate what kind of capabilities should be fostered in order to generate desirable innovations.

Not only does it provide a template for understanding where to focus efforts to create lasting competitive advantage, it illuminates the specific causes of the mismatch between hospitals’ archetypal capabilities/leadership skills and the
demands of an evolving business environment characterized by increased competition and uncertainty.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Dynamic capabilities are a special class of capabilities concerned with change and innovation. Dynamic capabilities help explain how organizations enhance and sustain performance in rapidly changing environments by creating, extending, or modifying their resource base through investment and other managerial interventions. Dynamic capabilities have also been conceptualized as flexibility and adaptability of resources and routines (Evans et al., 2017).

2.1 Operational & Dynamic Capabilities

Prior research has distinguished between operational (or ordinary) and dynamic capabilities (Winter, 2003, Helfat et al., 2007). An operational capability enables the firm to perform an activity on an on-going basis using more or less the same techniques on the same scale to support existing products and services for the same customer population. Such a capability is ordinary in the sense of maintaining the status quo (that is not out of the ordinary; (Winter, 2003) refer to these as zero order capabilities.

In contrast, a dynamic capability is the one that enables a firm to alter how it currently makes it living (Helfat & Winter, 2011). (Teece, 1997) defined dynamic capabilities as “the firm’s ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competences to address rapidly changing environments in which there is deep uncertainty.” Teece also points out that dynamic capabilities are more than simply best practices or routine capabilities. They are uncommon competences that are difficult to imitate.

(Teece, 2007) introduced a framework categorizing a firm’s dynamic capabilities in three groups, related to sensing opportunities and threats, seizing opportunities, and reconfiguring the firm’s asset base.

2.1.1 Sensing

Successful sensing enables an organization to focus on where it will be tomorrow, rather than on where it is today. But sensing is not easy and is difficult to spot emerging trends. Sensing involves scanning, searching, and understanding customer needs, latent demand, technological possibilities, local and non-local markets, and the probable supplier and competitor responses (Agwunobi & Osborne, 2016).

2.1.2 Seizing

The organization’s ability to sense a market opportunity does not mean that it can seize it effectively. The managerial skills needed to sense are quite different from those needed to seize and those needed to reconfigure. To seize opportunities effectively, enterprises must have the ability to make high-quality investment decisions; they must have or create the right business model; and they must maintain and improve technological competences and complementary assets. The challenge hospitals face includes their hierarchical structures which slow decision making and lead to bidirectional loss of information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPABILITY</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning capability</td>
<td>The ability to revamp existing operational capabilities with new knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrating capability</td>
<td>The ability to embed new knowledge into the new operational capabilities by creating a shared understanding and collective sense-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating capability</td>
<td>The ability to orchestrate and deploy tasks, resources, and activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Dynamic Capabilities Dimensions Definitions (Pavlou & Sawy, 2011)

2.2 Innovation

The largely accepted definition of Innovation among researchers in the field is “the intentional introduction and application within a role, group, or organization, of ideas, processes, products or procedures, new to the relevant unit of adoption, designed to significantly benefit the individual, the group, or wider society”. This encapsulate three key dimensions of innovation: 1) novelty 2) an application component 3) an intended benefit (Omachonu et al., 2010).

Table 1: Dynamic Capability Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Teece et al.,1997)</td>
<td>“the firm’s ability to integrate, build and reconfigure internal and external competences to address rapidly changing environments. Dynamic environments thus reflect an organization’s ability to achieve new and innovative forms of competitive advantage given path dependencies and market positions”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Eisenhardt &amp; Martin, 2006)</td>
<td>“the firm’s processes that use resources – specifically the processes to integrate, reconfigure, gain and release resources – to match and even create market change. Dynamic capabilities thus are the organizational and strategic routines by which firms achieve new resource configurations as markets emerge, collide, split, evolve and die”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zollo &amp; Winter, 2002)</td>
<td>“routinized activities directed to the development and adaptation of operating routines. A dynamic capability is a learned and stable pattern of collective activity through which the organization systematically generates and modifies its operating routines in pursuit of improved effectiveness”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Adner &amp; Helfat, 2003)</td>
<td>“dynamic managerial capabilities are capabilities with which managers build, integrate, and reconfigure organizational resources and competencies”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Helfat et al., 2007)</td>
<td>“a dynamic capability is the capacity of an organization to purposefully create, extend, or modify its resource base”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Augier &amp; Teece, 2008)</td>
<td>“dynamic capabilities refer to the particular (non-imitable) capacity business enterprises possess to shape, reshape, configure, and reconfigure assets so as to respond to the changing technologies and markets and escape the zero-profit condition. Dynamic capabilities relate to the enterprise’s ability to sense, seize, and adapt, in order to generate and exploit internal and external enterprise-specific competences, and to address the enterprise’s changing environment”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Barr, 2010)</td>
<td>“a dynamic capability is the firm’s potential to systematically solve problems, formed by its propensity to sense opportunities and threats, to make timely and market-oriented decisions, and to change its resource base.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Innovation is the successful implementation of a novel idea in a way that creates compelling value for some or all of the stakeholders (Varkey, et al., 2008).

“Healthcare innovation can be defined as the introduction of a new concept, idea, service, process, or product aimed at improving treatment, diagnosis, education, outreach, prevention and research, and with the long term goals of improving quality, safety, outcomes, efficiency and costs” (Omachonu et al., 2010).

2.3 Innovation Types
Innovations in health care typically are related to product, process, or structure (Varkey, et al., 2008).

2.3.1 Product
The product is what the customer pays for and typically consists of goods or services. Clinical procedure innovations belong to the category of product innovations.

2.3.2 Process
Process innovation entails innovations in the production or delivery method. The customer does not usually pay directly for process, but the process is required to deliver a product or service and to manage the relationship with the various stakeholders.

2.3.3 Structure
Structural innovations usually affect the internal and external infrastructure and create new business models. By their nature, structural changes are more likely to be disruptive because they represent major changes in the way healthcare is delivered. Some organizations are exploring strategic partnerships like innovation centers, incubators, and external technology arrangements to achieve the organization innovation goals.

3 DC FRAMEWORK
A dynamic capabilities (DC) framework in the context of healthcare has been proposed to explore the relationship between organizational determinants of innovation, types of innovations, and the measures of healthcare organizations performance.

4 APPROACH
This research paper first examines the dynamic capability (DC) literature in the context of healthcare industry to propose a framework to explore the antecedents and consequences of adoption of customer centric innovations. Second, this paper explores the relationship between the dynamic capabilities (learning, coordinating and integrating capabilities) with different types of innovation (product, process and structure innovations) and its impact on the performance of the healthcare organizations.

5 CONCEPTUAL MODEL
A conceptual model is developed to operationalize the defined constructs. The model links the three dynamic capabilities (learning, integrating, and coordinating) to the three innovation types (process, product, and structure) and to the two organization types (academic, and community hospitals).

6 METHODOLOGY
Applying a case study method to investigate dynamic capability building processes in the context of collaboration is not new in the literature. A main finding from prior research is that competence development over time is to a high extent influenced by the firm’s close and regular interactions with their immediate customers, as well as some third parties in their network of exchange relationship (Agarwal et al., 2014). The case study method is particularly suitable for practice-oriented fields and answers the “how or why” some social phenomenon works (Yin, R.K., 2015).
The case study analysis is performed following four steps: (1) Pilot interviews for questionnaire development; (2) Case selection; (3) Data gathering collection and organizing; (4) Analysis of data.

The analysis focused on two case studies of Pennsylvania hospitals (1 academic hospital and 1 community hospital). Before starting the collecting of the most relevant data, a pilot was conducted with hospital leaders (administrators and physician leaders) to fine tune the interview protocol and guiding questions. To support the results obtained, 8 unstructured interviews were conducted.

The semi-structured interviews were administered through a set of open-ended questions and conducted on the interviewees' company premises. The interviews lasted, on average, 45 min; they were recorded and then verbatim transcribed. The data analysis is performed using Temi.com for transcription and nVivo for analysis.

7 PRELIMINARY FINDINGS
The key determinants of innovations in hospitals are a) learning capability and b) integrating capability. The organizations studied are primarily looking into product/service and process innovations across the basic research and sustaining innovation domains.

8 MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS
The integrated dynamic capability framework presented in this study, linking capabilities and innovations help hospital managers and executives to identify the differences between operational (exploitative) and dynamic (explorative) capabilities to designing and implementing new combinations of technologies, human skills, and capital assets.

9 DOCTORAL CONSORTIUM PANEL
The doctoral consortium provides an opportunity to interact with outstanding mentors and fellow doctoral students from other institutions. The feedback from the mentors, experts and thought leaders in the healthcare industry would be immensely valuable and make this research empirically rigorous and practically relevant.
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